# ACCEPTED VERSION

Martina Demuro, Lee J. Arnold, Arantza Aranburu, Asier Gómez-Olivencia, Juan-Luis Arsuaga Single-grain OSL dating of the Middle Palaeolithic site of Galería de las Estatuas, Atapuerca (Burgos, Spain)

Quaternary Geochronology, 2019; 49:254-261

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Final publication at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2018.02.006

#### PERMISSIONS

https://www.elsevier.com/about/our-business/policies/sharing

### Accepted Manuscript

Authors can share their accepted manuscript:

#### Immediately

- via their non-commercial personal homepage or blog
- by updating a preprint in arXiv or RePEc with the accepted manuscript
- via their research institute or institutional repository for internal institutional uses or as part of an invitation-only research collaboration work-group
- directly by providing copies to their students or to research collaborators for their personal use
- for private scholarly sharing as part of an invitation-only work group on <u>commercial sites with</u> <u>which Elsevier has an agreement</u>

#### After the embargo period

- via non-commercial hosting platforms such as their institutional repository
- via commercial sites with which Elsevier has an agreement

#### In all cases <u>accepted manuscripts</u> should:

- link to the formal publication via its DOI
- bear a CC-BY-NC-ND license this is easy to do
- if aggregated with other manuscripts, for example in a repository or other site, be shared in alignment with our <u>hosting policy</u>
- not be added to or enhanced in any way to appear more like, or to substitute for, the published journal article

## 24 March 2021

#### Single-grain OSL dating of the Middle Palaeolithic site of Galería de las Estatuas, Atapuerca 1 2 (Burgos, Spain) 3 Martina Demuro<sup>1\*</sup>, Lee J. Arnold<sup>1</sup>, Arantza Aranburu<sup>2</sup>, Asier Gómez-Olivencia<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Juan L. 4 Arsuaga 5,6 5 6 7 \* corresponding author: martina.demuro@adelaide.edu.au 8 1 School of Physical Sciences, Environment Institute, and Institute for Photonics and Advanced Sensing (IPAS), University of Adelaide, North 9 Terrace Campus, 5005 Adelaide, SA, Australia 10 2 Departamento Mineralogía y Petrología, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco, Edificio F3, Barrio Sarriena s/n, 48940 11 Leioa, Bizkaia, Spain 12 3 Departamento de Estratigrafía y Paleontología, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, UPV-EHU, Barrio Sarriena s/n, 13 48940 Leioa, Spain 14 4 IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain 15 5 Centro Mixto Universidad Complutense-Instituto de Salud Carlos III de Evolución y Comportamiento Humanos, Avd. Monforte de Lemos 5, 16 (Pabellón 14), 28029 Madrid, Spain

17 6 Departamento de Paleontología, Facultad de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, c/ José Antonio Novais, Ciudad

- 18 Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain
- 19

#### 20 Abstract

21 This study presents single-grain optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) chronologies for the 22 archaeological site of Galería de las Estatuas - the first systematically excavated Middle 23 Palaeolithic site within the karst system of the Sierra de Atapuerca archaeological complex, 24 northern Spain. The single-grain OSL ages are compared with paired single-grain thermally transferred OSL (TT-OSL) dating results for a selection of samples in order to better assess quartz 25 26 signal bleaching characteristics of endokarstic deposits preserved at Atapuerca. In total, seven 27 luminescence dating samples were collected from four lithostratigraphic units exposed in two 28 excavation pits (GE-I and GE-II). The single-grain OSL equivalent dose (De) distributions are characterised by generally low overdispersion (20-30%), suggesting appropriate bleaching at 29 30 deposition. The resultant single-grain OSL ages reveal that the sediment sequence and 31 archaeological remains excavated in pit GE-I accumulated 80-112 ka, while the upper layers of excavation area GE-II were deposited 70-79 ka. The replicate single-grain TT-OSL ages are in 32 33 agreement with the OSL chronologies at  $2\sigma$  for three of the four samples investigated; although in 34 all cases the TT-OSL ages were systematically older than their single-grain counterparts. Apparent 35 TT-OSL residual doses (i.e., TT-OSL De values in excess of their corresponding OSL De values) of 36 9 to 65 Gy were observed for all samples. These excess TT-OSL De values are generally low in comparison to the natural dose ranges of TT-OSL dating applications undertaken elsewhere in the 37 38 Atapuerca karst system. The single-grain TT-OSL and OSL dating comparisons build on daylight 39 bleaching experiments and modern analogue studies performed on other Atapuerca exogeneous

infill deposits and suggest reasonable potential for TT-OSL signal resetting down to relatively low levels for at least some sediments preserved in the Atapuerca karstic cavities. The quartz singlegrain OSL chronologies obtained in this study place the Middle Palaeolithic sequence of Galería de las Estatuas within marine isotope stage (MIS) 5 and the beginning of MIS 4, and provide firm evidence for human occupation of the Sierra de Atapuerca during a previously unreported time period.

7

8 Keywords Single-grain OSL, TT-OSL, Atapuerca, Middle Palaeolithic, Neandertal, Iberia, Spain.

9

# 10 1. Introduction

11 The extensive karst system of the Sierra de Atapuerca (northern Iberian Plateau, Spain; Figure 1a) 12 preserves several Early and Middle Pleistocene allochthonous infill sites (e.g., Gran Dolina, Galería 13 complex, Sima del Elefante and Sima de los Huesos), which showcase important 14 palaeoanthropological (Homo antecessor and early representatives of the Neandertal lineage; 15 Bermúdez de Castro et al., 1997; Arsuaga et al., 2014), mammal faunal (Rodríguez et al., 2011) and 16 Lower Palaeolithic records (Carbonell et al., 2008; Ollé et al., 2013), as well as extensive Upper 17 Palaeolithic and Neolithic sequences (Carretero et al., 2008; Bañuls-Cardona et al., 2017). More 18 than 30 Middle Palaeolithic open-air sites have additionally been discovered in the vicinity (<2 km) 19 of the Atapuerca hills and surrounding river valleys (Navazo and Carbonell, 2014), and several of 20 these sites have revealed rich Middle Palaeolithic assemblages (Navazo et al., 2011; Arnold et al., 21 2013). Until recently, however, equivalent Middle Palaeolithic records have not been reported from 22 within the karst system (Aranburu et al., 2012). In this regard, Galería de las Estatuas represents an 23 important new archaeological sequence within the Sierra de Atapuerca complex. It is the first 24 Middle Palaeolithic site (<200 ka) to be systematically excavated within the endokarstic infill 25 deposits of Atapuerca, and it is invaluable for providing improved insights into Neandertal 26 occupational histories and subsistence/exploitation strategies in the region (Arsuaga et al., 2017).

27

28 The main archaeological and palaeoecological findings at Galería de las Estatuas have been 29 summarised in Arsuaga et al. (2017) and include: (i) a lithic assemblage composed of 499 objects 30 showing clear Mousterian affinities (e.g., centripetal flake cores, side-scrapers, denticulates), with 31 some products displaying characteristic Levallois débitage; (ii) a detailed pollen record revealing, 32 from the base upwards, a change from an open environment and dry/cool climate to a phase of 33 shrub expansion, followed by an incremental increase in wooded species, and a more humid and 34 warmer climate at the top of the sequence; and (iii) an extensive micro- and macro-mammal faunal 35 record, with the latter being dominated by ungulates and displaying abundant evidence of human

1 modification (cut marks and anthropogenic breakage). The only chronological constraint available for this new site is a series of eight radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) ages obtained on faunal remains from levels 2 LU1 and LU3, which range from 43.5 to >46.3  $^{14}$ C ka BP (uncalibrated), and a U-series age of ~14 3 4 ka obtained for the base of a stalagmitic crust capping the entire sedimentary sequence (Martínez-Pillado et al., 2014; Arsuaga et al., 2017). All of the <sup>14</sup>C ages are close to the analytical limits of the 5 technique; five out of the eight samples yield infinite uncalibrated age ranges, and all eight samples 6 7 vield non-finite 95.4% calibrated age ranges. Consequently, Arsuaga et al. (2017) cautiously interpreted these <sup>14</sup>C results as minimum ages and were only able to suggest that the archaeological 8 9 accumulation at Galería de las Estatuas is likely of Late Pleistocene age. Given these problems, and 10 the limited stratigraphic coverage of existing age control, there remains a need for a more 11 comprehensive chronological study of the site.

12

13 The main aim of this study is to provide the first detailed chronological constraint on the various 14 sediment layers at Galería de las Estatuas using single-grain optically stimulated luminescence 15 (OSL) dating. We also present paired single-grain thermally transferred OSL (TT-OSL) dating 16 comparisons for a subset of samples, with the aim of investigating the applicability of this approach 17 for the Late Pleistocene infill karst deposits at Galería de las Estatuas. The majority of luminescence 18 dating studies undertaken elsewhere on (>200 ka) sedimentary sequences at Atapuerca have used 19 alternative 'extended-range' luminescence signals with higher dose saturation limits (Berger et al., 20 2008), including single-grain TT-OSL and post infrared IRSL dating (Demuro et al., 2014; Arnold 21 et al., 2014; 2015; Arnold and Demuro, 2015). However, the relatively slow bleaching 22 characteristics of TT-OSL signals (on the order of days, compared to seconds for the conventional OSL dating signal; Duller and Wintle, 2012; Duval et al., 2017) means that higher residual doses 23 24 and age overestimation are a potential problem in this setting (Wang et al., 2006; Tsukamoto et al., 25 2008; Jacobs et al., 2011). An additional aim of this study is therefore to gain insights into TT-OSL 26 signal resetting experienced by some of the younger Atapuerca karstic sediments, via assessments 27 of quartz luminescence signals that bleach at different rates.

28

# 29 **2.** Site description and luminescence dating samples

The site of Galería de las Estatuas is situated 1020 masl in the uppermost level of the Cueva Mayor–Cueva del Silo karst system (**Figure S1**), adjacent to a palaeoentrance that has been sealed by a stalagmitic flowstone. Two test pits (<2 m-deep), denoted GE-I (9 m<sup>2</sup>; ca. 2 m-deep) and GE-II (6 m<sup>2</sup>; ca. 1.5 m-deep), have been excavated at this site. These test pits are located c. 18-20 m from the ancient cave entrance (Ortega, 2009). In GE-I, five lithostratigraphic units (LU) have been identified within the sedimentary sequence below the capping flowstone, while in GE-II, which has

1 been less extensively excavated, only two LUs have currently been identified (Arsuaga et al., 2017). 2 Archaeological and palaeontological remains have been recovered from all LUs, although the 3 material recovered from LU1 and LU5 in pit GE-I was relatively scarce. Sedimentological analyses 4 of the LUs from both excavation pits indicate that the preserved sediment is allochthonous to the 5 karst system (Aranburu et al., 2012; see Supplementary Information for further description of each 6 LU). Transportation of these deposits into the cave interior is thought to have occurred via 7 gravitational mass movement of water-saturated clays (i.e., debris flows), which simultaneously 8 carried various proportions of clasts into the cavity (Arsuaga et al., 2017). The morphology of the 9 gallery, as well as the position of the karstic infill deposits in relation to the cave entrance, suggest 10 that the opening was a shallow ramp that allowed the progressive accumulation of fine-grain 11 sediments and clasts under direct or indirect daylight, and ensured their subsequent transportation 12 20 m towards the cave interior during discrete runoff events (ramp inclination at the points of 13 excavation is 5-8°). The allochthonous cave infill deposits being dated in this study originate from 14 surface soils immediately surrounding the cave entrance, which contain a significant proportion of 15 wind-blown silts and sands (Berger et al., 2008). Aeolian transportation and continued sub-aerial 16 surface reworking of these sediments is likely to have favoured prolonged exposure to sunlight and 17 full OSL signal resetting prior to being washed into the cave; as borne out by the very low residual 18 De value obtained for modern surface sediments collected adjacent to several of the Atapuerca 19 endokarst entrances (-0.03  $\pm$  0.04 to 0.18  $\pm$  0.07 Gy; see Arnold et al., in press). The relatively close 20 proximity of the studied excavation pits to the original cave entrance is also thought to have 21 favoured minimal sediment transportation residence times within the cavity prior to final 22 deposition.

23

In total, seven luminescence dating samples were collected from the karstic infill deposits of Galería de las Estatuas as part of the present study: five samples were obtained from excavation pit GE-I (**Figure 1b**) and two samples were taken from pit GE-II (**Figure 1c**).

27

## 28 **3. Luminescence dating methods**

# 29 3.1 Instrumentation, dose rates and equivalent dose (D<sub>e</sub>) estimation

Full details of the luminescence dating procedures employed in this study, including sample preparation, instrumentation, dose rate estimation, single-grain rejection criteria, and D<sub>e</sub> measurement procedures, are provided in the Supplementary Information. Single-grain OSL dating was undertaken on all seven samples, while additional single-grain TT-OSL measurements were made on selected samples (GE16-1, GE16-4, GE16-6 and GE16-7). Environmental dose rates (**Table S1**) have been determined using a combination of in situ gamma spectrometry and low-level 1 beta counting. Cosmic-ray dose rates have been calculated using the approach described in Prescott

2 and Hutton (1994).

3

Single-grain OSL and TT-OSL D<sub>e</sub> measurements were made using the single-aliquot regenerativedose (SAR) protocols described in Murray and Wintle (2000) and Stevens et al. (2009), respectively, which were modified to enable measurements of individual grains (e.g., Arnold et al., 2016; Demuro et al., 2014; **Table S3**). Single-grain dose recovery tests performed on both the OSL and TT-OSL signals support the suitability of the SAR protocols for dating these samples (corresponding dose recovery ratios =  $0.98 \pm 0.03$  and  $1.12 \pm 0.14$ ; see Supplementary Information, **Figure S2** and **Table S4**).

11

# 12 **4. Results**

# 13 4.1 Single-grain OSL signal characteristics and D<sub>e</sub> estimates

Approximately 30-70% of the measured grains produced detectable OSL signals, with the brightest grains (1-3%) having 100-10,000 net counts / Gy in the first 0.09 s stimulation (**Figure 2a**). The measured OSL signals were also fast-decaying and were generally depleted by >90% within the first 0.18 s of stimulation. After applying the SAR rejection criteria, 4-9% of the measured grains were considered suitable for D<sub>e</sub> estimation (**Table S5**). An example of a sensitivity-corrected doseresponse and OSL decay curve for a moderately bright grain that passed the rejection criteria is shown in **Figure 2b**.

21

22 The single-grain OSL De distributions display relatively low scatter, with six out of the seven 23 samples producing overdispersion values of 20-26% and one sample (GE16-7) producing a slightly 24 higher, albeit consistent, overdispersion of  $30 \pm 4\%$  (Figure 3 and Figure S4; Table 1). None of the 25 samples are considered to be significantly positively skewed according to the criterion outlined by 26 Arnold and Roberts (2009). The overdispersion values of these karstic infill samples are also in 27 agreement at  $2\sigma$  with what is typically observed for well-bleached samples (~20%; Arnold and 28 Roberts, 2009) and, with the exception of GE16-7, they are consistent at  $2\sigma$  with the overdispersion 29 value obtained in the dose-recovery test ( $10 \pm 5\%$ ). Representative single-grain OSL burial dose 30 estimates have therefore been calculated using the central age model (CAM) of Galbraith et al. 31 (1999). The single-grain CAM D<sub>e</sub> values obtained for the Galería de las Estatuas samples range 32 between 85 to 145 Gy (Table 1).

33

The reliability of the single-grain OSL D<sub>e</sub> estimates, as well as their usefulness for undertaking comparative TT-OSL bleaching assessments, will partly depend on whether the accepted grain

1 populations have sufficiently high dose saturation limits to ensure finite D<sub>e</sub> estimation over the true 2 natural burial dose ranges of interest (particularly as the measured De values typically exceed 100 3 Gy). To examine whether the single-grain OSL CAM De values have been compromised by dose 4 saturation, we analysed the characteristic saturation dose (D<sub>0</sub>) value for each accepted grain. The 5 weighted mean (CAM) D<sub>0</sub> value for the combined Galería de las Estatuas sample dataset, calculated 6 from all grains (n = 521), is  $87 \pm 1$  Gy (Figure S5). The majority of accepted grains (~90%) 7 produced D<sub>0</sub> values in the range of 40 to 160 Gy, with the remaining grains (~10%) having D<sub>0</sub> 8 values of >160 Gy. In general, these D<sub>0</sub> values are high enough to enable finite D<sub>e</sub> determination 9 over the burial dose ranges of these samples (50-250 Gy); hence we do not consider that the single-10 grain OSL ages have been negatively affected by dose saturation. To explore this issue further, we 11 applied the  $2 \times D_0$  acceptance threshold criterion outlined by Demuro et al. (2015), which ensures 12 that only grains with  $2 \times D_0$  values higher than a specific burial dose are accepted for final De 13 estimation. This additional quality assurance criterion is designed to eliminate grains that produce 14 unrealistically low De values purely as a result of insufficient dose saturation limits, thus avoiding 15 potential age underestimation arising from inherently unsuitable grains. Progressively higher  $2 \times D_0$ 16 thresholds of 140 to 260 Gy (increasing in 40 Gy increments) were applied to all the samples, and 17 the effects on weighted mean  $D_e$  were examined after taking into consideration associated  $2\sigma$ 18 uncertainty ranges (see details in Arnold et al., 2016). For all seven samples, it was found that 19 selecting grains with progressively higher D<sub>0</sub> values did not result in significantly higher CAM D<sub>e</sub> 20 values (Figure S6). Instead, both the CAM D<sub>e</sub> and overdispersion (data not shown) remained within 21  $2\sigma$  of the original values. Although a slight decrease in D<sub>e</sub> is observed in samples GE16-4 and 22 GE16-5 after a 2  $\times$  D<sub>0</sub> threshold of 220 Gy is applied, this trend is not deemed statistically significant since the resulting ages do not deviate beyond the  $1\sigma$  ranges of the original values. These 23 24 results indicate that the original single-grain OSL De values (and ages) calculated using all accepted 25 grains were not adversely affected by OSL dose saturation.

26

# 27 4.2 Single-grain OSL ages

The single-grain OSL ages obtained for excavation pit GE-I are stratigraphically consistent and range between ~112 ka and ~80 ka (**Table 1**). These ages indicate that the sequence at GE-I was deposited during marine isotope stage (MIS) 5. The sedimentary sequence in excavation pit GE16-II (upper units) has a similar, albeit slightly younger, chronology, which extends from the end of MIS 5 to the beginning of MIS 4; stratigraphically consistent ages of  $79 \pm 5$  ka (GE16-7) and  $70 \pm 5$ ka (GE16-6) were obtained for LU2 and LU1, respectively. These single-grain OSL dating results suggest that the two sedimentary sequences excavated at Galería de las Estatuas potentially cover 1 the same time period (when considering their  $2\sigma$  age ranges). The upper half of LU2 and LU1 in 2 excavation pit GE-I are therefore likely correlative with LU2 from excavation pit GE-II.

3

# 4 4.3 Single-grain TT-OSL dating results

5 The majority of measured grains (60-80%) did not produce detectable TT-OSL signals (Table S6). 6 Grains that did produce TT-OSL signals were generally very dim (e.g., the brightest 1% of grains 7 produced 0.5-1 net counts / Gy / 0.09 s; Figure 2a), with only 1-5% of measured grains being 8 accepted for final De estimation (Table S6). An example of a representative TT-OSL dose response 9 and decay curve for an accepted grain is shown in Figure 2c. The single-grain TT-OSL De 10 distributions of samples GE16-1, GE16-4 and GE16-7 were generally less scattered than their OSL 11 counterparts (overdispersion values were 18-21%), with only sample GE16-6 having a higher 12 overdispersion (45%) (Table 1; Figure S7). All four samples produced CAM D<sub>e</sub> values that were 13 higher (by 9 to 65 Gy) than their corresponding single-grain OSL CAM De values (after correcting 14 for dose rate differences related to the different grain sizes being measured). However, three of the 15 four samples (GE16-4, GE16-6 and GE16-7) produced final single-grain TT-OSL ages in 16 agreement with their paired single-grain OSL ages at  $2\sigma$  (Table 1).

17

18 The TT-OSL age of GE16-7 ( $84 \pm 7$  ka) was in closest agreement with its OSL counterpart ( $79 \pm 5$ 19 ka), and this sample may be regarded as having experienced adequate TT-OSL signal bleaching at 20 deposition. The low number of  $D_e$  values obtained for GE16-4 (n = 14; **Table 1**), and the large 21 uncertainty associated with the final age estimate, potentially complicate the interpretations of TT-22 OSL bleaching adequacy for this sample. The TT-OSL and OSL ages of this sample are in 23 agreement at  $2\sigma$  but additional single-grain TT-OSL measurements would be needed to confirm its 24 TT-OSL bleaching history. For sample GE16-6, the CAM TT-OSL age ( $86 \pm 8$  ka) is within  $2\sigma$  of 25 the OSL age ( $70 \pm 5$  ka) but the large overdispersion obtained for the TT-OSL dataset ( $45 \pm 7\%$ ) 26 (Table 1) may indicate additional, extrinsic De scatter related to minor populations of partially 27 bleached grains (Figure 3). Application of the 3-parameter and 4-parameter minimum age models 28 (MAM-3 and MAM-4; Galbraith et al., 1999) to this TT-OSL De dataset produced ages that were 29 systematically younger than (though within  $2\sigma$  of) the single-grain OSL age of GE16-6 (in italics 30 Table 1). Sample GE16-1 displays the highest offset between its replicate single-grain TT-OSL and 31 OSL ages. Although the TT-OSL D<sub>e</sub> dataset for this sample has a low overdispersion of  $20 \pm 6\%$ . 32 which may indicate full signal resetting, the TT-OSL CAM De is ~50% higher than its OSL 33 counterpart, and the resulting TT-OSL age ( $123 \pm 10$  ka) overestimates the OSL age ( $83 \pm 5$  ka) 34 beyond its  $2\sigma$  uncertainty ranges. It may be worth noting that the single-grain TT-OSL dose recovery test performed on this sample yielded a measured-to-given dose ratio that was 35

1 systematically in excess of unity by  $\sim 12\%$  (albeit consistent with the given dose at  $2\sigma$ ). The higher 2 TT-OSL age for sample GE16-1 may therefore partly originate from intrinsic D<sub>e</sub> scatter related to 3 the chosen SAR measurement protocol.

4

#### 5 **5. Discussion and conclusion**

6 The single-grain TT-OSL and OSL dating comparisons undertaken in this study build on daylight 7 bleaching experiments and modern analogue studies performed elsewhere on Atapuerca exogeneous 8 infill deposits (Demuro et al., 2015, Arnold et al., in press), and suggest reasonable potential for TT-9 OSL signal resetting down to relatively low levels for some sediments preserved in the Atapuerca 10 karstic cavities. All four samples contained large populations of grains with seemingly well-11 bleached TT-OSL signals, indicating prolonged (>6 weeks; Demuro et al., 2015, Arnold et al., in 12 press) exposure to sunlight prior to burial within the cave. The single-grain TT-OSL ages are in 13 agreement with the replicate OSL chronologies at  $2\sigma$  for three of the four samples investigated; 14 although in all cases the TT-OSL ages were systematically older than their single-grain 15 counterparts. Mean TT-OSL residual doses (calculated as the difference between paired OSL and 16 TT-OSL CAM D<sub>e</sub> values) varied widely for the four samples, ranging between ~9 and ~65 Gy after 17 correcting for grain-size dosimetric effects. These results suggest that it may be unsuitable to 18 generalise about TT-OSL dating adequacy for allochthonous cave infill deposits without 19 undertaking site-specific assessments. As our dating comparisons demonstrate, a useful approach for assessing TT-OSL bleaching adequacy involves simultaneously applying a suite of 20 21 luminescence signals that are optically reset at different rates (i.e., OSL, pIR-IR), particularly when 22 reliable independent age control is unavailable (e.g., Demuro et al., 2014; 2015; Hamm et al., 2016; 23 Jacobs et al., 2017; Arnold et al., in press). In general, the mean TT-OSL residual doses observed at 24 Galería de las Estatuas are relatively low in comparison to the natural dose ranges of TT-OSL 25 dating applications undertaken elsewhere in the Atapuerca karst system (either due to their high 26 environmental dose rates or older depositional ages). Residual De values on the order of several Gy 27 or tens of Gy are likely to be well within the existing 25 TT-OSL age uncertainties for most Middle 28 or Early Pleistocene samples, and therefore are unlikely to have major effects on extended-range 29 TT-OSL dating reliability.

30

Our single-grain OSL ages for Galería de las Estatuas place the sedimentary sequence of excavation pit GE-I (**Figure 4**) within MIS 5 ( $80 \pm 5$  ka to  $112 \pm 7$  ka) and the sequence at excavation pit GE-II (upper section) within late MIS 5 and early MIS 4 ( $70 \pm 5$  ka to  $79 \pm 5$  ka); though the chronologies of GE-I and GE-II are statistically indistinguishable at  $2\sigma$ . As expected, these luminescence chronologies are older than the preliminary <sup>14</sup>C ages reported for the site (>45 cal ka BP – >49.5 cal

1 ka BP; **Table S7**), confirming the original interpretation of Arsuaga et al. (2017) that their <sup>14</sup>C 2 results should be considered as minimum age estimates. Our ages for GE-I and GE-II are 3 stratigraphically consistent and suggest that the two sequences excavated at Galería de las Estatuas 4 are likely temporally correlative, although further dating at the base of pit GE-II is required to determine the lower chronological range of this sequence. It is worth noting that the age of sample 5 6 GE16-3 (113  $\pm$  8 ka), which was collected from a localised sub-layer at the base of LU2, is in closer 7 agreement with the age obtained for the underlying level (LU3) (107  $\pm$  8 ka; GE16-4), rather than 8 the age obtained for the upper section of LU2 ( $83 \pm 5$  ka; GE16-1). Further dating efforts and more 9 detailed sedimentological studies of this sub-layer may be necessary to ascertain its exact 10 chronostratigraphic relationship with LU2 and LU3. Together, the single-grain OSL ages obtained 11 for GE-I indicate that the pollen-based climatic reconstructions at this excavation pit – which show 12 a change from an open environment and dry/cool conditions (lower LU3 and LU4) towards a phase 13 of shrub expansion (upper LU3) and a more humid and warmer climate, followed by an increase in 14 wooded species (LU2) at the top of the sequence – may be associated with MIS 5.4 (LU3-4) and 15 MIS 5.1 (LU2), respectively (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Figure 4). Although it is difficult to make 16 more precise correlations between the climatic reconstructions at Galería de las Estatuas and global 17  $\delta^{18}$ O isotope stages, both the pollen record and the chronologies obtained suggest that LU4 was 18 deposited post-MIS 5.5 (Figure 4).

19

20 Galería de las Estatuas is the first archaeological site within the Sierra de Atapuerca karst system to 21 be dated to MIS 4/5. Within a regional context, several other Middle Palaeolithic and Neandertal 22 sites from the surrounding valleys of the northern Iberian meseta region could be considered to be 23 chronologically equivalent to Galería de las Estatuas (Table S7). The open-air sequences of Hotel 24 California and Hundidero, located in the vicinity of the Atapuerca hills, have chronologies of 70-45 25 ka based on single-grain OSL and TL dating of sedimentary grains, respectively (Arnold et al., 26 2013; Navazo et al., 2011), and may be partially correlative with, albeit slightly younger than, 27 Galería de las Estatuas. The Neandertal cave site of Valdegoba located a short (<30 km) distance 28 from Atapeurca may also have a similar chronology. The sequence is capped by a speleothem that 29 has been U-series dated to  $<73.2 \pm 5$  ka (Quam et al., 2001). An additional AMS <sup>14</sup>C ultrafiltration 30 age of  $48.4 \pm 3.3$  ka (uncalibrated) has been obtained from the human-bearing layers of Valdegoba 31 Dalén et al. (2008), which may be regarded as a minimum age due to its antiquity. La Ermita, 32 another nearby cave site (Díez et al., 2008), could also be dated to MIS 5. This site has a <sup>14</sup>C age of 33 32.1–34.2 ka cal BP (Díez et al., 2008), but a U-series age of 95-102 ka has been recently reported 34 for a speleothem capping the sediment sequence (Sánchez Yustos and Diez Martín, 2016). Other 35 sites with similar chronologies on the Iberian plateau include San Quirce  $(73 \pm 10 \text{ ka}; \text{Terradillos-}$ 

Bernal et al., 2017) and Cueva del Camino, near Madrid (74-140 ka; Arsuaga et al., 2012). While in the Cantabrian Range to the north, the site of El Castillo also covers a similar time span (level 23 ~90 ka; Bischoff et al., 1992). However, further dating studies are required at some of these sites to fully elucidate the Late Pleistocene Neandertal occupation histories in the region, especially in cases where <sup>14</sup>C dating appear to have reached its upper limits (**Table S7**). The chronological results obtained in the present study for Galería de las Estatuas indicate that single-grain OSL dating has the potential to make important contributions towards this effort.

8

# 9 Acknowledgements

10 We dedicate this paper to Glen Berger who worked closely with the excavation and research teams 11 at Atapuerca over many years. Financial support for this research was provided by Australian 12 Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career Researcher Award DE160100743 and Future 13 Fellowship project FT130100195. We would like to acknowledge the entire GE excavation and 14 research team, and especially the people that helped during sample collection. Fieldwork at 15 Atapuerca was funded by the Junta de Castilla y León and Fundación Atapuerca. The study was 16 supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (Projects: CGL2012-38434-C03-01, 17 CGL-2015-65387-C3-2-P) (MINECO/FEDER). AGO is part of Research Group IT1044-16 18 (Gobierno Vasco/Eusko Jaurlaritza) and is also funded by Research Group PPG17/05 (Euskal 19 Herriko Unibertsitatea).

20

# Figure captions22

Figure 1. (A) Map of the Iberian Peninsula showing the location of the Galería de las Estatuas archaeological site (Atapuerca). (B) Photo of excavation pit GE-I showing the profile exposed on the western and northern faces and the position of the five samples collected for luminescence dating. (C) Photo of excavation pit GE-II showing the profile on the southern face and the position of the two luminescence dating samples collected.

**Figure 2.** (A) OSL signal brightness plot showing absolute net intensities expressed as counts / Gy / 0.09 s. The data shown are for single-grain OSL measurements made using the 212-250  $\mu$ m quartz fraction for all samples except GE16-4, for which the 250-300  $\mu$ m fraction was used; also shown is the single-grain TT-OSL signal brightness plot for the 250-300  $\mu$ m fraction of sample GE16-4 (1 grain per hole). Examples of (B) OSL and (C) TT-OSL decay curves and sensitivity-corrected doseresponse curves (inset) for two grains from samples GE16-1 and GE16-6.

35

Figure 3. Radial plots showing the paired single-grain OSL and single-grain TT-OSL De
 distributions obtained for samples (A) GE16-1 and (B) GE16-6 (De errors are shown at 1σ). The
 grey band is centred on the central age model De obtained for the OSL dataset.

40 **Figure 4.** Single-grain OSL ages (and associated  $1\sigma$  errors) for the seven luminescence samples 41 collected from Galería de las Estatuas (black circles), plotted against and the  $\delta^{18}$ O isotope curves for 42 the last glacial cycle (NGRIP and Intermediate North Atlantic records). The ages obtained for each excavation pit are shown on separate panels (GE-I in lower panel; GE-II in upper panel) because the lithostratigraphic unit (LU) numbering systems have been assigned independently for each excavated sequence (Arsuaga et al., 2017). Also shown are the single-grain TT-OSL ages obtained using the central age model (CAM) (white triangles). The continuous capping speleothem extends across both excavation pits, and its age (white circle) is based on previously published data (Martínez-Pillado et al., 2014). The grey hashed lines indicate tentative (spatially averaged) positions of the LU boundaries.

8 9

# 10 **References**

Andersen, K.K., Azuma, N., , Barnola, J.-M., Bigler, M., Biscaye, P., Caillon, N., Chappellaz, J., 11 12 Clausen, H.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Flückiger, J., Fritzsche, D., Fujii, Y., Goto-Azuma, K., 13 Grønvold, K., Gundestrup, N. S., Hansson, M., Huber, C., Hvidberg, C. S., Johnsen, S. J., Jonsell, 14 U., Jouze, J., Kipfstuh, S., Landais, A., Leuenberger, M., Lorrain, R., Masson-Delmotte, V., Miller, 15 H., Motoyama, H., Narita, H., Popp, T., Rasmussen, S.O., Raynaud, D., Rothlisberger, R., Ruth, U., 16 Samyn, D., Schwander, J., Shoji, H., Siggard-Andersen, M.-L., Steffensen, J.P., Stocker, T., 17 Sveinbjörnsdóttir, A.E., Svensson, A., Takata, M., Tison, J.-L., Thorsteinsson, Th., Watanabe, O., Wilhelms, F., White, J.W.C., 2004. High-resolution record of Northern hemisphere climate 18 19 extending into the last interglacial period. Nature 431, 147-151.

20

Aranburu, A., Martínez-Pillado, V., Arsuaga, J.L., Alcázar de Velasco, A., et al., 2012. La
variabilidad de los rellenos endokársticos de la Galería de Estatuas (Atapuerca, Burgos) y su
caracterización paleoambiental. In: González-Díez, A., et al. (Eds.), Avances de la Geomorfología
en España 2010-2012. Actas de la XII Reunión Nacional de Geomorfología. Santander, 17-20
September 2012.

26

Arnold L.J., Roberts, R.G., 2009. Stochastic modelling of multi-grain equivalent dose (De)
distributions: Implications for OSL dating of sediment mixtures. Quaternary Geochronology 4,
204–230.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Navazo Ruiz, M., 2012. Empirical insights into multi-grain averaging
 effects from 'pseudo' single-grain OSL measurements. Radiation Measurements 47, 652-658.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Navazo Ruiz, M., Benito-Calvo, A., Pérez-González, A., 2013. OSL
dating of the Middle Palaeolithic Hotel California site, Sierra de Atapuerca, north-central Spain.
Boreas 42, 285-305.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Parés, J.M., Arsuaga, J.L., Aranburu, A., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M.,
Carbonell, E., 2014. Luminescence dating and palaeomagnetic age constraint on hominins from
Sima de los Huesos, Atapuerca, Spain. Journal of Human Evolution 67, 85-107.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Parés, J.M., Pérez-González, A., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro,
J.M., Carbonell, E., 2015. Evaluating the suitability of extended-range luminescence dating
techniques over Early and Middle Pleistocene timescales: Published datasets and case studies from
Atapuerca, Spain. Quaternary International 389, 167-190.

46

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., 2015. Insights into TT-OSL signal stability from single-grain analyses of
known-age deposits at Atapuerca, Spain. Quaternary Geochronology 30, 472-478.

49

Arnold, L.J., Duval, M., Demuro, M., Spooner, N.A., Santonja, M., Pérez-González, A., 2016. OSL
 dating of individual quartz 'supergrains' from the Ancient Middle Palaeolithic site of Cuesta de la

52 Bajada, Spain. Quaternary Geochronology 36, 78-101.

- Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Spooner, N.A., Prideaux, G.J., McDowell, M.C., Camens, A.B., Reed,
  E.H., Parés, J.M., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E., Single-grain TT-OSL
  bleaching characteristics: insights from modern analogues and OSL dating comparisons. Quaternary
  Geochronology, in press, doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2018.01.004.
- Arsuaga J.L., Baquedano, E., Pérez-González, A., Sala, N., Quam, R.M., Rodríguez, L., García, R.,
  García, N., Alvarez-Lao, D.J., Laplana, C., Huguet, R., Sevilla, P., Maldonado, E., Blain, H-A.,
  Ruiz-Zapata, M.B., Sala, P., Gil-García, J., Uzquiano, P., Pantoja, A., Márquez, B., 2012.
  Understanding the ancient habitats of the last interglacial (late MIS 5) Neanderthals of central
  Iberia: Paleoenvironmental and taphonomic evidence from the Cueva del Camino (Spain) site.
  Quaternary International 275, 55-75.
- 13

- Arsuaga, J.L., Martínez, I., Arnold, L.J., Aranburu, A., Gracia-Téllez, A., Sharp, W.D., Quam,
  R.M., Falguères, C., Pantoja-Pérez, A., Bischoff, J., Poza-Rey, E., Parés, J.M., Carretero, J.M.,
  Demuro, M., Lorenzo, C., Sala, N., Martinón-Torres, M., García, N., Alcázar de Velasco, A.,
  Cuenca-Bescós, G., Gómez-Olivencia, A., Moreno, D., Pablos, A., Shen, C.-C., Rodríguez, L.,
  Ortega, A.I., García, R., Bonmatí, A., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E., 2014. Neandertal
  roots: Cranial and chronological evidence from Sima de los Huesos. Science 344, 1358-1363.
- 20
- Arsuaga, J.L., Gómez-Olivencia, A., Sala, N., Martínez-Pillado, V., Pablos, A., Bonmatí, A.,
  Pantoja-Pérez, A., Lira-Garrido, J., Alcázar de Velasco, A., Ortega, A.I., Cuenca-Bescós, G.,
  García, N., Aranburu, A., Ruiz-Zapata, B., Gil-García, M.J., Rodríguez-Alvarez, X.P., Ollé, A.,
  Mosquera, M., 2017. Evidence of paleoecological changes and Mousterian occupations at the
  Galeria de las Estatuas site, Sierra de Atapuerca, norther Iberian plateau, Spain. Quaternary
  Research 88, 345-367.
- 27
- Bañuls-Cardona, S., López-García, J.M., Morales Hidalgo, J.I., Cuenca-Bescós, G., Vergès, J.M.,
  2017. Lateglacial to Late Holocene palaeoclimatic and palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of El
  Mirador cave (Sierra de Atapuerca, Burgos, Spain) using the small-mammal assemblages.
  Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 471, 71-81.
- Berger, G.W., Pérez-González, A., Carbonell, E., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.-M., Ku, T.L., 2008. Luminescence chronology of cave sediments at the Atapuerca paleoanthropological site,
  Spain. Journal of Human Evolution 55, 300–311.
- 36
- Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Arsuaga, J.L., Carbonell, E., Rosas, A., Martínez, I., Mosquera, M.,
  1997. A hominid from the Lower Pleistocene of Atapuerca, Spain: Possible ancestor to neandertals
  and modern humans. Science 276, 1392-1395.
- 40
- Bischoff, J.L., Garcia, J.F., Straus, G., 1992. Uranium-series isochron dating at El Castillo cave
  (Cantabria, Spain): the "Acheulean"/"Mousterian" question. Journal of Archaeological Science 19,
  49-92.
- 44
- 45 Carbonell, E., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Parés, J.M., Pérez-González, A., Ollé, A., Mosquera, M.,
- 46 Cuenca-Bescós, G., García, N., Granger, D.E., Huguet, R., van der Made, J., Martinón-Torres, M.,
- 47 Rodríguez, X.P., Rosas, A., Sala, R., Stock, G.M., Vallverdu, J., Vergés, J.M., Allué, E., Benito, A.,
- 48 Burjachs, F., Cáceres, I., Canals, A., Díez, J.C., Lozano, M., Mateos A., Navazo, M., Rodríguez, J.,
- 49 Rosell, J., Arsuaga, J.L., 2008. The first hominin of Europe. Nature 452, 465-469.
- 50

- Carretero, J.M., Ortega, J.M., Juez, A.I., Pérez-González, A., Arsuaga, J.L., Pérez-Martínez, R.,
   Ortega, M.C., 2008. A Late Pleistocene-Early Holocene archaeological sequence of Portalón de
- 3 Cueva Mayor (Sierra de Atapuerca, Burgos, Spain). Munibe (Antropologia Arkeologia) 59, 67-80.
- 4

9

- Dalén, L., Orlando, L., Shapiro, B., Brandström-Durling, M., Quam, R., Thomas, M., Gilbert, P.,
  Díez Fernández-Lomana, J.C., Willerslev, E., Arsuaga, J.L., Gotherström, A., 2012. Partial genetic
  turnover in Neanderthals: continuity in the east and population replacement in the west. Mol Biol
  Evol 29, 1893-1897.
- Demuro, M., Arnold, L.J., Parés, J.M., Pérez-González, A., Ortega, A.I., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez
  de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E., 2014. New luminescence ages for the Galería Complex
  archaeological site: Resolving chronological uncertainties on the Acheulean record of the Sierra de
  Atapuerca, northern Spain. PLOS ONE 9, e110169.
- Demuro, M., Arnold, L.J., Parés, J.M., Sala, R., 2015. Extended-range luminescence chronologies
  suggest potentially complex bone accumulation histories at the Early-to-Middle Pleistocene
  palaeontological site of Huéscar-1 (Guadix-Baza basin, Spain). Quaternary International 389, 191212.
- Díez, C., Alonso, R., Bengoechea, A., Colina, A., Jordá, J.F., Navazo, M., Ortiz, J.E., Pérez, S.,
  Torres, T., 2008. El Paleolítico Medio en el valle del Arlanza (Burgos), los sitios de La Ermita,
  Millán y La Mina. Quaternario Geo-morfolgía 22, 135-157.
- Duval, M., Arnold, L., V. Guilarte, M. Demuro, M. Santonja and A. Pérez-Gonzalez., 2017.
  Electron Spin Resonance dating of optically bleached quartz grains from the Middle Palaeolithic
  site of Cuesta de la Bajada (Spain) using the multiple centres approach. Quaternary Geochronology
  37, 82-96.
- Duller, G.A.T., Wintle, A.G., 2012. A review of the thermally transferred optically stimulated
   luminescence signal from quartz for dating sediments. Quaternary Geochronology 7, 6-20.
- Galbraith, R.F., Roberts, R.G., Laslett, G.M., Yoshida, H., Olley, J.M., 1999. Optical dating of
  single and multiple grains of quartz from Jinmium rock shelter, northern Australia: Part I,
  experimental design and statistical models. Archaeometry 41, 339-364.
- Hamm, G., Mitchell, P., Arnold, L.J., Prideaux, G.J., Questiaux, D., Spooner, N.A., Levchenko,
  V.A., Foley, E.C., Worthy, T.H., Stephenson, B., Coulthard, V., Coulthard, C., Wilton, S.,
  Johnston, D., 2016. Cultural innovation and megafauna interaction in the early settlement of arid
  Australia. Nature 539, 280-283.
- 40
- Jacobs, Z., Roberts, R.G., Lachlan, T.J., Karkanas, P., Marean, C.W., Roberts, D.L., 2011.
  Development of the SAR TT-OSL procedure for dating Middle Pleistocene dune and shallow
  marine deposits along the southern Cape coast of South Africa. Quaternary Geochronology 6, 491513.
- 45
- Jacobs, Z., Li, B., Farr, L., Hill, E., Hunt, C., Jones, S., Rabett, R., Reynolds, T., Roberts, R.G.,
  Simpson, D., Barker, G., 2017. The chronostratigraphy of the Haua Fteah cave (Cyrenaica,
  northeast Libya) Optical dating of early human occupation during Marine Isotope Stages 4, 5
  and 6. Journal of Human Evolution 105, 69-88.
- Lisiecki, L.E., Raymo, M.E., 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic
   δ180 records. Paleoceanography 20, PA 1003.

- 2 Lisiecki, L.E., Stern, J.V., 2016. Regional and global benthic  $\delta^{18}$ O stacks for the last glacial cycle.
- 3 Paleoceanography 31, 1364-1394.4
- 5 Martínez-Pillado, V., Aranburu, A., Arsuaga, J.L., Ruiz-Zapata, B., Gil-García, M.J., Stoll, H., 6 Yusta, I., Iriarte, E., Carretero, J.M., Edwards, R.L., Cheng, H., 2014. Upper Pleistocene and 7 Holocene palaeoenvironmental records in Cueva Mayor karst (Atapuerca, Spain) from different 8 proxies: speleothem crystal fabrics, palynology, and archaeology. International Journal of 9 Speleology 43, 1-14.
- 10

- Murray, A.S., Wintle, A., 2000. Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot
   regenerative-dose protocol. Radiation Measurements 32, 57–73.
- 13
- Navazo, M., Carbonell, E., 2014. Neanderthal settlement patterns during MIS 4–3 in Sierra de
  Atapuerca (Burgos, Spain). Quaternary International 331, 267-277.
- Navazo, M., Alonso-Alcalde, R., Benito-Calvo, A., Diez, J.C., Perez-Gonzalez, A., Carbonell, E.,
  2011. Hundidero: MIS 4 open air Neanderthal occupations in Sierra de Atapuerca. Archaeology
  Ethnology & Anthropology of Eurasia 39, 29-41.
- 20
- Ollé, A., Mosquera, M., Rodríguez, X.P., Lombera-Hermida, A., García-Antón, M.D., GarcíaMedrano, P., Peña, L., Menéndez, L. Navazo, M., Terradillos, M., Bargalló, A., Márquez, B., Sala,
  R., Carbonell, E., 2013. The Early Middle Pleistocene technological record from Sierra de
  Atapuerca (Burgos, Spain). Quaternary International 295, 138–167.
- 25
- Ortega, A.I., 2009. Evolución geomorfológica del Karst de la Sierra de Atapuerca (Burgos) y su
   relación con los yacimientos pleistocenos que contiene. Ph.D. Dissertation. Universidad de Burgos.
- 28
- Prescott, J.R., Hutton, J.T., 1994. Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for luminescence and ESR
  dating: large depths and long-term time variations. Radiation Measurements 23, 497-500.
- Quam, R.M., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Díez, C.J., Lorenzo, C., Carretero, J.M.,
  García, N., Ortega, A.I., 2001. Human remains from Valdegoba Cave (Huérmeces, Burgos, Spain).
  Journal of Human Evolution 41, 385-435.
- 35
- Rodríguez, J., Burjachs, F., Cuenca-Bescós, G., García, N., Van der Made, J., Pérez-González, A.,
  Blain, H.-A., Expósito, I., Lopez-García, J.M., García Antón, M., Allué, E., Cáceres, I., Huguet, R.,
  Mosquera, M., Ollé, A., Rosell, J., Parés, J.M., Rodríguez, J.M., Díez, C., Rofes, J., Sala, R.,
  Saladié, P., Vallverdú, J., Bennasar, M.L., Blasco, R., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E.,
  2011. One million years of cultural evolution in a stable environment at Atapuerca (Burgos, Spain).
  Quaternary Science Reviews 30, 1396–1412.
- 42
- Sánchez Yustos, P., Diez Martín, F., 2016. Dancing to the rhythms of the Pleistocene? Early Middle
  Palaeolithic populations dynamics in NW Iberia (Duero Basin and Cantabrian Region). Quaternary
  Science Reviews 121, 75-88.
- 46
- 47 Stevens, T., Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, A.S., 2009. Towards development of a broadly-applicable SAR
  48 TT-OSL dating protocol for quartz. Radiation Measurements 44, 639–645.
- 49 50 Terradillos-Bernal, M., Díez Fernández-Lomana, C.J., Jordá-Pardo, J.-F., Benito-Calvo, A.,
- 51 Clemente, I., Marcos-Saiz, J.F., 2017. San Quirce (Palencia, Spain). A Neanderthal open air
- 52 campsite with short term-occupation patterns. Quaternary International 435, 115-128.

3 Tsukamoto, S., Duller, G.A.T., Wintle, A.G., 2008. Characteristics of thermally transferred optically stimulated luminescence (TT-OSL) in quartz and its potential for dating sediments. Radiation Measurements 43, 1204–1218.

Wang, X.L., Wintle, A.G., Lu, Y.C., 2006. Thermally transferred luminescence in fine-grained quartz from Chinese loess: Basic observations. Radiation Measurements 41, 649-658.

				Sir	ngle-grain OSI	L			Single-grain TT-OSL						
Sample	LU a	Grain size (µm) <sup>b</sup>	Total dose rate (Gy/ka)	Accepted/ measured grains <sup>c</sup>	Overdisper -sion (%) <sup>d</sup>	Age Model <sup>d</sup>	D <sub>e</sub> (Gy) <sup>f</sup>	Age (ka)	Grain size (µm) <sup>b</sup>	Total dose rate (Gy/ka)	Accepted/ measured grains <sup>c</sup>	Overdisper- sion (%) <sup>d</sup>	Age Model <sup>d,e</sup>	D <sub>e</sub> (Gy) <sup>f</sup>	Age (ka) <sup>f,g</sup>
<u>Excavation</u> <u>GE-II</u>															
GE16-6	1	212-250	1.88 ± 0.09	80/1400	26 ± 4	CAM	132 ± 5	70 ± 5	90-125	$1.97 \pm 0.09$	43/1400	45 ± 7	CAM	169 ± 14	86 ± 8
													MAM-3	115 ± 15	58 ± 8
													MAM-4	110 ± 21	56 ± 11
GE16-7	2	212-250	1.82 ± 0.09	79/900	30 ± 4	CAM	145 ± 6	79 ± 5	90-125	1.91 ± 0.10	35/700	21 ± 8	CAM	161 ± 10	84 ± 7
<u>Excavation</u> <u>GE-I</u>															
GE16-2	1	212-250	1.22 ± 0.06	52/1300	20 ± 4	CAM	97 ± 4	80 ± 5							
GE16-1	2	212-250	1.53 ± 0.08	94/1700	26 ± 3	CAM	127 ± 5	83 ± 5	90-125	1.61 ± 0.08	28/1100	20 ± 6	CAM	198 ± 13	123 ± 10
GE16-3	2	212-250	0.75 ± 0.04	53/900	21 ± 4	CAM	85 ± 4	113 ± 8							
GE16-4	3	250-300	1.04 ± 0.06	62/700	26 ± 4	CAM	111 ± 5	107 ± 8	250-300	1.04 ± 0.06	14/1400	18 ± 12	CAM	131 ± 13	126 ± 14
GE16-5	4	212-250	1.13 ± 0.06	101/1500	22 ± 3	CAM	126 ± 4	112 ± 7							

<sup>a</sup> LU = Lithostratigraphic unit

<sup>b</sup> Measurements were made on single-grain discs containing 300 x 300  $\mu$ m grain-hole positions. The number of grains contained in each grain-hole position is expected to be as follows: 90-125  $\mu$ m grains = 18 grains per hole; 212-250  $\mu$ m grains = 1-2 grains per hole; 250-300  $\mu$ m grains = 1 grains per hole (Arnold et al., 2012).

<sup>c</sup> Number of D<sub>e</sub> measurements that passed the SAR rejection criteria / total number of grains or multi-grain aliquots analysed.

<sup>d</sup> CAM = central age model; MAM-3 = three-parameter minimum model; MAM-4 = four-parameter minimum age model (Galbraith et al., 1999); Overdispersion parameter has been calculated using the CAM. <sup>e</sup> MAM-3 and MAM-4  $D_e$  estimates have been calculated after adding, in quadrature, a relative error of 15% to each individual  $D_e$  measurement error to approximate underlying dose overdispersion observed in typical sedimentary samples (Arnold and Roberts, 2009; Arnold et al., submitted).

<sup>f</sup> Mean  $\pm$  total uncertainty (68% confidence interval), calculated as the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties.

<sup>g</sup> Total uncertainty includes a systematic component of  $\pm 2\%$  associated with laboratory beta-source calibration.

**Table 1.** Single-grain OSL ages for Galería de las Estatuas. Also shown are the comparative single-grain TT-OSL ages obtained for four of the samples. Ages shown in bold have been used to derive the final chronologies for each sample (see main text for further discussions).









# Demuro et al. – Single-grain OSL dating of the Middle Palaeolithic site of Galería de las Estatuas, Atapuerca (Burgos, Spain)

# **Supplementary Information**

### Sedimentary sequence

Two sedimentary sequences exposed in separate excavation pits (GE-I and GE-II; located ~ 6 m apart) have been dated in this study (**Figure 1**). The lithostratigraphic units (LU) described for each excavation pit (see below) have been independently assigned and are not necessarily correlative due to lateral variations in sediment composition and differences in the position of the two pits relative to the cave opening (see Arsuaga et al., 2017 for further details).

The ~2 m-deep excavated sequence at GE-I comprises a 1.5–1.75 m-thick allochthonous sedimentary deposit capped by a 20 cm-thick stalagmitic speleothem. The sequence is sub-divided into five LUs, which are ordered, from the base upwards, as follows: LU5 – primarily comprises degraded speleothem fragments; LU4 (~60 cm thick) – composed of dark clays with heterometric angular clasts (cobble size >10 cm); LU3 (~40 cm thick) – composed of orange silty-clays, which become increasingly brown in colour and contain decimetric planar clasts towards the base. These deposits transition into sub-rounded, pebble-supported debris with a silty-clay matrix towards the top; LU2 (~20 cm thick) – made up of dark clays with orange sublevels and more clasts at the base; and LU1 (15-20 cm thick) – composed of orange clays and small (<1 cm diameter) pebbles.

Excavation pit GE-II, located closer to the ancient cave entrance, is ~1.5 m deep and the top 20 cm is made up of the same stalagmitic speleothem present in GE-I. Only two LUs have been identified in the GE-I sedimentary sequence: LU 2 – composed of dark clays with abundant angular planar-clasts (>10 cm long); and LU1 (~30 cm thick) – composed, from the base upwards, of orange clays and sporadic clasts (>10 cm long), and light sands with altered white clasts (possibly speleothem fragments).

In total, seven luminescence dating samples were collected from the sedimentary deposits exposed in pits GE-I and GE-II at Galería de las Estatuas. In pit GE-I, a single sample was collected from each of LU4 and LU3 (GE16-5 and GE16-4, respectively); two samples were collected from the base and upper half of LU2 (GE16-3 and GE16-1, respectively); and one sample was collected from the middle of LU1 (GE16-2). In pit GE-II, one sample was collected from LU1 (GE16-6) and a second sample was taken from LU2 (GE16-7).

# Instrumentation and dose rate estimation

Purified coarse-grained quartz fractions were prepared for burial dose estimation using standard preparation procedures (Aitken, 1998), including a 48% HF etch for 40 mins to remove the alphairradiated outer layer of the quartz extracts. Single-grain OSL measurements were performed on 212-250 µm quartz grains for all seven samples. Additional single-grain TT-OSL measurements were made on selected samples using either the 90-125 µm (GE16-1, GE16-6 and GE16-7) or 250-300 µm quartz grain fractions (GE16-4), depending on grain-size availability. OSL and TT-OSL signals have been measured on a Risø TL/OSL-DA-20 reader equipped with a a 10 mW Nd:YVO4 (532 nm) single-grain laser attachment, an EMI 9235QB PMT and a spatially calibrated <sup>90</sup>Sr / <sup>90</sup>Y β source (~6.7 Gy / min). Singlegrain OSL and TT-OSL UV emissions were detected through a 7.5 mm-thick U-340 Hoya filter. All single-grain D<sub>e</sub> measurements in this study have been made using standard single-grain aluminium discs drilled with an array of 300 µm x 300 µm holes. It is expected that ~18 grains were placed in each grainhole position of the single-grain discs when undertaking TT-OSL measurements on the 90-125 µm quartz fractions of samples GE16-1, GE16-6 and GE16-7 (Arnold et al., 2012a). Arnold et al. (2014) and Demuro et al (2014) have shown that the Atapuerca infill deposits contain relatively low proportions of TT-OSL-producing quartz grains. We have therefore chosen to measure the 90-125 µm fractions of these samples to enhance the number of usable grains per disc while minimising any 'pseudo' single-grain averaging effects. The feasibility of this approach is supported by the TT-OSL grain rejection statistics for sample GE16-4, which were obtained at the true single-grain resolution (i.e., by loading 212-250  $\mu$ m quartz into the 300  $\mu$ m x 300  $\mu$ m grain hole positions). For this sample, only 19% of individually measured grains produced a statistically distinguishable TT-OSL T<sub>n</sub> signal (**Table S6**). Demuro et al. (2013) have shown that pseudo single-grain D<sub>e</sub> measurements are not likely to induce any significant grain-hole averaging effects for samples characterised by such low yields (<30%) of luminescent grains.

Environmental dose rates (**Table S1**) have been calculated using a combination of in situ gamma spectrometry and low-level beta counting. Concentrations of K, U and Th were determined from field gamma spectra using the 'energy windows' method (Arnold et al., 2012b) and external gamma dose rates were calculated using the conversion factors of Guerin et al. (2011). The external beta dose rates were calculated from measurements made on a Risø GM-25-5 beta counter, using homogenised sediment sub-samples collected from the main luminescence dating sample positions. Cosmic-ray dose rates have been calculated using the approach described in Prescott and Hutton (1994) after taking into consideration site altitude, geomagnetic latitude, and density, thickness and geometry of sediment and bedrock overburden. The beta, gamma and cosmic-ray dose rates have been corrected for long-term sediment moisture contents (Aitken, 1985), which are taken to be equivalent to the present-day measured water contents. The latter ranged between 8% and 28% of dry weight, and have been assigned a relative uncertainty of 20% to accommodate any minor variations in hydrologic conditions during burial.

High-resolution gamma spectrometry (HRGS) was additionally performed on all samples to assess the state of secular equilibrium in the  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th decay series (**Table S2**). Daughter-parent isotopic ratios for <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>228</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Th are consistent with unity at either 1σ or 2σ for samples GE16-1, GE16-2, GE16-5, GE16-6 and GE16-7, confirming that the <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th chains are in present-day secular equilibrium. For samples GE16-3 and GE16-4, there is evidence for disequilibrium in the upper part of the <sup>238</sup>U decay chain, as the <sup>226</sup>Ra:<sup>238</sup>U ratios do not overlap with unity at  $2\sigma$  (0.71 ± 0.13 and 0.57  $\pm$  0.15, respectively). This disequilibrium may reflect the uptake of unsupported <sup>238</sup>U following burial (potentially related to the incorporation of bones in the sediment deposits). Alternatively, since we have used the post-radon daughter emissions of <sup>214</sup>Pb and <sup>214</sup>Bi to derive <sup>226</sup>Ra activities, the apparent excess of <sup>238</sup>U with respect to <sup>226</sup>Ra may reflect loss of radon (<sup>222</sup>Rn) gas to the cave atmosphere. Additional insights into the likely cause of this uranium series disequilibrium can be gleaned from the lithogenic <sup>230</sup>Th:<sup>232</sup>Th ratios for these two samples (approximated from the measured <sup>238</sup>U:<sup>228</sup>Ra ratios, assuming equilibrium between <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>230</sup>Th at the head of the uranium decay series, and assuming equilibrium between <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>228</sup>Ra at the head of the thorium decay series). The deposits preserved at Galería de las Estatuas are expected to have broadly similar <sup>230</sup>Th:<sup>232</sup>Th ratios, all else being equal, since they are thought to have originated from a common sediment source (see justification in Olley et al., 1997). This expectation is confirmed for samples GE16-1, GE16-2, GE16-5, GE16-6 and GE16-7, which exhibit a relatively narrow range of <sup>230</sup>Th:<sup>232</sup>Th (<sup>238</sup>U:<sup>228</sup>Ra) ratios (0.8 to 1.3). In contrast, samples GE16-3 and GE16-4 exhibit significantly higher <sup>238</sup>U:<sup>228</sup>Ra values (2.3), consistent with the uptake of additional, unsupported parental <sup>238</sup>U at the head of the uranium decay series. This interpretation is further supported by considering the <sup>226</sup>Ra:<sup>228</sup>Ra ratios of samples GE16-3 and GE16-4 as a proxy for their lithogenic  $^{230}$ Th: $^{232}$ Th ratios. Using this alternative assessment, the two samples yield  $^{230}$ Th: $^{232}$ Th ratios of 1.3 - 1.6, which are in closer agreement with the <sup>230</sup>Th:<sup>232</sup>Th ratios of the five samples that are in present-day secular equilibrium.

The excess <sup>238</sup>U activities of samples GE16-3 and GE16-4 are not considered to have a significant effect on the final dose rate estimates, as borne out by the stratigraphic consistency of the single-grain OSL ages obtained for excavation pit GE-I (see main text and **Figure 4**). Dosimetry modelling studies undertaken elsewhere have demonstrated that isotopic disequilibria of similar magnitudes are only likely to give rise to minor deviations (<5%) in long-term dose rate estimates (e.g., Olley et al., 1996, 1997; Preusser and Degering, 2007). Such systematic biases would be significantly less than the existing uncertainty ranges on our final dose rate estimates. The effects of <sup>238</sup>U disequilibrium will be further diminished for samples

GE16-3 and GE16-4 because the <sup>238</sup>U decay series only contributes 33-36% to the total quartz dose rate (calculated using the high resolution gamma spectrometry results). In this study, the gamma dose rates of the <sup>238</sup>U series have also been derived from post-radon emissions of <sup>214</sup>Bi daughter isotopes using field gamma spectrometry. This approach is likely to provide a more accurate assessment of the present-day dose rate if secular disequilibrium has occurred at the head of the decay series because ~98% of the <sup>238</sup>U series gamma dose rate originates from post-radon decays in the lower part of the chain (namely <sup>226</sup>Ra and its daughters; Stokes et al. 2003). Similarly, the beta counting results obtained in this study are not critically dependent on the assumption of <sup>238</sup>U secular equilibrium because ~60% of the beta particle emissions measured in the uranium decay series will have originated from <sup>226</sup>Ra and its daughters near the bottom of the decay chain.

### Equivalent dose $(D_e)$ measurements and estimation

Single-grain OSL and TT-OSL  $D_e$  measurements were made using the single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) protocols described in Murray and Wintle (2000) and Stevens et al. (2009), respectively, which were modified to enable measurements of individual grains (e.g., Arnold et al., 2016; Demuro et al., 2014; **Table S3**. Single grain OSL and TT-OSL  $D_e$  values were calculated after interpolating the sensitivity-corrected natural signal onto a sensitivity-corrected dose response curve that had been fitted with a single saturating exponential function. In all cases, the characteristic saturation dose ( $D_0$ ) value of the saturating exponential dose response curve was calculated for each grain. Sensitivity-corrected OSL and TT-OSL responses were constructed from the first 0.09 s and 0.24 s of laser stimulation, respectively, with a background subtraction derived from the last 0.25 s of stimulation. Individual  $D_e$  uncertainty ranges comprise from three sources of error: (i) a random uncertainty term arising from photon counting statistics for each OSL or TT-OSL measurement, calculated using Eq. 3 of Galbraith (2002); (ii) an empirically determined instrument reproducibility uncertainty of 1.9% for each single-grain measurement (calculated for the specific Risø reader used in this study according to the approach outlined in Jacobs et al., 2006a); and (iii) a dose-response curve fitting uncertainty determined using 1000 iterations of the Monte Carlo method described by Duller (2007) and implemented in Analyst.

Single-grain OSL and TT-OSL De estimates were not considered suitable for final age calculation if they exhibited one or more of the following properties: (i) their net  $T_n$  signals were  $<3\sigma$  above the late-light background; (ii) recycling ratios (sensitivity-corrected luminescence responses (L<sub>x</sub>/T<sub>x</sub>) for two identical regenerative doses) were not consistent with unity at  $2\sigma$ . For the single-grain OSL measurements, the recycling ratio test was performed using both a low-dose and high-dose regenerative dose cycle; (iii) the OSL-IR depletion ratio (Duller, 2003) was less than unity at  $2\sigma$ ; (iv) the recuperation ratio, calculated as the ratio of the sensitivity-corrected 0 Gy dose point  $(L_0/T_x)$  to the sensitivity-corrected natural  $(L_n/T_n)$ , was >5%; (v) the net T<sub>n</sub> signal had a relative error of >30%; (vi) the sensitivity-corrected natural signal  $(L_n/T_n)$  did not intercept the sensitivity-corrected dose-response curve; (vii) the dose-response curve displayed anomalous properties (i.e., zero or negative response with increasing dose) or very scattered  $L_x/T_x$  values that could not be successfully fitted with the Monte Carlo procedure and, hence, did not yield finite De values and uncertainty ranges; (viii) the Ln/Tn value intercepted the saturated part of the dose-response curve  $(L_n/T_n)$  values were equal to the  $I_{max}$  saturation limit of the dose-response curve at  $2\sigma$ ). The single-grain OSL and TT-OSL rejection statistics for the measured samples are shown in **Table** S5 and Table S6, respectively. Additionally, during analysis of the single-grain TT-OSL datasets it was found that a number of initially accepted grains displayed non-negligible, slow-decaying TT-OSL signals (i.e., their T<sub>x</sub> signals did not reach background after 2 s of laser stimulation). Further examination showed that the signals of these grains did not originate from genuine thermal transfer of charge into the fast OSL trap, but rather they corresponded to interfering (non-transferred) slow OSL components from the previous OSL stimulation, which had not reached background levels prior to commencing the TT-OSL measurements. As such these grains were not included in the final De estimation, and they have been assigned to an additional TT-OSL rejection category in Table S6.

It is noteworthy that 3-12% of measured single-grain OSL De values were rejected because their sensitivity-corrected natural signals intercepted the saturated region of their corresponding sensitivitycorrected dose response curves. If these rejected De values relate to genuinely old grains that are in dose saturation, then their exclusion may have acted to artificially truncate the upper tails of our empirical De distributions. However, there is reasonable evidence to suggest that these rejected saturated grains reflect intrinsically unfavourable OSL characteristics and / or non-optimal grain responses to the De measurement procedure. In particular, these rejected saturated grain populations exhibit below-average dose saturation characteristics, which are significantly lower than the corresponding dose saturation ranges observed for the accepted grains. The majority (70%) of rejected saturated grains have D<sub>0</sub> values of <50 Gy (Figure S5). The CAM D<sub>0</sub> value calculated for these rejected grains is  $42 \pm 1$  Gy (n = 372), which is 50% lower than the mean D<sub>0</sub> value of accepted grains. The dose recovery and natural D<sub>e</sub> datasets of GE16-1 also share similar proportions of saturated grains (3-5%), confirming that these behaviours are not exclusive to natural burial dose measurements. Taken together, these observations suggest that the rejected saturated grain populations have an experimental origin and that they may reflect unfavourable grain responses to the chosen SAR protocols. The exclusion of these grain populations from the final De datasets is therefore warranted and unlikely to exert any undue effects on the single-grain OSL age calculations.

Single-grain OSL and TT-OSL dose recovery tests were undertaken on samples GE16-1 and GE16-7, respectively, to assess the suitability of the chosen SAR protocols. Single-grain OSL dose recovery test measurements were made after administering a dose of 100 Gy to grains that had previously been bleached with two exposures of 1,000 s to blue LEDs, separated by a 10,000 s pause. Regenerative dose and test dose preheat treatments of 240°C for 10 s and 160°C for 10 s, respectively, were used in the single-grain dose recovery test (Figure S2). These preheat conditions were determined to be optimal for sample GE16-1 from initial multi-grain OSL dose recovery tests (Figure S3), which were conducted using blue LED OSL stimulation instead of green laser stimulation in steps 4 and 7 of the SAR sequence shown in **Table S3a**. The single-grain TT-OSL dose recovery tests were performed on GE16-7 by adding a dose of 148 Gy on top of the naturally accumulated dose for a sub-set of grains. This approach was adopted owing to the long durations of light exposure needed to bleach natural TT-OSL signals down to low residual levels. The recovered dose ratio was then calculated by subtracting the weighted mean natural De of sample GE16-7 from the weighted mean De of the unbleached and dosed grains (Table S4). Single-grain OSL and TT-OSL measured-to-given dose ratios of  $0.98 \pm 0.03$  and  $1.12 \pm 0.14$  were obtained using these procedures. Both dose recovery ratios are within  $2\sigma$  of unity, and support the suitability of the De measurement conditions used in this study.

### References

Aitken, M.J., 1985. Thermoluminescence Dating. Academic Press, London, 359 p.

Aitken 1998. An Introduction to Optical Dating: The Dating of Quaternary Sediments by the Use of Photon-Stimulated Luminescence. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 267 p.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Navazo Ruiz, M., 2012a. Empirical insights into multi-grain averaging effects from 'pseudo' single-grain OSL measurements. Radiation Measurements 47, 652-658.

Arnold, L.J., Duval, M., Falguères, C., Bahain, J.-J., Demuro, M., 2012b. Portable gamma spectrometry with cerium-doped lanthanum bromide scintillators: suitability assessments for luminescence and electron spin dating applications. Radiation Measurements 47, 6-18.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Navazo Ruiz, M., Benito-Calvo, A., Pérez-González, A., 2013. OSL dating of the Middle Palaeolithic Hotel California site, Sierra de Atapuerca, north-central Spain. Boreas 42, 285-305.

Arnold, L.J., Demuro, M., Parés, J.M., Arsuaga, J.L., Aranburu, A., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E., 2014. Luminescence dating and palaeomagnetic age constraint on hominins from Sima de los Huesos, Atapuerca, Spain. Journal of Human Evolution 67, 85-107.

Arnold, L.J., Duval, M., Demuro, M., Spooner, N.A., Santonja, M., Pérez-González, A., 2016. OSL dating of individual quartz 'supergrains' from the Ancient Middle Palaeolithic site of Cuesta de la Bajada, Spain. Quaternary Geochronology 36, 78-101.

Arsuaga J.L., Baquedano, E., Pérez-González, A., Sala, N., Quam, R.M., Rodríguez, L., García, R., García, N., Alvarez-Lao, D.J., Laplana, C., Huguet, R., Sevilla, P., Maldonado, E., Blain, H-A., Ruiz-Zapata, M.B., Sala, P., Gil-García, J., Uzquiano, P., Pantoja, A., Márquez, B., 2012. Understanding the ancient habitats of the last interglacial (late MIS 5) Neanderthals of central Iberia: Paleoenvironmental and taphonomic evidence from the Cueva del Camino (Spain) site. Quaternary International 275, 55-75.

Arsuaga, J.L., Gómez-Olivencia, A., Sala, N., Martínez-Pillado, V., Pablos, A., Bonmatí, A., Pantoja-Pérez, A., Lira-Garrido, J., Alcázar de Velasco, A., Ortega, A.I., Cuenca-Bescós, G., García, N., Aranburu, A., Ruiz-Zapata, B., Gil-García, M.J., Rodríguez-Alvarez, X.P., Ollé, A., Mosquera, M., 2017. Evidence of paleoecological changes and Mousterian occupations at the Galería de las Estatuas site, Sierra de Atapuerca, norther Iberian plateau, Spain. Quaternary Research 88, 345-367.

Bischoff, J.L., Garcia, J.F., Straus, G., 1992. Uranium-series isochron dating at El Castillo cave (Cantabria, Spain): the "Acheulean"/"Mousterian" question. Journal of Archaeological Science 19, 49-92.

Bowler, J.M., Johnston, H., Olley, J.M., Prescott, J.R., Roberts, R.G., Shawcross, W., Spooner, N.A., 2003. New ages for human occupation and climate change at Lake Mungo, Australia. Nature 421, 837-840.

Bøtter-Jensen, L., Mejdahl, M., 1988. Assessment of beta dose-rate using a GM multicounter system. Nuclear Tracks and Radiation Measurements 14, 187-191.

Brennan, B.J., 2003. Beta doses to spherical grains. Radiation Measurements 37, 299-303.

Bronk Ramsey, C., Lee, S., 2013. Recent and planned developments of the program OxCal, Radiocarbon 55, 3-4.

Dalén, L., Orlando, L., Shapiro, B., Brandström-Durling, M., Quam, R., Thomas, M., Gilbert, P., Díez Fernández-Lomana, J.C., Willerslev, E., Arsuaga, J.L., Gotherström, A., 2012. Partial genetic turnover in Neanderthals: continuity in the east and population replacement in the west. Mol Biol Evol 29, 1893-1897.

Demuro, M., Arnold, L.J., Froese, D.G., Roberts, R.G., 2013. OSL dating of loess deposits bracketing Sheep Creek tephra beds, northwest Canada: Dim and problematic single-grain OSL characteristics and their effect on multi-grain age estimates. Quaternary Geochronology 15, 67-87.

Demuro, M., Arnold, L.J., Parés, J.M., Pérez-González, A., Ortega, A.I., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell, E., 2014. New luminescence ages for the Galería Complex archaeological site: Resolving chronological uncertainties on the Acheulean record of the Sierra de Atapuerca, northern Spain. PLOS ONE 9, e110169.

Demuro, M., Arnold, L.J., Parés, J.M., Sala, R., 2015. Extended-range luminescence chronologies suggest potentially complex bone accumulation histories at the Early-to-Middle Pleistocene palaeontological site of Huéscar-1 (Guadix-Baza basin, Spain). Quaternary International 389, 191-212.

Díez, C., Alonso, R., Bengoechea, A., Colina, A., Jordá, J.F., Navazo, M., Ortiz, J.E., Pérez, S., Torres, T., 2008. El Paleolítico Medio en el valle del Arlanza (Burgos), los sitios de La Ermita, Millán y La Mina. Quaternario Geo-morfolgía 22, 135-157.

Diez Martín, F., Sánchez Yustos, P., Gómez González, J A., Gómez de la Rua, D., Yravedra Sáinz de los Terreros, J., Díaz Muñoz, I., 2011. La ocupacion neanderthal en el Canon de La Horadada (Mave, Palencia, España): nuevas perspectivas arqueológicas en Cueva Corazón. Munibe (Antropologia-Arkeologia) 62, 65-85.

Domingo, R., Peña-Monné, J.L., Torres, Y., Ortiz, E.J., Utrilla, P., 2017. Neanderthal highlanders: Las Callejuelas (Monteagudo del Castillo, Teruel, Spain), a high-altitude site occupied during MIS 5. Quaternary International 435, 129-143.

Duller, G.A.T., 2003. Distinguishing quartz and feldspar in single grain luminescence measurements. Radiation Measurements 37, 161–165.

Duller, G.A.T., 2007. Assessing the error on equivalent dose estimates derived from single aliquot regenerative dose measurements. Ancient TL 25, 15-24.

Galbraith, R., 2002. A note on the variance of a background-corrected OSL count. Ancient TL 20, 49-51.

Guérin, G., Mercier, M., Adamiec, G., 2011. Dose-rate conversion factors: update. Ancient TL 29, 5-8.

Jacobs, Z., Duller, G.A.T., Wintle, A.G., 2006a. Interpretation of single grain De distributions and calculation of De. Radiation Measurements 41, 264-277.

Jacobs, Z., Duller, G.A.T., Wintle, A.G., Henshilwood, C.S., 2006b. Extending the chronology of deposits at Blombos Cave, South Africa, back to 140 ka using optical dating of single and multiple grains of quartz. Journal of Human Evolution 51, 255-273.

Murray, A.S., Wintle, A., 2000. Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol. Radiation Measurements 32, 57-73.

Martínez-Pillado, V., Aranburu, A., Arsuaga, J.L., Ruiz-Zapata, B., Gil-García, M.J., Stoll, H., Yusta, I., Iriarte, E., Carretero, J.M., Edwards, R.L., Cheng, H., 2014. Upper Pleistocene and Holocene palaeoenvironmental records in Cueva Mayor karst (Atapuerca, Spain) from different proxies: speleothem crystal fabrics, palynology, and archaeology. International Journal of Speleology 43, 1-14.

Mejdahl, V., 1979. Thermoluminescence dating: beta-dose attenuation in quartz grains. Archaeometry 21, 61–72.

Mejdahl, V., 1987. Internal radioactivity in quartz and feldspar grains. Ancient TL 5, 10-17.

Moure, A., García Soto, E., 1982. Datación radiocarbónica del Mousteriense de Cueva Millán (Hortiguela, Burgos). Boletín del Sedimentario de Estudios de Arte y Arqueología 48, 71-72.

Navazo, M., Díez, J.C., Torres, T., Colina, A., Ortiz, J.E., 2005. La cueva de Prado Vargas. Un yacimiento del Paleolítico Medio en el sur de la Cordillera Cantábrica. In: Lasheras, J.A., Montes, R. (Eds.), Neandertales cantábricos, estado de la cuestión. Museo de Altamira, Santander, pp. 151-166.

Navazo, M., Alonso-Alcalde, R., Benito-Calvo, A., Diez, J.C., Perez-Gonzalez, A., Carbonell, E., 2011. Hundidero: MIS 4 open air Neanderthal occupations in Sierra de Atapuerca. Archaeology Ethnology & Anthropology of Eurasia 39, 29-41.

Olley, J.M., Murray, A., Roberts, R.G., 1996. The effects of disequilibria in the uranium and thorium decay chains on burial dose rates in fluvial sediments. Quaternary Science Reviews (Quaternary Geochronology) 15, 751-760.

Olley, J.M., Roberts, R.G., Murray, A.S., 1997. Disequilibria in the uranium decay series in sedimentary deposits at Allen's Cave, Nullarbor Plain, Australia: implications for dose rate determinations. Radiation Measurements 27, 433-443.

Ortega, A.I., Benito-Calvo, A., Pérez-González, A., Martín-Merino, M.A., Pérez-Martínez, R., Pares, J.M., Aramburu, A., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.L., Carbonell, E., 2013. Evolution of multilevel caves in the Sierra de Atapuerca (Burgos, Spain) and its relation to human occupation. Geomorphology 196, 122-137.

Pawley, S.M., Bailey, R.M., Rose, J., Moorlock, B.S.P., Hamblin, R.J.O., Booth, S.J., Lee, J.R., 2008. Age limits on Middle Pleistocene glacial sediments from OSL dating, north Norfolk, UK. Quaternary Science Reviews 27, 1363-1377.

Prescott, J.R., Hutton, J.T., 1994. Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for luminescence and ESR dating: large depths and long-term time variations. Radiation Measurements 23, 497-500.

Preusser, F., Degering, D., 2007. Luminescence dating of the Niederweningen mammoth site, Switzerland. Quaternary International 164-165, 106-112.

Quam, R.M., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Díez, C.J., Lorenzo, C., Carretero, J.M., García, N., Ortega, A.I., 2001. Human remains from Valdegoba Cave (Huérmeces, Burgos, Spain). Journal of Human Evolution 41, 385-435.

Readhead, M.L., 2002. Absorbed dose fraction for  ${}^{87}$ Rb  $\beta$  particles. Ancient TL 20, 25-28.

Rees-Jones, J., 1995. Optical dating of young sediments using fine-grain quartz. Ancient TL 13, 9-14.

Rees-Jones, J., Tite, M.S., 1997. Optical dating results for British archaeological sediments. Archaeometry 39, 177-187.

Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T.J., Hoffmann, D.L., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Niu. M., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Staff, R.A., Turney, C.S.M., van der Plicht, J., 2013. IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon 55, 1869–1887.

Sánchez Yustos, P., Diez Martín, F., 2016. Dancing to the rhythms of the Pleistocene? Early Middle Palaeolithic populations dynamics in NW Iberia (Duero Basin and Cantabrian Region). Quaternary Science Reviews 121, 75-88.

Stevens, T., Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, A.S., 2009. Towards development of a broadly-applicable SAR TT-OSL dating protocol for quartz. Radiation Measurements 44, 639-645.

Stokes, S., Ingram, S., Aitken, M.J., Sirocko, F., Anderson, R., Leuschner, D., 2003. Alternative chronologies for Late Quaternary (Last Interglacial-Holocene) deep sea sediments via optical dating of silt-sized quartz. Quaternary Science Reviews 22, 925-941.

Terradillos-Bernal, M., Díez Fernández-Lomana, C.J., Jordá-Pardo, J.-F., Benito-Calvo, A., Clemente, I., Marcos-Saiz, J.F., 2017. San Quirce (Palencia, Spain). A Neanderthal open air campsite with short term-occupation patterns. Quaternary International 435, 115-128.

Wintle, A.G., Murray, A.S., 2006. A review of quartz optically stimulated luminescence characteristics and their relevance in single-aliquot regeneration dating protocols. Radiation Measurements 41, 369-391.



**Figure S1.** Maps showing the location of the Galería de las Estatuas site within the multi-level Cueva Mayor-Cueva del Silo cave system at Atapuerca (modified from Ortega et al., 2013). (A) Plan view of the endokarst system. The red box highlights the location of Galería de las Estatuas site. (B) Cross-sectional view of the cave system. The red circle highlights the location of Galería de las Estatuas site.



**Figure S2.** Radial plot showing single-grain OSL dose recovery test results obtained for 212-250  $\mu$ m quartz grains of sample GE16-1 using SAR protocol A in **Table S3** (D<sub>e</sub> errors are shown at 1 $\sigma$ ). Grains were bleached within the Risø reader chamber using blue LEDs prior to administering a dose of 100 Gy. The central age model (CAM) measured-to-given dose ratio is 0.98 ± 0.03 and the overdispersion is 10 ± 5%.



**Figure S3.** Multi-grain dose recovery test results obtained for sample GE16-1 after administering a dose of 45 Gy (errors are shown at  $1\sigma$ ). Plots show (A) the recovered doses, (B) the recycling ratios and (C) the recuperation values obtained for different preheat conditions. De measurements were made with a modified version of SAR protocol A (replacing single-grain laser stimulations in steps 4 and 7 with blue LED OSL stimulations performed at 125 °C for 60 s) using various regenerative-dose preheat (PH1) and test-dose preheat (PH2) combinations. De measurements were made on multi-grain aliquots containing ~900 quartz grains.



**Figure S4**. Radial plots showing the single-grain OSL  $D_e$  distributions obtained for the Galería de las Estatuas samples ( $D_e$  errors are shown at  $1\sigma$ ). The dark grey bands are centred on the weighted mean  $D_e$  values, which have been calculated using the CAM.



**Figure S5**. Histograms showing the range of single-grain OSL  $D_0$  values obtained for accepted and saturated grains ( $D_0$  errors are shown at  $1\sigma$ ). The  $D_0$  value characterises the rate of signal saturation with respect to administered dose and equates to the dose value for which the saturating exponential dose-response curve slope is 1/e (or ~ 0.37) of its initial value.  $D_0$  values have been calculated using saturating exponential dose response curve fits in all cases.



**Figure S6.** Changes in single-grain CAM  $D_e$  values observed after applying the indicated 2\*D<sub>0</sub> threshold values (Demuro et al., 2015; Arnold et al., 2016) to the originally accepted  $D_e$  datasets of three representative samples ( $D_e$  errors are shown at 1 $\sigma$ ). Similar plots were obtained for the remaining samples. The number of accepted grains remaining after applying progressively higher 2\*D<sub>0</sub> thresholds is shown for each sample.



Figure S7. Radial plots showing the single-grain TT-OSL  $D_e$  distributions obtained for a subset of the Galería de las Estatuas samples; (A) GE16-1, (B) GE16-4, (C) GE16-6 and (D) GE16-7 ( $D_e$  errors are shown at  $1\sigma$ ). The dark grey bands are centred on the weighted mean  $D_e$  values, which have been calculated using the CAM. In (C) the open band is centred on the mean  $D_e$  obtained using the 3-parameter minimum age model (MAM-3).

Sample	Sample depth (cm)	Water content <sup>a</sup>	Grain fraction (µm)	Gamma dose rate (Gy/ka) <sup>b, c</sup>	Beta dose rate (Gy/ka) <sup>d</sup>	Cosmic dose rate (Gy/ka) <sup>e</sup>	Internal dose rate (U+Th) (Gy/ka) <sup>f</sup>	Total dose rate (Gy/ka) <sup>g</sup>
GE16-1	57	24.5	212-250	0.46±0.02	1.02±0.05	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.53±0.08
GE16-1	57	24.5	90-125	0.46±0.02	1.09±0.05	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.61±0.08
GE16-2	38	8.1	212-250	0.43±0.02	0.73±0.04	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.22±0.06
GE16-3	72	24.2	212-250	0.25±0.02	0.46±0.02	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	0.75±0.04
GE16-4	97	28.2	250-300	0.21±0.01	0.79±0.04	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.05±0.06
GE16-5	155	23.2	212-250	0.33±0.02	0.75±0.04	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.13±0.06
GE16-6	32	15.3	212-250	0.64±0.02	1.19±0.06	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.89±0.09
GE16-6	32	24.5	90-125	0.64±0.02	1.27±0.06	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.97±0.09
GE16-7	76	21.3	212-250	0.54±0.02	1.23±0.06	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.82±0.09
GE16-7	76	21.3	90-125	0.54±0.02	1.31±0.07	0.02±0.01	0.03±0.01	1.91±0.10

<sup>a</sup> Water content, expressed as % of dry mass of sample and assigned a relative uncertainty of  $\pm 20\%$ .

<sup>b</sup> Radionuclide concentrations and specific activities have been converted to dose rates using the conversion factors given in Guérin et al. (2011) and Readhead (2002), making allowance for beta-dose attenuation (Mejdahl, 1979; Brennan, 2003).

<sup>c</sup> Gamma dose rates were calculated from *in situ* measurements made at each sample position with a NaI:TI detector using the 'energy windows' method detailed in Arnold et al. (2012b).

<sup>d</sup> Beta dose rates were determined using a Risø GM-25-5 low-level beta counter (Bøtter-Jensen and Mejdahl, 1988), after making allowance for beta dose attenuation due to grain-size effects and HF etching (Brennan, 2003). <sup>e</sup> Cosmic-ray dose rates were calculated following Prescott and Hutton (1994) and assigned a relative uncertainty of ± 10%.

<sup>f</sup> Assumed internal (alpha plus beta) dose rate for the quartz fractions are based on published <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th measurements for etched quartz grains from a range of locations (e.g. Mejdahl, 1987; Bowler et al., 2003; Jacobs et al., 2006b; Pawley et al., 2008) and an alpha efficiency factor (a-value) of 0.04  $\pm$  0.01 (Rees-Jones, 1995; Rees-Jones and Tite, 1997).

<sup>g</sup> Mean ± total uncertainty (68% confidence interval), calculated as the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties.

**Table S1**. Environmental dose rate values calculated for the quartz fractions of the Galería de las Estatuas samples.

		Radionuclio	de specific		Daughter: parent isotopic ratio				
Sample	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>226</sup> Ra	<sup>210</sup> Pb	<sup>228</sup> Ra	<sup>228</sup> Th	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>226</sup> Ra: <sup>238</sup> U	<sup>210</sup> Pb: <sup>226</sup> Ra	<sup>228</sup> Th: <sup>228</sup> Ra
GE16-1	51.4 ± 6.6	46.2 ± 3.1	43.2 ± 4.9	$40.5 \pm 3.6$	43.2 ± 3.2	342 ± 12	$0.90 \pm 0.13$	0.94 ± 0.13	1.07 ± 0.13
GE16-2	19.5 ± 2.6	18.3 ± 1.3	18.8 ± 2.2	16.3 ± 1.5	16.4 ± 1.3	134 ± 5	$0.94 \pm 0.14$	1.03 ± 0.14	1.00 ± 0.12
GE16-3	32.4 ± 4.1	22.9 ± 3.2	18.8 ± 2.2	14.2 ± 1.4	14.7± 1.2	123 ± 5	0.71 ± 0.13	0.82 ± 0.15	1.03 ± 0.13
GE16-4	62.3 ± 7.6	35.3 ± 8.2	26.6 ± 3.0	26.7 ± 2.4	27.8 ± 2.1	232 ± 8	0.57 ± 0.15	0.75 ± 0.19	1.04 ± 0.12
GE16-5	29.8 ± 3.8	24.1 ± 1.9	21.5 ± 2.5	31.4 ± 2.8	31.3 ± 2.3	271 ± 9	0.81 ± 0.12	0.89 ± 0.13	1.00 ± 0.12
GE16-6	37.1 ± 4.7	36.6 ± 2.5	36.1 ± 4.1	47.6 ± 4.1	47.9 ± 3.5	393 ± 13	0.99 ± 0.14	0.99 ± 0.13	1.00 ± 0.11
GE16-7	62.0 ± 7.8	46.3 ± 5.4	40.8 ± 4.7	46.4 ± 4.2	48.6 ± 3.7	372 ± 13	0.75 ± 0.13	0.88 ± 0.14	1.05 ± 0.12

<sup>a</sup> Measurements made on dried and powdered sediment sub-samples of ~120 g. <sup>b</sup> Mean ± total uncertainty (68% confidence interval), calculated as the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties.

Table S2. High-resolution gamma spectrometry (HRGS) results for luminescence dating samples collected from Galería de las Estatuas. The specific activities of <sup>238</sup>U (determined from <sup>235</sup>U emissions after correcting for <sup>226</sup>Ra interference), <sup>226</sup>Ra (derived from <sup>214</sup>Pb and <sup>214</sup>Bi emissions), <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>228</sup>Ra (derived from <sup>212</sup>Pb, <sup>212</sup>Bi and <sup>208</sup>Tl emissions) and <sup>40</sup>K were measured for each sediment sample, and used to calculate daughter-to-parent isotope ratios for <sup>226</sup>Ra:<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>210</sup>Pb:<sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Th:<sup>228</sup>Ra.

Α			В		
Step	Single-grain OSL		Step	Single-grain TT-OSL	
1 <sup>a</sup>	Give dose		1 <sup>a</sup>	Give dose	
2 <sup>b</sup>	Stimulate with infrared diodes at 50°C for 40 s (90% power)	t	2	Preheat to 260°C for 10 s	
3	Preheat to 240°C for 10 s		3	Stimulate with green laser at 125°C for 2 s (90% power)	
4	Stimulate with green laser at 125°C for 2 s (90% power)	OSL Ln or Lx	4	Preheat to 260°C for 10 s	
5	Give test dose		5	Stimulate with green laser at 125°C for 3 s (90% power)	TT-OSL Ln or Lx
6	Preheat to 160°C for 10 s		6	Stimulate with blue LEDs at 280°C for 400 s	
7	Stimulate with green laser at 125°C for 2 s (90% power)	OSL T <sub>n</sub> or T <sub>x</sub>	7	Give test dose	
8	Return to 1		8	Preheat to 260°C for 10 s	
			9	Stimulate with green laser at 125ºC for 2 s (90% power)	
			10	Preheat to 260°C for 10 s	
			11	Stimulate with green laser at 125°C for 3 s (90% power)	TT-OSL Tn or Tx
			12	Stimulate with blue LEDs at 290°C for 400 s	
			13	Return to 1	

 $^{\rm a}$  Step omitted when measuring the natural signal (L<sub>n</sub>).  $^{\rm b}$  Step added only when measuring the OSL-IR depletion ratio described in Duller (2003).

Table S3. SAR protocols used in this study to obtain single-grain OSL (protocol A) and single-grain TT-OSL (protocol B) ages.  $L_n$  and  $L_x$  refer to the natural and regenerative-dose signal measurements, respectively.  $T_n$  and  $T_x$  refer to the test dose signals measured after the  $L_n$  and  $L_x$  signals, respectively.

Sample	Given dose (Gy)	accepted/ measured	Recycling ratio <sup>a</sup>	CAM D <sub>e</sub> (Gy) <sup>a</sup>	OD (%) <sup>a</sup>
GE16-7	None (natural)	35 / 700	$1.03 \pm 0.05$	161 ± 10	21 ± 8
GE16-7	148 ± 3	40 / 600	$0.96 \pm 0.04$	327 ± 19	19 ± 7
		<u>Measured E</u>	De (after natural dose ubtraction)	<u>166 ± 22</u>	
		Dose	recovery ratio	<u>1.12 ± 0.14</u>	

<sup>a</sup> Mean ± total uncertainty (68% confidence interval), calculated as the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties.

**Table S4.** Single-grain TT-OSL dose recovery test results obtained for 90-125  $\mu$ m quartz grains of sample GE16-7.

Rejected grains (%)											
Sample	Grains measured (n)	T₅ signal <3xBG	Poor low dose recycling ratio	Poor high dose recycling ratio	IR depletion ratio	Recuperati on >5%	Net T <sub>n</sub> error >30%	Ln/Tn not intercepting DRC	Anomalous dose- response curve	Saturated	Accepted grains (%)
GE16-1	1700	60	9	4	2	<1	11	1	3	5	5
GE16-1 (DRT)	800	60	7	6	4	0	11	0	4	3	5
ĠE16-2	1300	66	9	4	2	<1	10	<1	3	3	4
GE16-3	900	62	9	5	2	<1	10	<1	2	4	6
GE16-4	700	32	10	5	5	0	17	2	9	12	9
GE16-5	1500	58	8	3	4	<1	13	<1	3	5	7
GE16-6	1400	57	8	4	5	<1	12	<1	3	5	6
GE16-7	900	42	6	6	4	<1	15	2	6	9	9

**Table S5.** Single-grain OSL statistics showing proportion of rejected and accepted grains after applying the SAR rejection criteria. Data are also shown for the single-grain OSL dose recovery test (DRT) measurements made on sample GE16-1 (second row).

						Rej	ected grains (%	)				
Sample	Grain size	Grains measured (n)	T₅ signal <3xBG	Poor low dose recycling ratio	IR depletion ratio	Recuperation >5%	Net T <sub>n</sub> error >30%	L <sub>n</sub> /T <sub>n</sub> not intercepting DRC	Anomalous dose-response curve	Saturated	Slow decay	Accepted grains (%)
GE16-1	90-125	1100	73	6	<1	<1	16	<1	1	<1	1	3
GE16-4	250-300	1400	81	5	<1	<1	12	<1	1	<1	<1	1
GE16-6	90-125	1400	70	6	<1	<1	17	<1	2	<1	1	3
GE16-7	90-125	700	60	9	<1	1	21	<1	3	<1	2	5

Table S6. Single-grain TT-OSL statistics showing proportion of rejected and accepted grains after applying the SAR rejection criteria.

Site	Region	Site type	Level	Sample	Age <sup>a</sup>	<sup>14</sup> C cal. age (cal ka BP) <sup>b</sup>	Method	Reference
Prado Vargas	Cornejo, Burgos	Cave	4	Horse tooth	46.4 ka		AAR	Navazo et al. (2005)
Cueva Millan	Hortigüela, Burgos	Cave	1a 1b	Charcoal (GrN-11021) Charcoal (GrN-1161)	37.6 ± 0.7 ka 37.4 ± 0.6 ka	38.9–41.1 ka 38.9–40.9 ka	conv. <sup>14</sup> C (uncalibrated) conv. <sup>14</sup> C (uncalibrated)	Moure and García (1982) Moure and García (1982)
La Mina	Hortigüela, Burgos	Cave		Tooth (LEB-6012)	52.5 ka		AAR	Díez et al. (2008)
Valdegoba	Huérmeces, Burgos	Cave	7 5	Capping stalagmitic flowstone Bone (OxA-21970)	<73.2 ± 5 ka 48.4 ± 3.3 ka	>48 ka	U-series AMS <sup>14</sup> C ultrafiltration (uncalibrated)	Quam et al. (2001) Dalen et al. (2012)
Hotel California	Atapuerca, Burgos	Open-air	V V II I	Sedimentary quartz grains (HC10-1) Sedimentary quartz grains (HC10-4) Sedimentary quartz grains (HC10-2) Sedimentary quartz grains (HC10-3)	48.2 ± 3.3 ka 48.2 ± 3.9 ka 57.6 ± 5.7 ka 71.0 ± 5.6 ka		Single-grain OSL Single-grain OSL Single-grain OSL Single-grain OSL	Arnold et al. (2013) Arnold et al. (2013) Arnold et al. (2013) Arnold et al. (2013)
Hundidero	Atapuerca, Burgos	Open-air	2 2 3 4	Sedimentary quartz grains Sedimentary quartz grains Sedimentary quartz grains Sedimentary quartz grains	30.2 ± 3.6 ka 58.8 ± 4.9 ka 56.2 ± 4.4 ka 70.6 ± 11 ka		OSL TL OSL TL	Navazo et al. (2011) Navazo et al. (2011) Navazo et al. (2011) Navazo et al. (2011)
Galería de las Estatuas	Atapuerca, Burgos	Cave	Base GE-I, LU1 GE-I, LU1 GE-I, LU2 GE-I, LU2 GE-I, LU2 GE-I, LU2 GE-I, LU3 GE-I, LU3 GE-I, LU3 GE-I, LU3 GE-I, LU4 GE-II, LU1b GE-II, LU1b	Capping flowstone Bone (Beta - 247621) Bone (OxA-21523) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-2) Bone (Beta - 247672) Bone (OxA-21524) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-1) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-3) Bone (Beta - 247628) Bone (OxA-21525) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-4) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-5) Bone (OxA-24563) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-6)	14. $2 \pm 0.3$ ka >45 ka 43.5 $\pm 1.8$ ka 80 $\pm 5$ ka >45.6 ka 80 $\pm 5$ ka 113 $\pm 8$ ka >45 ka 44.0 $\pm 1.9$ ka 107 $\pm 8$ ka 111 $\pm 7$ ka 44.2 $\pm 2.0$ ka 69 $\pm 4$ ka	44.7–>48 ka 43.0–>48 ka 43.2–>48 ka	U-series AMS <sup>14</sup> C standard AMS <sup>14</sup> C ultrafiltration Single-grain OSL AMS <sup>14</sup> C standard AMS <sup>14</sup> C ultrafiltration Single-grain OSL Single-grain OSL AMS <sup>14</sup> C standard AMS <sup>14</sup> C ultrafiltration Single-grain OSL Single-grain OSL AMS <sup>14</sup> C ultrafiltration Single-grain OSL	Martinez-Pillado et al. (2014) Arsuaga et al. (2017) Arsuaga et al. (2017) This study Arsuaga et al. (2017) Arsuaga et al. (2017) This study This study Arsuaga et al. (2017) Arsuaga et al. (2017) This study This study Arsuaga et al. (2017) This study
			GE-II, LU2 GE-II, LU2	Bone (OxA-24564) Sedimentary quartz grains (GE16-6)	>46.3 ka 80 ± 5 ka		AMS <sup>14</sup> C ultrafiltration Single-grain OSL	Arsuaga et al. (2017) This study
La Ermita	Hortigüela, Burgos	Cave	5a	Capping flowstone Capping flowstone Charcoal (OxA-4603)	95 ± 6 ka 102 ± 4 ka 31.1 ± 0.6 ka	32.1–34.2 ka	U-series U-series AMS <sup>14</sup> C (uncalibrated)	Sánchez Yustos and Diez Martín (2016) Sánchez Yustos and Diez Martín (2016) Díez et al. (2008)

			5a	Tooth	114 ± 42 ka	AAR	Díez et al. (2008)
			5a	looth	129 ± 39 ka	AAR	Diez et al. (2008)
San Quirce	San Quirce, Palencia	Open-air	Bed 6	Sedimentary quartz grains (SQ 1; C-L 3066)	73 ± 10 ka	Single-grain OSL	Terradillos-Bernal et al. (2017)
Cueva Corazon	Mave, Palencia	Cave	2 2	Burned lithic (MAD-4712BIN) Burned lithic (MAD-4715BIN)	97 ± 8 ka 96 ± 7 ka	TL TL	Diez Martín et al. (2011) Diez Martín et al. (2011)
El Castillo	Puente Viesgo, Cantabria	Cave	23c	Travertine	89 ± 10 ka	U-series	Bischoff et al. (1992)
Cueva del Camino	Pinilla del Valle, Madrid	Cave	South sector 8 5 3 (fluvial)	Sedimentary quartz grains Sedimentary quartz grains Sedimentary quartz grains Sedimentary quartz grains	74.5 ± 6.3 ka 91.6 ± 8.1 ka 90.9 ± 7.8 ka 140.4 ± 11.3 ka	TL TL TL TL	Arsuaga et al. (2012) Arsuaga et al. (2012) Arsuaga et al. (2012) Arsuaga et al. (2012)
Las Callejuelas	Monteagudo del Castillo, Teruel		91 cm 94 cm 164 cm Uncertain Uncertain	(LEB 8533) (LEB 8529) (LEB 8532) (LEB 8530) (LEB 8531)	116 ka 122 ka 135 ka 190 ka 252 ka	AAR AAR AAR AAR AAR	Domingo et al. (2017) Domingo et al. (2017) Domingo et al. (2017) Domingo et al. (2017) Domingo et al. (2017)

<sup>a</sup> Age uncertainties presented at  $1\sigma$ .

<sup>b</sup> Calibrated age presented at 95.4% probability range

AAR = amino acid racemisation; AMS  $^{14}$ C = accelerator mass spectrometry; conv.  $^{14}$ C = conventional  $^{14}$ C; ESR = electron spin resonance; OSL = optically stimulated luminescence; TL = thermoluminescence.  $^{14}$ C dates were calibrated using OxCal 4.2 (Bronk Ramsey and Lee, 2013) and the Intal13 atmospheric curve (Reimer et al., 2013).

Table S7. Regional dating context of Middle Palaeolithic sites across northern Spain mentioned in the text.