



Observation of electroweak $W^\pm Z$ boson pair production in association with two jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration*



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 December 2018

Received in revised form 16 April 2019

Accepted 6 May 2019

Available online 13 May 2019

Editor: M. Doser

ABSTRACT

An observation of electroweak $W^\pm Z$ production in association with two jets in proton–proton collisions is presented. The data collected by the ATLAS detector at the Large Hadron Collider in 2015 and 2016 at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are used, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} . Events containing three identified leptons, either electrons or muons, and two jets are selected. The electroweak production of $W^\pm Z$ bosons in association with two jets is measured with an observed significance of 5.3 standard deviations. A fiducial cross-section for electroweak production including interference effects and for a single leptonic decay mode is measured to be $\sigma_{WZjj-EW} = 0.57^{+0.14}_{-0.13} (\text{stat.})^{+0.07}_{-0.06} (\text{syst.}) \text{ fb}$. Total and differential fiducial cross-sections of the sum of $W^\pm Zjj$ electroweak and strong productions for several kinematic observables are also measured.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The scattering of vector bosons (VBS), $VV \rightarrow VV$ with $V = W/Z/\gamma$, is a key process with which to probe the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry of the electroweak (EW) theory that determines the self-couplings of the vector bosons. New phenomena beyond the Standard Model (SM) can alter the couplings of vector bosons, generating additional contributions to quartic gauge couplings (QGC) compared with the SM predictions [1–3].

In proton–proton collisions, VBS is initiated by an interaction of two vector bosons radiated from the initial-state quarks, yielding a final state with two bosons and two jets, $VVjj$, in a purely electroweak process [4]. VBS diagrams are not independently gauge invariant and cannot be studied separately from other processes leading to the same $VVjj$ final state [5]. Two categories of processes give rise to $VVjj$ final states. The first category, which includes VBS contributions, involves exclusively weak interactions at Born level of order α_{EW}^6 including the boson decays, where α_{EW} is the electroweak coupling constant. It is referred to as electroweak production. The second category involves both the strong and electroweak interactions at Born level of order $\alpha_S^2 \alpha_{\text{EW}}^4$, where α_S is the strong interaction coupling constant. It is referred to as QCD production. According to the SM a small interference occurs between electroweak and QCD production.

Different searches for diboson electroweak production have been performed by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations at the LHC. So far, electroweak $VVjj$ production has only been observed in the same-sign $W^\pm W^\pm jj$ channel by CMS using data collected at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [6]. Evidence of electroweak $VVjj$ production has also been obtained in the $W^\pm W^\pm jj$ [7,8] and $Z\gamma jj$ [9] channels by ATLAS and CMS, respectively, using smaller samples of data recorded at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Limits on electroweak cross-sections for the production of two gauge boson have been reported for the $W^\pm Zjj$ [10,11], $ZZjj$ [12], $Z\gamma jj$ [13] and $W\gamma jj$ [14] channels by ATLAS and CMS.

This Letter reports on an observation and measurement of electroweak $W^\pm Zjj$ production, exploiting the fully leptonic final states where both the Z and W bosons decay into electrons or muons. The pp collision data were collected with the ATLAS detector in 2015 and 2016 at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} .

2. The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [15] is a multipurpose detector with a cylindrical geometry¹ and nearly 4π coverage in solid angle. The collision point is surrounded by inner tracking detectors, collec-

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam direction. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse (x, y) plane,

tively referred to as the inner detector (ID), located within a superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T axial magnetic field, followed by a calorimeter system and a muon spectrometer (MS).

The inner detector provides precise measurements of charged-particle tracks in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of three subdetectors arranged in a coaxial geometry around the beam axis: a silicon pixel detector, a silicon microstrip detector and a transition radiation tracker.

The electromagnetic calorimeter covers the region $|\eta| < 3.2$ and is based on high-granularity, lead/liquid-argon (LAr) sampling technology. The hadronic calorimeter uses a steel/scintillator-tile detector in the region $|\eta| < 1.7$ and a copper/LAr detector in the region $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$. The most forward region of the detector, $3.1 < |\eta| < 4.9$, is equipped with a forward calorimeter, measuring electromagnetic and hadronic energies in copper/LAr and tungsten/LAr modules.

The muon spectrometer comprises separate trigger and high-precision tracking chambers to measure the deflection of muons in a magnetic field generated by three large superconducting toroidal magnets arranged with an eightfold azimuthal coil symmetry around the calorimeters. The high-precision chambers cover the range $|\eta| < 2.7$ with three layers of monitored drift tubes, complemented by cathode strip chambers in the forward region, where the particle flux is highest. The muon trigger system covers the range $|\eta| < 2.4$ with resistive-plate chambers in the barrel and thin-gap chambers in the endcap regions.

A two-level trigger system is used to select events in real time [16]. It consists of a hardware-based first-level trigger and a software-based high-level trigger. The latter employs algorithms similar to those used offline to identify electrons, muons, photons and jets.

3. Phase space for cross-section measurements

The $W^\pm Zjj$ electroweak cross-section is measured in a fiducial phase space that is defined by the kinematics of the final-state leptons, electrons or muons, associated with the W^\pm and Z boson decays, and of two jets. Leptons produced in the decay of a hadron, a τ -lepton, or their descendants are not considered in the definition of the fiducial phase space. At particle level, the kinematics of the charged lepton after quantum electrodynamics (QED) final-state radiation (FSR) are ‘dressed’ by including contributions from photons with an angular distance $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 0.1$ from the lepton. Dressed charged leptons, and final-state neutrinos that do not originate from hadron or τ -lepton decays, are matched to the W^\pm and Z boson decay products using a Monte Carlo (MC) generator-independent algorithmic approach, called the ‘resonant shape’ algorithm. This algorithm is based on the value of an estimator expressing the product of the nominal line shapes of the W and Z resonances as detailed in Ref. [10].

The fiducial phase space of the measurement matches the one used in Refs. [10,17] and is defined at particle level by the following requirements: the charged leptons from the Z boson decay are required to have transverse momentum $p_T > 15$ GeV, the charged lepton from the W^\pm decay is required to have transverse momentum $p_T^\ell > 20$ GeV, the charged leptons from the W^\pm and Z bosons are required to have $|\eta| < 2.5$ and the invariant mass of the two leptons from the Z boson decay must be within 10 GeV of the nominal Z boson mass, taken from the world average value, m_Z^{PDG} [18]. The W boson transverse mass, defined as $m_T^W = \sqrt{2 \cdot p_T^\nu \cdot p_T^\ell \cdot [1 - \cos \Delta\phi(\ell, \nu)]}$, where $\Delta\phi(\ell, \nu)$ is the angle between the lepton and the neutrino in the transverse plane and p_T^ν is the transverse momentum of the neutrino, is required to be $m_T^W > 30$ GeV. The angular distance between the charged lepton from the W^\pm decay and each of the charged leptons from the Z decay is required to be $\Delta R > 0.3$, and the angular distance between the two leptons from the Z decay is required to be $\Delta R > 0.2$. Requiring that the transverse momentum of the leading lepton be above 27 GeV reduces the acceptance of the fiducial phase space by only 0.02% and is therefore not added to the definition of the fiducial phase space, although it is present in the selection at the detector level presented in Section 5.

In addition to these requirements that define an inclusive phase space, at least two jets with $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta_j| < 4.5$ are required. These particle-level jets are reconstructed from stable particles with a lifetime of $\tau > 30$ ps in the simulation after parton showering, hadronisation, and decay of particles with $\tau < 30$ ps. Muons, electrons, neutrinos and photons associated with W and Z decays are excluded. The particle-level jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_t [10] algorithm with a radius parameter $R = 0.4$. The angular distance between all selected leptons and jets is required to be $\Delta R(j, \ell) > 0.3$. If the $\Delta R(j, \ell)$ requirement is not satisfied, the jet is discarded. The invariant mass, m_{jj} , of the two highest- p_T jets in opposite hemispheres, $\eta_{j1} \cdot \eta_{j2} < 0$, is required to be $m_{jj} > 500$ GeV to enhance the sensitivity to VBS processes. These two jets are referred to as tagging jets. Finally, processes with a b -quark in the initial state, such as tZj production, are not considered as signal. The production of tZj results from a t -channel exchange of a W boson between a b - and a u -quark giving a final state with a t -quark, a Z boson and a light-quark jet, but does not include diagrams with gauge boson couplings.

4. Signal and background simulation

Monte Carlo simulation is used to model signal and background processes. All generated MC events were passed through the ATLAS detector simulation [20], based on GEANT 4 [21], and processed using the same reconstruction software as used for the data. The event samples include the simulation of additional proton–proton interactions (pile-up) generated with PYTHIA 8.186 [22] using the MSTW2008LO [23] parton distribution functions (PDF) and the A2 [24] set of tuned parameters.

Scale factors are applied to simulated events to correct for the differences between data and MC simulation in the trigger, reconstruction, identification, isolation and impact parameter efficiencies of electrons and muons [25,26]. Furthermore, the electron energy and muon momentum in simulated events are smeared to account for differences in resolution between data and MC simulation [26, 27].

The SHERPA 2.2.2 MC event generator [28–35] was used to model $W^\pm Zjj$ events. It includes the modelling of hard scattering, parton showering, hadronisation and the underlying event. A MC event sample, referred to as $WZjj$ –EW, includes processes of order six (zero) in α_{EW} (α_S). In this sample, which includes VBS diagrams, two additional jets originating from electroweak vertices from matrix-element partons are included in the final state. Diagrams with a b -quark in either the initial or final state, i.e. b -quarks in the matrix-element calculation, are not considered. This sample provides a LO prediction for the $WZjj$ –EW signal process. A second MC event sample, referred to as $WZjj$ –QCD, includes processes of order four in α_{EW} in the matrix-element of $W^\pm Z$ production with up to one jet calculated at next-to-leading order (NLO) and with a second or third jet calculated at leading order (LO). This $WZjj$ –QCD sample includes matrix-element b -quarks. Both SHERPA samples were generated using the

ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the beam direction. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$.

NNPDF3.0 [36] PDF set. Interferences between the two processes were estimated at LO using the `MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO` 2.3 [37] MC event generator with the NNPDF3.0 PDF set, including only contributions to the squared matrix-element of order one in α_S . They are found to be positive and approximately 10% of the $WZjj$ –EW cross-section in the fiducial phase space and are treated as an uncertainty in the measurement, as discussed in Section 8. For the estimation of modelling uncertainties, alternative MC samples of $WZjj$ –QCD and $WZjj$ –EW processes were generated with `MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO` 2.3 at LO in QCD, including up to two partons in the matrix-element for $WZjj$ –QCD, and using the NNPDF3.0 PDF set. MC samples of inclusive $W^\pm Z$ production generated at NLO in QCD with the PowHEG-Box v2 [38–41] generator, interfaced to PYTHIA 8.210 or HERWIG++ 2.7.1 [42] for simulation of parton showering and hadronisation are also used for tests of the modelling of $WZjj$ –QCD events.

The $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$ processes were generated with SHERPA 2.2.2 and the NNPDF3.0 PDF set. Similarly to $W^\pm Z$ simulation, the $ZZjj$ –QCD and $ZZjj$ –EW processes are generated separately with the same matrix-element accuracy as for the $W^\pm Z$ SHERPA MC samples. The SHERPA 2.1.1 MC event generator was used to model the $gg \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$ and VVV processes at LO using the CT10 [43] PDF set. The $t\bar{t}V$ processes were generated at NLO with the `MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO` 2.3 MC generator using the NNPDF3.0 PDF set interfaced to the PYTHIA 8.186 parton shower model. The associated production of a single top quark and a Z boson was simulated at LO with `MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO` 2.3 using the NNPDF3.0 PDF set and interfaced to PYTHIA 8.186 for parton shower.

5. Event selection

Candidate events were selected using single-leptons triggers [16] that required at least one electron or muon. The transverse momentum threshold of the leptons in 2015 was 24 GeV for electrons and 20 GeV for muons satisfying a loose isolation requirement based only on ID track information. Due to the higher instantaneous luminosity in 2016 the trigger threshold was increased to 26 GeV for both the electrons and muons and tighter isolation requirements were applied. Possible inefficiencies for leptons with large transverse momenta were reduced by including additional electron and muon triggers that did not include any isolation requirements with transverse momentum thresholds of $p_T = 60$ GeV and 50 GeV, respectively. Finally, a single-electron trigger requiring $p_T > 120$ GeV or $p_T > 140$ GeV in 2015 and 2016, respectively, with less restrictive electron identification criteria was used to increase the selection efficiency for high- p_T electrons. The combined efficiency of these triggers is close to 100% for $W^\pm Zjj$ events. Only data recorded with stable beam conditions and with all relevant detector subsystems operational are considered.

Events are required to have a primary vertex reconstructed from at least two charged-particle tracks and compatible with the pp interaction region. If several such vertices are present in the event, the one with the highest sum of the p_T^2 of the associated tracks is selected as the production vertex of the $W^\pm Z$. All final states with three charged leptons (electrons or muons) and neutrinos from $W^\pm Z$ leptonic decays are considered.

Muon candidates are identified by tracks reconstructed in the muon spectrometer and matched to tracks reconstructed in the inner detector. Muons are required to satisfy a ‘medium’ identification selection that is based on requirements on the number of hits in the ID and the MS [26]. The efficiency of this selection averaged over p_T and η is $> 98\%$. The muon momentum is calculated by combining the MS measurement, corrected for the energy deposited in the calorimeters, with the ID measurement. The trans-

verse momentum of the muon must satisfy $p_T > 15$ GeV and its pseudorapidity must satisfy $|\eta| < 2.5$.

Electron candidates are reconstructed from energy clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter matched to ID tracks. Electrons are identified using a likelihood function constructed from information from the shape of the electromagnetic showers in the calorimeter, track properties and track-to-cluster matching quantities [25]. Electrons must satisfy a ‘medium’ likelihood requirement, which provides an overall identification efficiency of 90%. The electron momentum is computed from the cluster energy and the direction of the track. The transverse momentum of the electron must satisfy $p_T > 15$ GeV and the pseudorapidity of the cluster must be in the ranges $|\eta| < 1.37$ or $1.52 < |\eta| < 2.47$.

Electron and muon candidates are required to originate from the primary vertex. The significance of the track’s transverse impact parameter relative to the beam line must satisfy $|d_0/\sigma_{d_0}| < 3$ (5) for muons (electrons), and the longitudinal impact parameter, z_0 (the difference between the value of z of the point on the track at which d_0 is defined and the longitudinal position of the primary vertex), is required to satisfy $|z_0 \cdot \sin(\theta)| < 0.5$ mm.

Electrons and muons are required to be isolated from other particles, according to calorimeter-cluster and ID-track information. The isolation requirement for electrons varies with p_T and is tuned for an efficiency of at least 90% for $p_T > 25$ GeV and at least 99% for $p_T > 60$ GeV [25]. Fixed thresholds values are used for the muon isolation variables, providing an efficiency above 90% for $p_T > 15$ GeV and at least 99% for $p_T > 60$ GeV [26].

Jets are reconstructed from clusters of energy depositions in the calorimeter [44] using the anti- k_T algorithm [19] with a radius parameter $R = 0.4$. Events with jets arising from detector noise or other non-collision sources are discarded [45]. All jets must have $p_T > 25$ GeV and be reconstructed in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 4.5$. A multivariate combination of track-based variables is used to suppress jets originating from pile-up in the ID acceptance [46]. The energy of jets is calibrated using a jet energy correction derived from simulation and *in situ* methods using data [47]. Jets in the ID acceptance with $p_T > 25$ GeV containing a b -hadron are identified using a multivariate algorithm [48, 49] that uses impact parameter and reconstructed secondary vertex information of the tracks contained in the jets. Jets initiated by b -quarks are selected by setting the algorithm’s output threshold such that a 70% b -jet selection efficiency is achieved in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events.

The transverse momentum of the neutrino is estimated from the missing transverse momentum in the event, E_T^{miss} , calculated as the negative vector sum of the transverse momentum of all identified hard (high p_T) physics objects (electrons, muons and jets), as well as an additional soft term. A track-based measurement of the soft term [50,51], which accounts for low- p_T tracks not assigned to a hard object, is used.

Events are required to contain exactly three lepton candidates satisfying the selection criteria described above. To ensure that the trigger efficiency is well determined, at least one of the candidate leptons is required to have $p_T > 25$ GeV or $p_T > 27$ GeV for the 2015 or 2016 data, respectively, and to be geometrically matched to a lepton that was selected by the trigger.

To suppress background processes with at least four prompt leptons, events with a fourth lepton candidate satisfying looser selection criteria are rejected. For this looser selection, the p_T requirement for the leptons is lowered to $p_T > 5$ GeV and ‘loose’ identification requirements are used for both the electrons and muons. A less stringent requirement is applied for electron isolation based only on ID track information and electrons with cluster in the range $1.37 \leq |\eta| \leq 1.52$ are also considered.

Table 1

Expected and observed numbers of events in the $W^\pm Zjj$ signal region and in the three control regions, before the fit. The expected number of $WZjj$ –EW events from SHERPA and the estimated number of background events from the other processes are shown. The sum of the backgrounds containing misidentified leptons is labelled ‘Misid. leptons’. The contribution arising from interferences between $WZjj$ –QCD and $WZjj$ –EW processes is not included in the table. The total uncertainties are quoted.

	SR	$WZjj$ –QCD CR	b -CR	ZZ -CR
Data	161	213	141	52
Total predicted	200 ± 41	290 ± 61	160 ± 14	45.2 ± 7.5
$WZjj$ –EW (signal)	24.9 ± 1.4	8.45 ± 0.37	1.36 ± 0.10	0.21 ± 0.12
$WZjj$ –QCD	144 ± 41	231 ± 60	24.4 ± 1.7	1.43 ± 0.22
Misid. leptons	9.8 ± 3.9	17.7 ± 7.1	30 ± 12	0.47 ± 0.21
$ZZjj$ –QCD	8.1 ± 2.2	15.0 ± 3.9	1.96 ± 0.49	35 ± 11
tZj	6.5 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 1.1	36.2 ± 5.7	0.18 ± 0.04
$t\bar{t} + V$	4.21 ± 0.76	9.11 ± 1.40	65.4 ± 10.3	2.8 ± 0.61
$ZZjj$ –EW	1.80 ± 0.45	0.53 ± 0.14	0.12 ± 0.09	4.1 ± 1.4
VVV	0.59 ± 0.15	0.93 ± 0.23	0.13 ± 0.03	1.05 ± 0.30

Candidate events are required to have at least one pair of leptons of the same flavour and of opposite charge, with an invariant mass that is consistent with the nominal Z boson mass [52] to within 10 GeV. This pair is considered to be the Z boson candidate. If more than one pair can be formed, the pair whose invariant mass is closest to the nominal Z boson mass is taken as the Z boson candidate.

The remaining third lepton is assigned to the W boson decay. The transverse mass of the W candidate, computed using E_T^{miss} and the p_T of the associated lepton, is required to be greater than 30 GeV.

Backgrounds originating from misidentified leptons are suppressed by requiring the lepton associated with the W boson to satisfy more stringent selection criteria. Thus, the transverse momentum of these leptons is required to be $p_T > 20$ GeV. Furthermore, leptons associated with the W boson decay are required to satisfy the ‘tight’ identification requirements, which have an efficiency between 90% and 98% for muons and an efficiency of 85% for electrons. Finally, muons must also satisfy a tighter isolation requirement, tuned for an efficiency of at least 90% (99%) for $p_T > 25$ (60) GeV.

To select $W^\pm Zjj$ candidates, events are further required to be associated with at least two ‘tagging’ jets. The leading tagging jet is selected as the highest- p_T jet in the event with $p_T > 40$ GeV. The second tagging jet is selected as the one with the highest p_T among the remaining jets that have a pseudorapidity of opposite sign to the first tagging jet and a $p_T > 40$ GeV. These two jets are required to verify $m_{jj} > 150$ GeV, in order to minimise the contamination from triboson processes.

The final signal region (SR) for VBS processes is defined by requiring that the invariant mass of the two tagging jets, m_{jj} , be above 500 GeV and that no b -tagged jet be present in the event.

6. Background estimation

The background sources are classified into two groups: events where at least one of the candidate leptons is not a prompt lepton (reducible background) and events where all candidates are prompt leptons or are produced in the decay of a τ -lepton (irreducible background). Candidates that are not prompt leptons are also called ‘misidentified’ or ‘fake’ leptons.

The dominant source of background originates from the QCD-induced production of $W^\pm Z$ dibosons in association with two jets, $WZjj$ –QCD. The shapes of distributions of kinematic observables of this irreducible background are modelled by the SHERPA MC simulation. The normalisation of this background is, however, constrained by data in a dedicated control region. This region, referred

to as $WZjj$ –QCD CR, is defined by selecting a sub-sample of $W^\pm Zjj$ candidate events with $m_{jj} < 500$ GeV and no reconstructed b -jets.

The other main sources of irreducible background arise from ZZ and $t\bar{t} + V$ (where $V = Z$ or W). These irreducible backgrounds are also modelled using MC simulations. Data in two additional dedicated control regions, referred to as ZZ -CR and b -CR, respectively, are used to constrain the normalisations of the $ZZjj$ –QCD and $t\bar{t} + V$ backgrounds. The control region ZZ -CR, enriched in ZZ events, is defined by applying the $W^\pm Zjj$ event selection defined in Section 5, with the exception that instead of vetoing a fourth lepton it is required that events have at least a fourth lepton candidate with looser identification requirements. This region is dominated by $ZZjj$ –QCD events with a small contribution of $ZZjj$ –EW events. The control region b -CR, enriched in $t\bar{t} + V$ events, is defined by selecting $W^\pm Zjj$ candidate events having at least one reconstructed b -jet. Remaining sources of irreducible background are $ZZjj$ –EW VVV and tZj events. Their contributions in the control and signal regions are estimated from MC simulations.

The reducible backgrounds originate from $Z + j$, $Z\gamma$, $t\bar{t}$, Wt and WW production processes. The reducible backgrounds are estimated using a data-driven method based on the inversion of a global matrix containing the efficiencies and the misidentification probabilities for prompt and fake leptons [10,53]. The method exploits the classification of the lepton as loose or tight candidates and the probability that a fake lepton is misidentified as a loose or tight lepton candidate. Tight lepton candidates are signal lepton candidates as defined in Section 5. Loose lepton candidates are leptons that do not meet the isolation and identification criteria of signal lepton candidates but satisfy only looser criteria. The misidentification probabilities for fake leptons are determined from data, using dedicated control samples enriched in non-prompt leptons from heavy-flavour jets and in misidentified leptons from photon conversions or charged hadrons in light-flavour jets. The lepton misidentification probabilities are applied to samples of $W^\pm Zjj$ candidate events in data where at least one and up to three of the lepton candidates are loose. Then, using a matrix inversion, the number of events with at least one misidentified lepton, which represents the amount of reducible background in the selected $W^\pm Zjj$ sample, is obtained.

The number of observed events together with the expected background contributions are summarised in Table 1 for the signal region and the three control regions. All sources of uncertainties, as described in Section 8, are included. The expected signal purity in the $W^\pm Zjj$ signal region is about 13%, and 72% of the events arise from $WZjj$ –QCD production.

7. Signal extraction procedure

Given the small contribution to the signal region of $WZjj$ –EW processes, a multivariate discriminant is used to separate the signal from the backgrounds. A boosted decision tree (BDT), as implemented in the TMVA package [54], is used to exploit the kinematic differences between the $WZjj$ –EW signal and the $WZjj$ –QCD and other backgrounds. The BDT is trained and optimised on simulated events to separate $WZjj$ –EW events from all background processes.

A total of 15 variables are combined into one discriminant, the BDT score output value in the range $[-1, 1]$. The variables can be classified into three categories: jet-kinematic variables, vector-bosons-kinematics variables, and variables related to both jets and leptons kinematics. The variables related to the kinematic properties of the two tagging jets are the invariant mass of the two jets, m_{jj} , the transverse momenta of the jets, the difference in pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle between the two jets, $\Delta\eta_{jj}$ and $\Delta\phi_{jj}$, the rapidity of the leading jet and the jet multiplicity. Variables related to the kinematic properties of the vector bosons are the transverse momenta of the W and Z bosons, the pseudorapidity of the W boson, the absolute difference between the rapidities of the Z boson and the lepton from the decay of the W boson, $|y_Z - y_{e,W}|$, and the transverse mass of the $W^\pm Z$ system m_T^{WZ} . The pseudorapidity of the W boson is reconstructed using an estimate of the longitudinal momentum of the neutrino obtained using the W mass constraint as detailed in Ref. [55]. The m_T^{WZ} observable is reconstructed following Ref. [10]. Variables that relate the kinematic properties of jets and leptons are the distance in the pseudorapidity–azimuth plane between the Z boson and the leading jet, $\Delta R(j_1, Z)$, the event balance R_{pt}^{hard} , defined as the transverse component of the vector sum of the WZ bosons and tagging jets momenta, normalised to their scalar p_T sum, and, finally the centrality of the WZ system relative to the tagging jets, defined as $\zeta_{\text{lep.}} = \min(\Delta\eta_-, \Delta\eta_+)$, with $\Delta\eta_- = \min(\eta_\ell^W, \eta_{\ell_2}^Z, \eta_{\ell_1}^Z) - \min(\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_2})$ and $\Delta\eta_+ = \max(\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_2}) - \max(\eta_\ell^W, \eta_{\ell_2}^Z, \eta_{\ell_1}^Z)$. A larger set of discriminating observables was studied but only variables improving signal-to-background were retained. The good modelling by MC simulations of the distribution shapes and the correlations of all input variables to the BDT is verified in the $WZjj$ –QCD CR, as exemplified by the good description of the BDT score distribution of data in the $WZjj$ –QCD CR shown in Fig. 1.

The distribution of the BDT score in the $W^\pm Zjj$ signal region is used to extract the significance of the $WZjj$ –EW signal and to measure its fiducial cross-section via a maximum-likelihood fit. An extended likelihood is built from the product of four likelihoods corresponding to the BDT score distribution in the $W^\pm Zjj$ SR, the m_{jj} distribution in the $WZjj$ –QCD CR, the multiplicity of reconstructed b -quarks in the b -CR and the m_{jj} distribution in the ZZ –CR. The inclusion of the three control regions in the fit allows the yields of the $WZjj$ –QCD, $t\bar{t} + V$ and $ZZjj$ –QCD backgrounds to be constrained by data. The shapes of these backgrounds are taken from MC predictions and can vary within the uncertainties affecting the measurement as described in Section 8. The normalisations of these backgrounds are introduced in the likelihood as parameters, labelled $\mu_{WZjj-\text{QCD}}$, $\mu_{t\bar{t}+V}$ and $\mu_{ZZjj-\text{QCD}}$ for $WZjj$ –QCD, $t\bar{t} + V$ and $ZZjj$ –QCD backgrounds, respectively. They are treated as unconstrained nuisance parameters that are determined mainly by the data in the respective control region. The normalisation and shape of the other irreducible backgrounds are taken from MC simulations and are allowed to vary within their respective uncertainties. The distribution of the reducible background is estimated from data using the matrix method pre-




Fig. 1. Post-fit distribution of the BDT score distribution in the $WZjj$ –QCD control region. Signal and backgrounds are normalised to the expected number of events after the fit. The uncertainty band around the MC expectation includes all systematic uncertainties as obtained from the fit.

sented in Section 6 and is allowed to vary within its uncertainty.

The determination of the fiducial cross-section is carried out using the signal strength parameter $\mu_{WZjj-\text{EW}}$:

$$\mu_{WZjj-\text{EW}} = \frac{N_{\text{data}}^{\text{signal}}}{N_{\text{MC}}^{\text{signal}}} = \frac{\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid.}}}{\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid.,MC}}},$$

where $N_{\text{data}}^{\text{signal}}$ is the signal yield extracted from data by the fit and $N_{\text{MC}}^{\text{signal}}$ is the number of signal events predicted by the SHERPA MC simulation. The measured cross-section $\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid.}}$ is derived from the signal strength $\mu_{WZjj-\text{EW}}$ by multiplying it by the SHERPA MC cross-section prediction $\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid.,MC}}$. The $WZjj$ –QCD contribution that is considered as background in the fit procedure does not contain interference between the $WZjj$ –QCD and $WZjj$ –EW processes. The measured cross-section $\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid.}}$ therefore formally corresponds to the cross-section of the electroweak production including interference effects.

8. Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties in the signal and control regions affecting the shape and normalisation of the BDT score, m_{jj} and $N_{b\text{-jets}}$ distributions for the individual backgrounds, as well as the acceptance of the signal and the shape of its template are considered. If the variation of a systematic uncertainty as a function of the BDT score is consistent with being due to statistical fluctuations, this systematic uncertainty is neglected.

Systematic uncertainties due to the theoretical modelling in the event generator used to evaluate the $WZjj$ –QCD and $WZjj$ –EW templates are considered. Uncertainties due to higher order QCD corrections are evaluated by varying the renormalisation and factorisation scales independently by factors of two and one-half, removing combinations where the variations differ by a factor of four. These uncertainties are of -20% to $+30\%$ on the $WZjj$ –QCD background normalisation and up to $\pm 5\%$ on the $WZjj$ –EW signal shape. The uncertainties due to the PDF and the α_S value used in the PDF determination are evaluated using the PDF4LHC

prescription [56]. They are of the order of 1% to 2% in shape of the predicted cross-section. A global modelling uncertainty in the $WZjj$ -QCD background template that includes effects of the parton shower model is estimated by comparing predictions of the BDT score distribution in the signal region from the SHERPA and MADGRAPH MC event generators. The difference between the predicted shapes of the BDT score distribution from the two generators is considered as an uncertainty. The resulting uncertainty ranges from 5% to 20% at medium and high values of the BDT score, respectively. Alternatively, using two MC samples with different parton shower models, POWHEG+PYTHIA8 and POWHEG+HERWIG, it was verified that for $WZjj$ -QCD events the variations of the BDT score shape due to different parton shower models are within the global modelling uncertainty defined above. A global modelling uncertainty in the $WZjj$ -EW signal template is also estimated by comparing predictions of the BDT score distribution in the signal region from the SHERPA and MADGRAPH MC event generators. This modelling uncertainty affects the shape of the BDT score distribution by at most 14% at large values of the BDT score. The Sherpa $WZjj$ -EW sample used in this analysis was recently found to implement a colour flow computation in VBS-like processes that increases central parton emissions from the parton shower [57]. It was verified that possible effects on kinematic distributions and especially on the BDT score distribution are covered by the modelling uncertainty used. The interference between electroweak- and QCD-induced processes is not included in the probability distribution functions of the fit but is considered as an uncertainty affecting only the shape of the $WZjj$ -EW MC template. The effect is determined using the MADGRAPH MC generator, resulting for the signal region in shape-only uncertainties ranging from 10% to 5% at low and high values of the BDT score, respectively. The effect of interference on the shape of the $WZjj$ -EW MC template in the $W^\pm Zjj$ -QCD CR is negligible and is therefore not included.

Systematic uncertainties affecting the reconstruction and energy calibration of jets, electrons and muons are propagated through the analysis. The dominant sources of uncertainties are the jet energy scale calibration, including the modelling of pile-up. The uncertainties in the jet energy scale are obtained from $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV simulations and *in situ* measurements [47]. The uncertainty in the jet energy resolution [58] and in the suppression of jets originating from pile-up are also considered [46]. The uncertainties in the b -tagging efficiency and the mistag rate are also taken into account. The effect of jet uncertainties on the expected number of events ranges from 10% to 3% at low and high values of the BDT score, respectively, with a similar effect for $WZjj$ -QCD and $WZjj$ -EW events.

The uncertainty in the E_T^{miss} measurement is estimated by propagating the uncertainties in the transverse momenta of hard physics objects and by applying momentum scale and resolution uncertainties to the track-based soft term [50,51].

The uncertainties due to lepton reconstruction, identification, isolation requirements and trigger efficiencies are estimated using tag-and-probe methods in $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events [25,26]. Uncertainties in the lepton momentum scale and resolution are also assessed using $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events [26,27]. These uncertainties impact the expected number of events by 1.4% and 0.4% for electrons and muons, respectively, and are independent of the BDT score. Their effect is similar for $WZjj$ -QCD and $WZjj$ -EW events.

A 40% yield uncertainty is assigned to the reducible background estimate. This takes into account the limited number of events in the control regions as well as the differences in background composition between the control regions used to determine the lepton misidentification rate and the control regions used to estimate the yield in the signal region. The uncertainty due to irreducible

Table 2

Summary of the relative uncertainties in the measured fiducial cross-section $\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid}}$. The uncertainties are reported as percentages.

Source	Uncertainty [%]
$WZjj$ -EW theory modelling	4.8
$WZjj$ -QCD theory modelling	5.2
$WZjj$ -EW and $WZjj$ -QCD interference	1.9
Jets	6.6
Pile-up	2.2
Electrons	1.4
Muons	0.4
b -tagging	0.1
MC statistics	1.9
Misid. lepton background	0.9
Other backgrounds	0.8
Luminosity	2.1
Total Systematics	10.9

background sources other than $WZjj$ -QCD is evaluated by propagating the uncertainty in their MC cross-sections. These are 20% for VVV [59], 15% for tZj [10] and $t\bar{t} + V$ [60], and 25% for $ZZjj$ -QCD to account for the potentially large impact of scale variations.

The uncertainty in the combined 2015+2016 integrated luminosity is 2.1%. It is derived, following a methodology similar to that detailed in Ref. [61], and using the LUCID-2 detector for the baseline luminosity measurements [62], from a calibration of the luminosity scale using x - y beam-separation scans.

The effect of the systematic uncertainties on the final results after the maximum-likelihood fit is shown in Table 2 where the breakdown of the contributions to the uncertainties in the measured fiducial cross-section $\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid}}$ is presented. The individual sources of systematic uncertainty are combined into theory modelling and experimental categories. As shown in the table, the systematic uncertainties in the jet reconstruction and calibration play a dominant role, followed by the uncertainties in the modelling of the $WZjj$ -EW signal and of the $WZjj$ -QCD background. Systematic uncertainties in the missing transverse momentum computation arise directly from the momentum and energy calibration of jets, electrons and muons and are included in the respective lines of Table 2. Systematic uncertainties in the modelling of the reducible and irreducible backgrounds other than $WZjj$ -QCD are also detailed.

9. Cross-section measurements

The signal strength $\mu_{WZjj-\text{EW}}$ and its uncertainty are determined with a profile-likelihood-ratio test statistic [63]. Systematic uncertainties in the input templates are treated as nuisance parameters with an assumed Gaussian distribution. The distributions of m_{jj} in the ZZ -CR control region, of N_b -jets in the b -CR, of m_{jj} in the $WZjj$ -QCD control region and of the BDT score in the signal region, with background normalisations, signal normalisation and nuisance parameters adjusted by the profile-likelihood fit are shown in Fig. 2. The corresponding post-fit yields are detailed in Table 3. The table presents the integral of the BDT score distribution in the SR, but the uncertainty on the measured signal cross section is dominated by events at high BDT score. The signal strength is measured to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{WZjj-\text{EW}} &= 1.77^{+0.44}_{-0.40} (\text{stat.})^{+0.15}_{-0.12} (\text{exp. syst.}) \\ &\quad {}^{+0.15}_{-0.12} (\text{mod. syst.})^{+0.15}_{-0.13} (\text{theory})^{+0.04}_{-0.02} (\text{lumi.}) \\ &= 1.77^{+0.51}_{-0.45}, \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 2. Post-fit distributions of (a) m_{jj} in the ZZ-CR control region, (b) $N_{b\text{-jets}}$ in the b -CR, (c) m_{jj} in the $WZjj$ -QCD control region and (d) the BDT score distribution in the signal region. Signal and backgrounds are normalised to the expected number of events after the fit. The uncertainty band around the MC expectation includes all systematic uncertainties as obtained from the fit.

Table 3

Observed and expected numbers of events in the $W^\pm Zjj$ signal region and in the three control regions, after the fit. The expected number of $WZjj$ -EW events from SHERPA and the estimated number of background events from the other processes are shown. The sum of the backgrounds containing misidentified leptons is labelled ‘Misid. leptons’. The total correlated post-fit uncertainties are quoted.

	SR	$WZjj$ -QCD CR	b -CR	ZZ-CR
Data	161	213	141	52
Total predicted	167 ± 11	204 ± 12	146 ± 11	51.3 ± 7.0
$WZjj$ -EW (signal)	44 ± 11	8.52 ± 0.41	1.38 ± 0.10	0.211 ± 0.004
$WZjj$ -QCD	91 ± 10	144 ± 14	13.9 ± 3.8	0.94 ± 0.14
Misid. leptons	7.8 ± 3.2	14.0 ± 5.7	23.5 ± 9.6	0.41 ± 0.18
$ZZjj$ -QCD	11.1 ± 2.8	18.3 ± 1.1	2.35 ± 0.06	40.8 ± 7.2
tZj	6.2 ± 1.1	6.3 ± 1.1	34.0 ± 5.3	0.17 ± 0.04
$t\bar{t} + V$	4.7 ± 1.0	11.14 ± 0.37	71 ± 15	3.47 ± 0.54
$ZZjj$ -EW	1.80 ± 0.45	0.44 ± 0.10	0.10 ± 0.03	4.2 ± 1.2
VVV	0.59 ± 0.15	0.93 ± 0.23	0.13 ± 0.03	1.06 ± 0.30

where the uncertainties correspond to statistical, experimental systematic, theory modelling and interference systematic, theory $\sigma_{WZjj-\text{EW}}^{\text{fid., MC}}$ normalisation and luminosity uncertainties, respectively. The background-only hypothesis is excluded with a significance of

5.3 standard deviations, compared with 3.2 standard deviations expected. The normalisation parameters of the $WZjj$ -QCD, $t\bar{t} + V$ and ZZ backgrounds constrained by data in the control and signal regions are measured to be $\mu_{WZjj-\text{QCD}} = 0.56 \pm 0.16$, $\mu_{t\bar{t}+V} =$

1.07 ± 0.28 and $\mu_{ZZjj\text{-QCD}} = 1.34 \pm 0.44$. The observed $WZjj\text{-EW}$ production integrated fiducial cross-section derived from this signal strength for a single leptonic decay mode is

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{WZjj\text{-EW}}^{\text{fid.}} &= 0.57^{+0.14}_{-0.13} (\text{stat.})^{+0.05}_{-0.04} (\text{exp. syst.}) \\ &\quad +^{+0.05}_{-0.04} (\text{mod. syst.})^{+0.01}_{-0.01} (\text{lumi.}) \text{ fb} \\ &= 0.57^{+0.16}_{-0.14} \text{ fb}.\end{aligned}$$

It corresponds to the cross-section of electroweak $W^\pm Zjj$ production, including interference effects between $WZjj\text{-QCD}$ and $WZjj\text{-EW}$ processes, in the fiducial phase space defined in Section 3 using dressed-level leptons.

The SM LO prediction from SHERPA for electroweak production without interference effects is

$$\sigma_{WZjj\text{-EW}}^{\text{fid., Sherpa}} = 0.321 \pm 0.002 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.005 (\text{PDF})^{+0.027}_{-0.023} (\text{scale}) \text{ fb},$$

where the effects of uncertainties in the PDF and the α_S value used in the PDF determination, as well as the uncertainties due to the renormalisation and factorisation scales, are evaluated using the same procedure as the one described in Section 8.

A larger cross-section of $\sigma_{WZjj\text{-EW}}^{\text{fid., MadGraph}} = 0.366 \pm 0.004 (\text{stat.}) \text{ fb}$ is predicted by MADGRAPH. These predictions are at LO only and include neither the effects of interference, estimated at LO to be 10%, nor the effects of NLO electroweak corrections as calculated recently in Ref. [64].

From the number of observed events in the SR, the integrated cross-section of $W^\pm Zjj$ production in the VBS fiducial phase space defined in Section 3, including $WZjj\text{-EW}$ and $WZjj\text{-QCD}$ contributions and their interference, is measured. For a given channel $W^\pm Z \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu \ell^\pm \ell^-$, where ℓ and ℓ' indicates each type of lepton (e or μ), the integrated fiducial cross section that includes the leptonic branching fractions of the W and Z bosons is calculated as

$$\sigma_{W^\pm Zjj}^{\text{fid.}} = \frac{N_{\text{data}} - N_{\text{bkg}}}{\mathcal{L} \cdot C_{WZjj}} \times \left(1 - \frac{N_\tau}{N_{\text{all}}}\right),$$

where N_{data} and N_{bkg} are the number of observed events and the estimated number of background events in the SR, respectively, and \mathcal{L} is the integrated luminosity. The factor C_{WZjj} , obtained from simulation, is the ratio of the number of selected signal events at detector level to the number of events at particle level in the fiducial phase space. This factor corrects for detector efficiencies and for QED final-state radiation effects. The contribution from τ -lepton decays, amounting to 4.7%, is removed from the cross-section definition by introducing the term in parentheses. This term is computed using simulation, where N_τ is the number of selected events at detector level in which at least one of the bosons decays into a τ -lepton and N_{all} is the number of selected WZ events with decays into any lepton. The C_{WZjj} factor calculated with SHERPA for the sum of the four measured decay channels is 0.52 with a negligible statistical uncertainty. This factor is the same for $WZjj\text{-QCD}$ and $WZjj\text{-EW}$ events, as predicted by SHERPA. The theory modelling uncertainty in this factor is 8%, as estimated from the difference between the SHERPA and MADGRAPH predictions. The uncertainties on this factor due to higher order QCD scale corrections or PDF are negligible.

The measured $W^\pm Zjj$ cross-section in the fiducial phase space for a single leptonic decay mode is

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{W^\pm Zjj}^{\text{fid.}} &= 1.68 \pm 0.16 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.12 (\text{exp. syst.}) \\ &\quad \pm 0.13 (\text{mod. syst.}) \pm 0.044 (\text{lumi.}) \text{ fb}, \\ &= 1.68 \pm 0.25 \text{ fb},\end{aligned}$$

where the uncertainties correspond to statistical, experimental systematic, theory modelling systematic, and luminosity uncertainties, respectively. The corresponding prediction from SHERPA for strong and electroweak production without interference effects is

$$\sigma_{W^\pm Zjj}^{\text{fid., Sherpa}} = 2.15 \pm 0.01 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.05 (\text{PDF})^{+0.65}_{-0.44} (\text{scale}) \text{ fb}.$$

Events in the SR are also used to measure the $W^\pm Zjj$ differential production cross-section in the VBS fiducial phase space. The differential detector-level distributions are corrected for detector resolution using an iterative Bayesian unfolding method [65], as implemented in the RooUnfold toolkit [66]. Three iterations were used for the unfolding of each variable. The width of the bins in each distribution is chosen according to the experimental resolution and to the statistical significance of the expected number of events in that bin. The fraction of signal MC events reconstructed in the same bin as generated is always greater than 40% and around 70% on average.

For each distribution, simulated $W^\pm Zjj$ events are used to obtain a response matrix that accounts for bin-to-bin migration effects between the reconstruction-level and particle-level distributions. The SHERPA MC samples for $WZjj\text{-EW}$ and $WZjj\text{-QCD}$ production are added together to model $W^\pm Zjj$ production. To more closely model the data and to minimise unfolding uncertainties, their predicted cross-sections are rescaled by the respective signal strengths of 1.77 and 0.56 for the $WZjj\text{-EW}$ and $WZjj\text{-QCD}$ contributions, respectively, as measured in data by the maximum-likelihood fit.

Uncertainties in the unfolding due to imperfect modelling of the data by the MC simulation are evaluated using a data-driven method [67], where the MC differential distribution is corrected to match the data distribution and the resulting weighted MC distribution at reconstruction level is unfolded with the response matrix used in the data unfolding. The new unfolded distribution is compared with the weighted MC distribution at generator level and the difference is taken as the systematic uncertainty. The uncertainties obtained range from 0.1% to 25% depending on the resolution of the unfolded observables and on the quality of its description by SHERPA.

Measurements are performed as a function of three variables sensitive to anomalies in the quartic gauge coupling in $W^\pm Zjj$ events [10], namely the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the three charged leptons associated with the W and Z bosons $\sum p_T^\ell$, the difference in azimuthal angle $\Delta\phi(W, Z)$ between the W and Z bosons' directions, and the transverse mass of the $W^\pm Z$ system m_T^{WZ} , defined following Ref. [10]. These are presented in Fig. 3.

Measurements are also performed as a function of variables related to the kinematics of jets. The exclusive multiplicity of jets, N_{jets} , is shown in Fig. 4. The absolute difference in rapidity between the two tagging jets Δy_{jj} , the invariant mass of the tagging jets m_{jj} , the exclusive multiplicity $N_{\text{jets}}^{\text{gap}}$ of jets with $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ in the gap in η between the two tagging jets, and the azimuthal angle between the two tagging jets $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ are shown in Fig. 5.




Fig. 3. The measured $W^\pm Zjj$ differential cross-section in the VBS fiducial phase space as a function of (a) $\sum p_T^\ell$, (b) $\Delta\phi(W, Z)$ and (c) m_T^{WZ} . The inner and outer error bars on the data points represent the statistical and total uncertainties, respectively. The measurements are compared with the sum of the rescaled $WZjj$ -QCD and $WZjj$ -EW predictions from SHERPA (solid line). The $WZjj$ -EW and $WZjj$ -QCD contributions are also represented by dashed and dashed-dotted lines, respectively. In (a) and (c), the right y-axis refers to the last cross-section point, separated from the others by a vertical dashed line, as this last bin is integrated up to the maximum value reached in the phase space. The lower panels show the ratios of the data to the predictions from SHERPA. The uncertainty on the SHERPA prediction is dominated by the QCD scale uncertainty on the $WZjj$ -QCD predicted cross-section, whose envelope is of $^{+30}_{-20}\%$ and it is not represented on the figure.

Total uncertainties in the measurements are dominated by statistical uncertainties. The differential measurements are compared with the prediction from SHERPA, after having rescaled the separate $WZjj$ -QCD and $WZjj$ -EW components by the global $\mu_{WZjj\text{-QCD}}$ and $\mu_{WZjj\text{-EW}}$ parameters, respectively, obtained from the profile-likelihood fit to data. Interference effects between the $WZjj$ -QCD and $WZjj$ -EW processes are incorporated via the $\mu_{WZjj\text{-EW}}$ parameter as a change of the global normalisation of the SHERPA electroweak prediction.

10. Conclusion

An observation of electroweak production of a diboson $W^\pm Z$ system in association with two jets and measurements of its production cross-section in $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV pp collisions at the LHC are presented. The data were collected with the ATLAS detector and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} . The measurements use leptonic decays of the gauge bosons into electrons or muons and are performed in a fiducial phase space approximating

the detector acceptance that increases the sensitivity to $W^\pm Zjj$ electroweak production modes.

The electroweak production of $W^\pm Z$ bosons in association with two jets is measured with observed and expected significances of 5.3 and 3.2 standard deviations, respectively. The measured fiducial cross-section for electroweak production including interference effects is

$$\sigma_{WZjj\text{-EW}} = 0.57^{+0.14}_{-0.13} (\text{stat.})^{+0.05}_{-0.04} (\text{exp. syst.}) \\ +0.05_{-0.04} (\text{mod. syst.})^{+0.01}_{-0.01} (\text{lumi.}) \text{ fb.}$$

It is found to be larger than the LO SM prediction of $0.32 \pm 0.03 \text{ fb}$ as calculated with the SHERPA MC event generator that includes neither interference effects, estimated at LO to be 10%, nor NLO electroweak corrections. Differential cross-sections of $W^\pm Zjj$ production, including both the strong and electroweak processes, are also measured in the same fiducial phase space as a function of several kinematic observables.




Fig. 4. The measured $W^\pm Zjj$ differential cross-section in the VBS fiducial phase space as a function of the exclusive jet multiplicity of jets with $p_T > 40$ GeV. The inner and outer error bars on the data points represent the statistical and total uncertainties, respectively. The measurements are compared with the sum of the scaled $WZjj$ -EW and $WZjj$ -QCD contributions from SHERPA (solid line). The $WZjj$ -EW and $WZjj$ -QCD contributions are also represented by dashed and dashed-dotted lines, respectively. The right y-axis refers to the last cross-section point, separated from the others by a vertical dashed line, as this last bin is integrated up to the maximum value reached in the phase space. The lower panel shows the ratio of the data to the prediction from SHERPA. The uncertainty on the SHERPA prediction is dominated by the QCD scale uncertainty on the $WZjj$ -QCD predicted cross-section, whose envelope is of $\pm 30\%$ and it is not represented on the figure.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, Canarie, CRC and Compute Canada, Canada; COST, ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020, and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristea programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and GIF, Israel; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [68].

References

- [1] O.J.P. Eboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, S.M. Lietti, Bosonic quartic couplings at CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 095005, arXiv:hep-ph/0310141 [hep-ph].
- [2] O. Eboli, M. Gonzalez-Garcia, J. Mizukoshi, $pp \rightarrow jj e^\pm \mu^\pm \nu \nu$ and $jj e^\pm \mu^\pm \nu \nu$ at $O(\alpha_{em}^6)$ and $O(\alpha_{em}^4 \alpha_s^2)$ for the study of the quartic electroweak gauge boson vertex at CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 073005, arXiv:hep-ph/0606118 [hep-ph].
- [3] C. Degrande, et al., Effective field theory: a modern approach to anomalous couplings, Ann. Phys. 335 (2013) 21, arXiv:1205.4231 [hep-ph].
- [4] R.N. Cahn, S.D. Ellis, R. Kleiss, W.J. Stirling, Transverse-momentum signatures for heavy Higgs bosons, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987) 1626.
- [5] E. Accomando, A. Ballestrero, A. Belhouari, E. Maina, Isolating vector boson scattering at the CERN LHC: gauge cancellations and the equivalent vector boson approximation versus complete calculations, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 073010, arXiv:hep-ph/0608019 [hep-ph].
- [6] CMS Collaboration, Observation of electroweak production of same-sign W boson pairs in the two jet and two same-sign lepton final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 081801, arXiv:1709.05822 [hep-ex].
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, Evidence for electroweak production of $W^\pm W^\pm jj$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 141803, arXiv:1405.6241 [hep-ex].
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of $W^\pm W^\pm$ vector-boson scattering and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 012007, arXiv:1611.02428 [hep-ex].
- [9] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the cross section for electroweak production of $Z\gamma$ in association with two jets and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 770 (2017) 380, arXiv:1702.03025 [hep-ex].
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $W^\pm Z$ production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous gauge boson self-couplings, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 092004, arXiv:1603.02151 [hep-ex].
- [11] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of electroweak WZ boson production and search for new physics in $WZ +$ two jets events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, arXiv:1901.04060 [hep-ex], 2019.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of vector boson scattering and constraints on anomalous quartic couplings from events with four leptons and two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 774 (2017) 682, arXiv:1708.02812 [hep-ex].
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Studies of $Z\gamma$ production in association with a high-mass dijet system in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2017) 107, arXiv:1705.01966 [hep-ex].
- [14] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of electroweak-induced production of $W\gamma$ with two jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2017) 106, arXiv:1612.09256 [hep-ex].
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN large hadron collider, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08003.
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2015, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 317, arXiv:1611.09661 [hep-ex].
- [17] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the $W^\pm Z$ boson pair-production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 762 (2016) 1, arXiv:1606.04017 [hep-ex].
- [18] M. Tanabashi, et al., Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 030001.
- [19] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph].
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].
- [21] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4: a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [22] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [23] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph].
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 Tunes, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003, CERN, 2012, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1474107>.




Fig. 5. The measured $W^\pm Zjj$ differential cross-section in the VBS fiducial phase space as a function of (a) the absolute difference in rapidity between the two tagging jets Δy_{jj} , (b) the invariant mass of the tagging jets m_{jj} , (c) $N_{\text{jets}}^{\text{gap}}$ the exclusive jet multiplicity of jets with $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ in the gap between the two tagging jets, and (d) the azimuthal angle between the two tagging jets $\Delta\phi_{jj}$. The inner and outer error bars on the data points represent the statistical and total uncertainties, respectively. The measurements are compared with the sum of the rescaled $WZjj$ -QCD and $WZjj$ -EW predictions from SHERPA (solid line). The $WZjj$ -EW and $WZjj$ -QCD contributions are also represented by dashed and dashed-dotted lines, respectively. In (b) ad (c), the right y-axis refers to the last cross-section point, separated from the others by a vertical dashed line, as this last bin is integrated up to the maximum value reached in the phase space. The lower panels show the ratios of the data to the predictions from SHERPA. The uncertainty on the SHERPA prediction is dominated by the QCD scale uncertainty on the $WZjj$ -QCD predicted cross-section, whose envelope is of $^{+30\%}_{-20\%}$ and it is not represented on the figure.

- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron Efficiency Measurements With the ATLAS Detector Using the 2015 LHC Proton-Proton Collision Data, Tech. rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-024, CERN, 2016, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2157687>.
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 292, arXiv:1603.05598 [hep-ex].
- [27] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron and photon energy calibration with the ATLAS detector using 2015-2016 LHC proton-proton collision data, arXiv:1812.03848 [hep-ex], 2018.
- [28] T. Gleisberg, et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2009) 007, arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].
- [29] T. Gleisberg, S. Höche, Comix, a new matrix element generator, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2008) 039, arXiv:0808.3674 [hep-ph].
- [30] S. Schumann, F. Krauss, A parton shower algorithm based on Catani-Seymour dipole factorisation, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2008) 038, arXiv:0709.1027 [hep-ph].
- [31] S. Catani, F. Krauss, R. Kuhn, B.R. Webber, QCD matrix elements + parton showers, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2001) 063, arXiv:hep-ph/0109231 [hep-ph].
- [32] S. Höche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, QCD matrix elements and truncated showers, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2009) 053, arXiv:0903.1219 [hep-ph].
- [33] S. Höche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, F. Siegert, QCD matrix elements + parton showers: the NLO case, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2013) 027, arXiv:1207.5030 [hep-ph].
- [34] J.-C. Winter, F. Krauss, G. Soff, A modified cluster-hadronisation model, Eur. Phys. J. C 36 (2004) 381, arXiv:hep-ph/0311085 [hep-ph].
- [35] M. Schönherr, F. Krauss, Soft photon radiation in particle decays in SHERPA, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2008) 018, arXiv:0810.5071 [hep-ph].
- [36] R.D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions for the LHC run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040, arXiv:1410.8849 [hep-ph].
- [37] J. Alwall, et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [38] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146 [hep-ph].
- [39] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070, arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph].
- [40] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043, arXiv:1002.2581 [hep-ph].

- [41] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Röntsch, G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2011) 078, arXiv:1107.5051 [hep-ph].
- [42] M. Bähr, et al., Herwig++ physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639, arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph].
- [43] H.-L. Lai, et al., New parton distributions for collider physics, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074024, arXiv:1007.2241 [hep-ph].
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 490, arXiv:1603.02934 [hep-ex].
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, Selection of jets produced in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector, ATLAS-CONF-2015-029, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2037702>, 2015.
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 581, arXiv:1510.03823 [hep-ex].
- [47] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 072002, arXiv:1703.09665 [hep-ex].
- [48] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of b -jet identification in the ATLAS experiment, J. Instrum. 11 (2016) P04008, arXiv:1512.01094 [hep-ex].
- [49] ATLAS Collaboration, Optimisation of the ATLAS b -Tagging Performance for the 2016 LHC Run, Tech. rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-012, CERN, 2016, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2160731>.
- [50] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 903, arXiv:1802.08168 [hep-ex].
- [51] ATLAS Collaboration, E_T^{miss} Performance in the ATLAS Detector Using 2015–2016 LHC $p-p$ Collisions, Tech. rep. ATLAS-CONF-2018-023, CERN, 2018, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2625233>.
- [52] K.A. Olive, et al., Rev. Part. Phys., Chin. Phys. C 38 (2014) 090001.
- [53] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for supersymmetry at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in final states with jets and two same-sign leptons or three leptons with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 035, arXiv:1404.2500 [hep-ex].
- [54] A. Hoecker, et al., TMVA: toolkit for multivariate data analysis, PoS ACAT (2007) 040, arXiv:physics/0703039.
- [55] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of WZ production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2173, arXiv:1208.1390 [hep-ex].
- [56] J. Butterworth, et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, J. Phys. G 43 (2016) 023001, arXiv:1510.03865 [hep-ph].
- [57] ATLAS Collaboration, Modelling of the Vector Boson Scattering Process $pp \rightarrow W^\pm W^\pm jj$ in Monte Carlo Generators in ATLAS, Tech. rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-004, CERN, 2019, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2655303>.
- [58] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy resolution in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2306, arXiv:1210.6210 [hep-ex].
- [59] ATLAS Collaboration, Multi-boson simulation for 13 TeV ATLAS analyses, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-002, 2016, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2119986>.
- [60] Modelling of the $t\bar{t}H$ and $t\bar{t}V$ ($V = W, Z$) Processes for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV ATLAS Analyses, tech. rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-005, CERN, 2016, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2120826>.
- [61] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 653, arXiv:1608.03953 [hep-ex].
- [62] G. Avoni, et al., The new LUCID-2 detector for luminosity measurement and monitoring in ATLAS, J. Instrum. 13 (2018) P07017.
- [63] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1554, arXiv:1007.1727 [physics.data-an].
- [64] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, P. Maierhöfer, M. Pellen, C. Schwan, QCD and electroweak corrections to WZ scattering at the LHC, arXiv:1904.00882 [hep-ph], 2019.
- [65] G. D'Agostini, A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes' theorem, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 362 (1995) 487.
- [66] T. Adye, Unfolding Algorithms and Tests Using RooUnfold, Proceedings of the PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011, p. 313, arXiv:1105.1160 [physics.data-an].
- [67] B. Malaescu, An Iterative, Dynamically Stabilized (IDS) Method of Data Unfolding, Proceedings of the PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011, p. 271, arXiv:1106.3107 [physics.data-an].
- [68] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS computing acknowledgements, ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2202407>.

The ATLAS Collaboration

M. Aaboud^{35d}, G. Aad¹⁰⁰, B. Abbott¹²⁷, O. Abdinov^{13,*}, B. Abeloos¹³¹, D.K. Abhayasinghe⁹², S.H. Abidi¹⁶⁶, O.S. AbouZeid⁴⁰, N.L. Abraham¹⁵⁵, H. Abramowicz¹⁶⁰, H. Abreu¹⁵⁹, Y. Abulaiti⁶, B.S. Acharya^{65a,65b,n}, S. Adachi¹⁶², L. Adam⁹⁸, C. Adam Bourdarios¹³¹, L. Adamczyk^{82a}, J. Adelman¹²⁰, M. Adersberger¹¹³, A. Adiguzel^{12c}, T. Adye¹⁴³, A.A. Affolder¹⁴⁵, Y. Afik¹⁵⁹, C. Agheorghiesei^{27c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{139f,139a}, F. Ahmadov^{78,ac}, G. Aielli^{72a,72b}, S. Akatsuka⁸⁴, T.P.A. Åkesson⁹⁵, E. Akilli⁵³, A.V. Akimov¹⁰⁹, G.L. Alberghi^{23b,23a}, J. Albert¹⁷⁵, P. Albicocco⁵⁰, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁸⁷, S. Alderweireldt¹¹⁸, M. Alekso³⁶, I.N. Aleksandrov⁷⁸, C. Alexa^{27b}, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob¹²⁷, B. Ali¹⁴¹, G. Alimonti^{67a}, J. Alison³⁷, S.P. Alkire¹⁴⁷, C. Allaire¹³¹, B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁵⁵, B.W. Allen¹³⁰, P.P. Allport²¹, A. Aloisio^{68a,68b}, A. Alonso⁴⁰, F. Alonso⁸⁷, C. Alpigiani¹⁴⁷, A.A. Alshehri⁵⁶, M.I. Alstaty¹⁰⁰, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³⁶, D. Alvarez Piqueras¹⁷³, M.G. Alvaggi^{68a,68b}, B.T. Amadio¹⁸, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{79b}, A. Ambler¹⁰², L. Ambroz¹³⁴, C. Amelung²⁶, D. Amidei¹⁰⁴, S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{139a,139c}, S. Amoroso⁴⁵, C.S. Amrouche⁵³, C. Anastopoulos¹⁴⁸, L.S. Ancu⁵³, N. Andari¹⁴⁴, T. Andeen¹¹, C.F. Anders^{60b}, J.K. Anders²⁰, K.J. Anderson³⁷, A. Andreazza^{67a,67b}, V. Andrei^{60a}, C.R. Anelli¹⁷⁵, S. Angelidakis³⁸, I. Angelozzi¹¹⁹, A. Angerami³⁹, A.V. Anisenkov^{121b,121a}, A. Annovi^{70a}, C. Antel^{60a}, M.T. Anthony¹⁴⁸, M. Antonelli⁵⁰, D.J.A. Antrim¹⁷⁰, F. Anulli^{71a}, M. Aoki⁸⁰, J.A. Aparisi Pozo¹⁷³, L. Aperio Bella³⁶, G. Arabidze¹⁰⁵, J.P. Araque^{139a}, V. Araujo Ferraz^{79b}, R. Araujo Pereira^{79b}, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁸, R.E. Ardell⁹², F.A. Arduh⁸⁷, J-F. Arguin¹⁰⁸, S. Argyropoulos⁷⁶, A.J. Armbruster³⁶, L.J. Armitage⁹¹, A. Armstrong¹⁷⁰, O. Arnaez¹⁶⁶, H. Arnold¹¹⁹, M. Arratia³², O. Arslan²⁴, A. Artamonov^{110,*}, G. Artoni¹³⁴, S. Artz⁹⁸, S. Asai¹⁶², N. Asbah⁵⁸, E.M. Asimakopoulou¹⁷¹, L. Asquith¹⁵⁵, K. Assamagan²⁹, R. Astalos^{28a}, R.J. Atkin^{33a}, M. Atkinson¹⁷², N.B. Atlay¹⁵⁰, K. Augsten¹⁴¹, G. Avolio³⁶, R. Avramidou^{59a}, M.K. Ayoub^{15a}, A.M. Azoulay^{167b}, G. Azuelos^{108,aq}, A.E. Baas^{60a}, M.J. Baca²¹, H. Bachacou¹⁴⁴, K. Bachas^{66a,66b}, M. Backes¹³⁴, P. Bagnaia^{71a,71b}, M. Bahmani⁸³, H. Bahrasemani¹⁵¹, A.J. Bailey¹⁷³, J.T. Baines¹⁴³, M. Bajic⁴⁰, C. Bakalis¹⁰, O.K. Baker¹⁸², P.J. Bakker¹¹⁹, D. Bakshi Gupta⁸, S. Balaji¹⁵⁶, E.M. Baldin^{121b,121a}, P. Balek¹⁷⁹, F. Balli¹⁴⁴, W.K. Balunas¹³⁶, J. Balz⁹⁸, E. Banas⁸³, A. Bandyopadhyay²⁴, Sw. Banerjee^{180,i}, A.A.E. Bannoura¹⁸¹, L. Barak¹⁶⁰, W.M. Barbe³⁸, E.L. Barberio¹⁰³, D. Barberis^{54b,54a},

- M. Barbero 100, T. Barillari 114, M.-S. Barisits 36, J. Barkeloo 130, T. Barklow 152, R. Barnea 159, S.L. Barnes 59c, B.M. Barnett 143, R.M. Barnett 18, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy 59a, A. Baroncelli 73a, G. Barone 29, A.J. Barr 134, L. Barranco Navarro 173, F. Barreiro 97, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa 15a, R. Bartoldus 152, A.E. Barton 88, P. Bartos 28a, A. Basalaev 137, A. Bassalat 131,ak, R.L. Bates 56, S.J. Batista 166, S. Batlamous 35e, J.R. Batley 32, M. Battaglia 145, M. Bauce 71a,71b, F. Bauer 144, K.T. Bauer 170, H.S. Bawa 31,l, J.B. Beacham 125, T. Beau 135, P.H. Beauchemin 169, P. Bechtle 24, H.C. Beck 52, H.P. Beck 20,p, K. Becker 51, M. Becker 98, C. Becot 45, A. Beddall 12d, A.J. Beddall 12a, V.A. Bednyakov 78, M. Bedognetti 119, C.P. Bee 154, T.A. Beermann 75, M. Begalli 79b, M. Begel 29, A. Behera 154, J.K. Behr 45, A.S. Bell 93, G. Bella 160, L. Bellagamba 23b, A. Bellerive 34, M. Bellomo 159, P. Bellos 9, K. Belotskiy 111, N.L. Belyaev 111, O. Benary 160,* D. Benchekroun 35a, M. Bender 113, N. Benekos 10, Y. Benhammou 160, E. Benhar Noccioli 182, J. Benitez 76, D.P. Benjamin 48, M. Benoit 53, J.R. Bensinger 26, S. Bentvelsen 119, L. Beresford 134, M. Beretta 50, D. Berge 45, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann 171, N. Berger 5, B. Bergmann 141, L.J. Bergsten 26, J. Beringer 18, S. Berlendis 7, N.R. Bernard 101, G. Bernardi 135, C. Bernius 152, F.U. Bernlochner 24, T. Berry 92, P. Berta 98, C. Bertella 15a, G. Bertoli 44a,44b, I.A. Bertram 88, G.J. Besjes 40, O. Bessidskaia Bylund 181, M. Bessner 45, N. Besson 144, A. Bethani 99, S. Bethke 114, A. Betti 24, A.J. Bevan 91, J. Beyer 114, R. Bi 138, R.M. Bianchi 138, O. Biebel 113, D. Biedermann 19, R. Bielski 36, K. Bierwagen 98, N.V. Biesuz 70a,70b, M. Biglietti 73a, T.R.V. Billoud 108, M. Bindi 52, A. Bingul 12d, C. Bini 71a,71b, S. Biondi 23b,23a, M. Birman 179, T. Bisanz 52, J.P. Biswal 160, C. Bittrich 47, D.M. Bjergaard 48, J.E. Black 152, K.M. Black 25, T. Blazek 28a, I. Bloch 45, C. Blocker 26, A. Blue 56, U. Blumenschein 91, S. Blunier 146a, G.J. Bobbink 119, V.S. Bobrovnikov 121b,121a, S.S. Bocchetta 95, A. Bocci 48, D. Boerner 181, D. Bogavac 113, A.G. Bogdanchikov 121b,121a, C. Bohm 44a, V. Boisvert 92, P. Bokan 171, T. Bold 82a, A.S. Boldyrev 112, A.E. Bolz 60b, M. Bomben 135, M. Bona 91, J.S. Bonilla 130, M. Boonekamp 144, A. Borisov 122, G. Borissov 88, J. Bortfeldt 36, D. Bortoletto 134, V. Bortolotto 72a,72b, D. Boscherini 23b, M. Bosman 14, J.D. Bossio Sola 30, K. Bouaouda 35a, J. Boudreau 138, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker 88, D. Boumediene 38, S.K. Boutle 56, A. Boveia 125, J. Boyd 36, D. Boye 33b, I.R. Boyko 78, A.J. Bozson 92, J. Bracinik 21, N. Brahimi 100, A. Brandt 8, G. Brandt 181, O. Brandt 60a, F. Braren 45, U. Bratzler 163, B. Brau 101, J.E. Brau 130, W.D. Breaden Madden 56, K. Brendlinger 45, L. Brenner 45, R. Brenner 171, S. Bressler 179, B. Brickwedde 98, D.L. Briglin 21, D. Britton 56, D. Britzger 114, I. Brock 24, R. Brock 105, G. Brooijmans 39, T. Brooks 92, W.K. Brooks 146b, E. Brost 120, J.H. Broughton 21, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom 83, D. Bruncko 28b, A. Bruni 23b, G. Bruni 23b, L.S. Bruni 119, S. Bruno 72a,72b, B.H. Brunt 32, M. Bruschi 23b, N. Bruscino 138, P. Bryant 37, L. Bryngemark 45, T. Buanes 17, Q. Buat 36, P. Buchholz 150, A.G. Buckley 56, I.A. Budagov 78, M.K. Bugge 133, F. Bührer 51, O. Bulekov 111, D. Bullock 8, T.J. Burch 120, S. Burdin 89, C.D. Burgard 119, A.M. Burger 5, B. Burghgrave 120, K. Burka 83, S. Burke 143, I. Burmeister 46, J.T.P. Burr 134, V. Büscher 98, E. Buschmann 52, P.J. Bussey 56, J.M. Butler 25, C.M. Buttar 56, J.M. Butterworth 93, P. Buttì 36, W. Buttinger 36, A. Buzatu 157, A.R. Buzykaev 121b,121a, G. Cabras 23b,23a, S. Cabrera Urbán 173, D. Caforio 141, H. Cai 172, V.M.M. Cairo 2, O. Cakir 4a, N. Calace 53, P. Calafiura 18, A. Calandri 100, G. Calderini 135, P. Calfayan 64, G. Callea 41b,41a, L.P. Caloba 79b, S. Calvente Lopez 97, D. Calvet 38, S. Calvet 38, T.P. Calvet 154, M. Calvetti 70a,70b, R. Camacho Toro 135, S. Camarda 36, D. Camarero Munoz 97, P. Camarri 72a,72b, D. Cameron 133, R. Caminal Armadans 101, C. Camincher 36, S. Campana 36, M. Campanelli 93, A. Camplani 40, A. Campoverde 150, V. Canale 68a,68b, M. Cano Bret 59c, J. Cantero 128, T. Cao 160, Y. Cao 172, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido 36, I. Caprini 27b, M. Caprini 27b, M. Capua 41b,41a, R.M. Carbone 39, R. Cardarelli 72a, F.C. Cardillo 148, I. Carli 142, T. Carli 36, G. Carlino 68a, B.T. Carlson 138, L. Carminati 67a,67b, R.M.D. Carney 44a,44b, S. Caron 118, E. Carquin 146b, S. Carrá 67a,67b, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya 36, D. Casadei 33b, M.P. Casado 14,f, A.F. Casha 166, D.W. Casper 170, R. Castelijn 119, F.I. Castillo 173, V. Castillo Gimenez 173, N.F. Castro 139a,139e, A. Catinaccio 36, J.R. Catmore 133, A. Cattai 36, J. Caudron 24, V. Cavaliere 29, E. Cavallaro 14, D. Cavalli 67a, M. Cavalli-Sforza 14, V. Cavasinni 70a,70b, E. Celebi 12b, F. Ceradini 73a,73b, L. Cerdà Alberich 173, A.S. Cerqueira 79a, A. Cerri 155, L. Cerrito 72a,72b, F. Cerutti 18, A. Cervelli 23b,23a, S.A. Cetin 12b, A. Chafaq 35a, D. Chakraborty 120, S.K. Chan 58, W.S. Chan 119, Y.L. Chan 62a, J.D. Chapman 32, B. Chargeishvili 158b, D.G. Charlton 21, C.C. Chau 34, C.A. Chavez Barajas 155, S. Che 125, A. Chegwidden 105, S. Chekanov 6, S.V. Chekulaev 167a, G.A. Chelkov 78,ap, M.A. Chelstowska 36, C. Chen 59a, C.H. Chen 77, H. Chen 29, J. Chen 59a, J. Chen 39, S. Chen 136, S.J. Chen 15c, X. Chen 15b,ao, Y. Chen 81, Y.-H. Chen 45, H.C. Cheng 104, H.J. Cheng 15a,15d, A. Cheplakov 78, E. Cheremushkina 122, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli 35e, E. Cheu 7, K. Cheung 63, L. Chevalier 144, V. Chiarella 50, G. Chiarelli 70a,

- G. Chiodini 66a, A.S. Chisholm 36,21, A. Chitan 27b, I. Chiu 162, Y.H. Chiu 175, M.V. Chizhov 78, K. Choi 64, A.R. Chomont 131, S. Chouridou 161, Y.S. Chow 119, V. Christodoulou 93, M.C. Chu 62a, J. Chudoba 140, A.J. Chuinard 102, J.J. Chwastowski 83, L. Chytka 129, D. Cinca 46, V. Cindro 90, I.A. Cioară 24, A. Ciocio 18, F. Cirotto 68a,68b, Z.H. Citron 179, M. Citterio 67a, A. Clark 53, M.R. Clark 39, P.J. Clark 49, C. Clement 44a,44b, Y. Coadou 100, M. Cobal 65a,65c, A. Coccaro 54b, J. Cochran 77, H. Cohen 160, A.E.C. Coimbra 179, L. Colasurdo 118, B. Cole 39, A.P. Colijn 119, J. Collot 57, P. Conde Muiño 139a, E. Coniavitis 51, S.H. Connell 33b, I.A. Connelly 99, S. Constantinescu 27b, F. Conventi 68a,as, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar 134, F. Cormier 174, K.J.R. Cormier 166, L.D. Corpe 93, M. Corradi 71a,71b, E.E. Corrigan 95, F. Corriveau 102,aa, A. Cortes-Gonzalez 36, M.J. Costa 173, F. Costanza 5, D. Costanzo 148, G. Cottin 32, G. Cowan 92, B.E. Cox 99, J. Crane 99, K. Cranmer 123, S.J. Crawley 56, R.A. Creager 136, G. Cree 34, S. Crépé-Renaudin 57, F. Crescioli 135, M. Cristinziani 24, V. Croft 123, G. Crosetti 41b,41a, A. Cueto 97, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann 148, A.R. Cukierman 152, S. Czekierda 83, P. Czodrowski 36, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa 59b, C. Da Via 99, W. Dabrowski 82a, T. Dado 28a,w, S. Dahbi 35e, T. Dai 104, F. Dallaire 108, C. Dallapiccola 101, M. Dam 40, G. D'amen 23b,23a, J. Damp 98, J.R. Dandoy 136, M.F. Daneri 30, N.P. Dang 180, N.D. Dann 99, M. Danninger 174, V. Dao 36, G. Darbo 54b, S. Darmora 8, O. Dartsi 5, A. Dattagupta 130, T. Daubney 45, S. D'Auria 67a,67b, W. Davey 24, C. David 45, T. Davidek 142, D.R. Davis 48, E. Dawe 103, I. Dawson 148, K. De 8, R. De Asmundis 68a, A. De Benedetti 127, M. De Beurs 119, S. De Castro 23b,23a, S. De Cecco 71a,71b, N. De Groot 118, P. de Jong 119, H. De la Torre 105, F. De Lorenzi 77, A. De Maria 70a,70b, D. De Pedis 71a, A. De Salvo 71a, U. De Sanctis 72a,72b, M. De Santis 72a,72b, A. De Santo 155, K. De Vasconcelos Corga 100, J.B. De Vivie De Regie 131, C. Debenedetti 145, D.V. Dedovich 78, N. Dehghanian 3, A.M. Deiana 104, M. Del Gaudio 41b,41a, J. Del Peso 97, Y. Delabat Diaz 45, D. Delgove 131, F. Deliot 144, C.M. Delitzsch 7, M. Della Pietra 68a,68b, D. Della Volpe 53, A. Dell'Acqua 36, L. Dell'Asta 25, M. Delmastro 5, C. Delporte 131, P.A. Delsart 57, D.A. DeMarco 166, S. Demers 182, M. Demichev 78, S.P. Denisov 122, D. Denysiuk 119, L. D'Eramo 135, D. Derendarz 83, J.E. Derkaoui 35d, F. Derue 135, P. Dervan 89, K. Desch 24, C. Deterre 45, K. Dette 166, M.R. Devesa 30, P.O. Deviveiros 36, A. Dewhurst 143, S. Dhaliwal 26, F.A. Di Bello 53, A. Di Ciaccio 72a,72b, L. Di Ciaccio 5, W.K. Di Clemente 136, C. Di Donato 68a,68b, A. Di Girolamo 36, G. Di Gregorio 70a,70b, B. Di Micco 73a,73b, R. Di Nardo 101, K.F. Di Petrillo 58, R. Di Sipio 166, D. Di Valentino 34, C. Diaconu 100, M. Diamond 166, F.A. Dias 40, T. Dias Do Vale 139a, M.A. Diaz 146a, J. Dickinson 18, E.B. Diehl 104, J. Dietrich 19, S. Díez Cornell 45, A. Dimitrieva 18, J. Dingfelder 24, F. Dittus 36, F. Djama 100, T. Djobava 158b, J.I. Djuvsland 60a, M.A.B. Do Vale 79c, M. Dobre 27b, D. Dodsworth 26, C. Doglioni 95, J. Dolejsi 142, Z. Dolezal 142, M. Donadelli 79d, J. Donini 38, A. D'onofrio 91, M. D'Onofrio 89, J. Dopke 143, A. Doria 68a, M.T. Dova 87, A.T. Doyle 56, E. Drechsler 52, E. Dreyer 151, T. Dreyer 52, Y. Du 59b, F. Dubinin 109, M. Dubovsky 28a, A. Dubreuil 53, E. Duchovni 179, G. Duckeck 113, A. Ducourthial 135, O.A. Ducu 108,v, D. Duda 114, A. Dudarev 36, A.C. Dudder 98, E.M. Duffield 18, L. Duflot 131, M. Dührssen 36, C. Dülsen 181, M. Dumancic 179, A.E. Dumitriu 27b,d, A.K. Duncan 56, M. Dunford 60a, A. Duperrin 100, H. Duran Yildiz 4a, M. Düren 55, A. Durglishvili 158b, D. Duschinger 47, B. Dutta 45, D. Duvnjak 1, M. Dyndal 45, S. Dysch 99, B.S. Dziedzic 83, C. Eckardt 45, K.M. Ecker 114, R.C. Edgar 104, T. Eifert 36, G. Eigen 17, K. Einsweiler 18, T. Ekelof 171, M. El Kacimi 35c, R. El Kosseifi 100, V. Ellajosyula 100, M. Ellert 171, F. Ellinghaus 181, A.A. Elliot 91, N. Ellis 36, J. Elmsheuser 29, M. Elsing 36, D. Emeliyanov 143, A. Emerman 39, Y. Enari 162, J.S. Ennis 177, M.B. Epland 48, J. Erdmann 46, A. Ereditato 20, S. Errede 172, M. Escalier 131, C. Escobar 173, O. Estrada Pastor 173, A.I. Etienne 144, E. Etzion 160, H. Evans 64, A. Ezhilov 137, M. Ezzi 35e, F. Fabbri 56, L. Fabbri 23b,23a, V. Fabiani 118, G. Facini 93, R.M. Faisca Rodrigues Pereira 139a, R.M. Fakhrutdinov 122, S. Falciano 71a, P.J. Falke 5, S. Falke 5, J. Faltova 142, Y. Fang 15a, M. Fanti 67a,67b, A. Farbin 8, A. Farilla 73a, E.M. Farina 69a,69b, T. Farooque 105, S. Farrell 18, S.M. Farrington 177, P. Farthouat 36, F. Fassi 35e, P. Fassnacht 36, D. Fassouliotis 9, M. Faucci Giannelli 49, A. Favareto 54b,54a, W.J. Fawcett 32, L. Fayard 131, O.L. Fedin 137,o, W. Fedorko 174, M. Feickert 42, S. Feigl 133, L. Feligioni 100, C. Feng 59b, E.J. Feng 36, M. Feng 48, M.J. Fenton 56, A.B. Fenyuk 122, L. Feremenga 8, J. Ferrando 45, A. Ferrari 171, P. Ferrari 119, R. Ferrari 69a, D.E. Ferreira de Lima 60b, A. Ferrer 173, D. Ferrere 53, C. Ferretti 104, F. Fiedler 98, A. Filipčič 90, F. Filthaut 118, K.D. Finelli 25, M.C.N. Fiolhais 139a,139c,a, L. Fiorini 173, C. Fischer 14, W.C. Fisher 105, N. Flaschel 45, I. Fleck 150, P. Fleischmann 104, R.R.M. Fletcher 136, T. Flick 181, B.M. Flierl 113, L.F. Flores 136, L.R. Flores Castillo 62a, F.M. Follega 74a,74b, N. Fomin 17, G.T. Forcolin 74a,74b, A. Formica 144, F.A. Förster 14,

- A.C. Forti ⁹⁹, A.G. Foster ²¹, D. Fournier ¹³¹, H. Fox ⁸⁸, S. Fracchia ¹⁴⁸, P. Francavilla ^{70a,70b},
 M. Franchini ^{23b,23a}, S. Franchino ^{60a}, D. Francis ³⁶, L. Franconi ¹⁴⁵, M. Franklin ⁵⁸, M. Frate ¹⁷⁰,
 M. Fraternali ^{69a,69b}, A.N. Fray ⁹¹, D. Freeborn ⁹³, S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu ³⁶, B. Freund ¹⁰⁸,
 W.S. Freund ^{79b}, E.M. Freundlich ⁴⁶, D.C. Frizzell ¹²⁷, D. Froidevaux ³⁶, J.A. Frost ¹³⁴, C. Fukunaga ¹⁶³,
 E. Fullana Torregrosa ¹⁷³, T. Fusayasu ¹¹⁵, J. Fuster ¹⁷³, O. Gabizon ¹⁵⁹, A. Gabrielli ^{23b,23a}, A. Gabrielli ¹⁸,
 G.P. Gach ^{82a}, S. Gadatsch ⁵³, P. Gadow ¹¹⁴, G. Gagliardi ^{54b,54a}, L.G. Gagnon ¹⁰⁸, C. Galea ^{27b},
 B. Galhardo ^{139a,139c}, E.J. Gallas ¹³⁴, B.J. Gallop ¹⁴³, P. Gallus ¹⁴¹, G. Galster ⁴⁰, R. Gamboa Goni ⁹¹,
 K.K. Gan ¹²⁵, S. Ganguly ¹⁷⁹, J. Gao ^{59a}, Y. Gao ⁸⁹, Y.S. Gao ^{31,l}, C. García ¹⁷³, J.E. García Navarro ¹⁷³,
 J.A. García Pascual ^{15a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres ¹⁸, R.W. Gardner ³⁷, N. Garelli ¹⁵², V. Garonne ¹³³,
 K. Gasnikova ⁴⁵, A. Gaudiello ^{54b,54a}, G. Gaudio ^{69a}, I.L. Gavrilenko ¹⁰⁹, A. Gavrilyuk ¹¹⁰, C. Gay ¹⁷⁴,
 G. Gaycken ²⁴, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee ¹⁴³, J. Geisen ⁵², M. Geisen ⁹⁸, M.P. Geisler ^{60a}, K. Gellerstedt ^{44a,44b},
 C. Gemme ^{54b}, M.H. Genest ⁵⁷, C. Geng ¹⁰⁴, S. Gentile ^{71a,71b}, S. George ⁹², D. Gerbaudo ¹⁴, G. Gessner ⁴⁶,
 S. Ghasemi ¹⁵⁰, M. Ghasemi Bostanabad ¹⁷⁵, M. Ghneimat ²⁴, B. Giacobbe ^{23b}, S. Giagu ^{71a,71b},
 N. Giangiacomi ^{23b,23a}, P. Giannetti ^{70a}, A. Giannini ^{68a,68b}, S.M. Gibson ⁹², M. Gignac ¹⁴⁵, D. Gillberg ³⁴,
 G. Gilles ¹⁸¹, D.M. Gingrich ^{3,aq}, M.P. Giordani ^{65a,65c}, F.M. Giorgi ^{23b}, P.F. Giraud ¹⁴⁴, P. Giromini ⁵⁸,
 G. Giugliarelli ^{65a,65c}, D. Giugni ^{67a}, F. Juli ¹³⁴, M. Giulini ^{60b}, S. Gkaitatzis ¹⁶¹, I. Gkalias ^{9,h},
 E.L. Gkougkousis ¹⁴, P. Gkountoumis ¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin ¹¹², C. Glasman ⁹⁷, J. Glatzer ¹⁴, P.C.F. Glaysher ⁴⁵,
 A. Glazov ⁴⁵, M. Goblirsch-Kolb ²⁶, J. Godlewski ⁸³, S. Goldfarb ¹⁰³, T. Golling ⁵³, D. Golubkov ¹²²,
 A. Gomes ^{139a,139b}, R. Goncalves Gama ^{79a}, R. Gonçalo ^{139a}, G. Gonella ⁵¹, L. Gonella ²¹, A. Gongadze ⁷⁸,
 F. Gonnella ²¹, J.L. Gonski ⁵⁸, S. González de la Hoz ¹⁷³, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ⁵³, L. Goossens ³⁶,
 P.A. Gorbounov ¹¹⁰, H.A. Gordon ²⁹, B. Gorini ³⁶, E. Gorini ^{66a,66b}, A. Gorišek ⁹⁰, A.T. Goshaw ⁴⁸,
 C. Gössling ⁴⁶, M.I. Gostkin ⁷⁸, C.A. Gottardo ²⁴, C.R. Goudet ¹³¹, D. Goujdami ^{35c}, A.G. Goussiou ¹⁴⁷,
 N. Govender ^{33b,b}, C. Goy ⁵, E. Gozani ¹⁵⁹, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{82a}, P.O.J. Gradin ¹⁷¹, E.C. Graham ⁸⁹,
 J. Gramling ¹⁷⁰, E. Gramstad ¹³³, S. Grancagnolo ¹⁹, V. Gratchev ¹³⁷, P.M. Gravila ^{27f}, F.G. Gravili ^{66a,66b},
 C. Gray ⁵⁶, H.M. Gray ¹⁸, Z.D. Greenwood ⁹⁴, C. Grefe ²⁴, K. Gregersen ⁹⁵, I.M. Gregor ⁴⁵, P. Grenier ¹⁵²,
 K. Grevtsov ⁴⁵, N.A. Grieser ¹²⁷, J. Griffiths ⁸, A.A. Grillo ¹⁴⁵, K. Grimm ^{31,k}, S. Grinstein ^{14,x}, Ph. Gris ³⁸,
 J.-F. Grivaz ¹³¹, S. Groh ⁹⁸, E. Gross ¹⁷⁹, J. Grosse-Knetter ⁵², G.C. Grossi ⁹⁴, Z.J. Grout ⁹³, C. Grud ¹⁰⁴,
 A. Grummer ¹¹⁷, L. Guan ¹⁰⁴, W. Guan ¹⁸⁰, J. Guenther ³⁶, A. Guerguichon ¹³¹, F. Guescini ^{167a},
 D. Guest ¹⁷⁰, R. Gugel ⁵¹, B. Gui ¹²⁵, T. Guillemin ⁵, S. Guindon ³⁶, U. Gul ⁵⁶, C. Gumpert ³⁶, J. Guo ^{59c},
 W. Guo ¹⁰⁴, Y. Guo ^{59a,q}, Z. Guo ¹⁰⁰, R. Gupta ⁴⁵, S. Gurbuz ^{12c}, G. Gustavino ¹²⁷, B.J. Gutelman ¹⁵⁹,
 P. Gutierrez ¹²⁷, C. Gutschow ⁹³, C. Guyot ¹⁴⁴, M.P. Guzik ^{82a}, C. Gwenlan ¹³⁴, C.B. Gwilliam ⁸⁹, A. Haas ¹²³,
 C. Haber ¹⁸, H.K. Hadavand ⁸, N. Haddad ^{35e}, A. Hadef ^{59a}, S. Hageböck ²⁴, M. Hagihara ¹⁶⁸,
 H. Hakobyan ^{183,*}, M. Haleem ¹⁷⁶, J. Haley ¹²⁸, G. Halladjian ¹⁰⁵, G.D. Hallewell ¹⁰⁰, K. Hamacher ¹⁸¹,
 P. Hamal ¹²⁹, K. Hamano ¹⁷⁵, A. Hamilton ^{33a}, G.N. Hamity ¹⁴⁸, K. Han ^{59a,ae}, L. Han ^{59a}, S. Han ^{15a,15d},
 K. Hanagaki ^{80,t}, M. Hance ¹⁴⁵, D.M. Handl ¹¹³, B. Haney ¹³⁶, R. Hankache ¹³⁵, P. Hanke ^{60a}, E. Hansen ⁹⁵,
 J.B. Hansen ⁴⁰, J.D. Hansen ⁴⁰, M.C. Hansen ²⁴, P.H. Hansen ⁴⁰, E.C. Hanson ⁹⁹, K. Hara ¹⁶⁸, A.S. Hard ¹⁸⁰,
 T. Harenberg ¹⁸¹, S. Harkusha ¹⁰⁶, P.F. Harrison ¹⁷⁷, N.M. Hartmann ¹¹³, Y. Hasegawa ¹⁴⁹, A. Hasib ⁴⁹,
 S. Hassani ¹⁴⁴, S. Haug ²⁰, R. Hauser ¹⁰⁵, L. Hauswald ⁴⁷, L.B. Havener ³⁹, M. Havranek ¹⁴¹, C.M. Hawkes ²¹,
 R.J. Hawkings ³⁶, D. Hayden ¹⁰⁵, C. Hayes ¹⁵⁴, C.P. Hays ¹³⁴, J.M. Hays ⁹¹, H.S. Hayward ⁸⁹, S.J. Haywood ¹⁴³,
 M.P. Heath ⁴⁹, V. Hedberg ⁹⁵, L. Heelan ⁸, S. Heer ²⁴, K.K. Heidegger ⁵¹, J. Heilman ³⁴, S. Heim ⁴⁵,
 T. Heim ¹⁸, B. Heinemann ^{45,al}, J.J. Heinrich ¹¹³, L. Heinrich ¹²³, C. Heinz ⁵⁵, J. Hejbal ¹⁴⁰, L. Helary ³⁶,
 A. Held ¹⁷⁴, S. Hellmund ¹³³, S. Hellman ^{44a,44b}, C. Helsens ³⁶, R.C.W. Henderson ⁸⁸, Y. Heng ¹⁸⁰,
 S. Henkelmann ¹⁷⁴, A.M. Henriques Correia ³⁶, G.H. Herbert ¹⁹, H. Herde ²⁶, V. Herget ¹⁷⁶,
 Y. Hernández Jiménez ^{33c}, H. Herr ⁹⁸, M.G. Herrmann ¹¹³, T. Herrmann ⁴⁷, G. Herten ⁵¹,
 R. Hertenberger ¹¹³, L. Hervas ³⁶, T.C. Herwig ¹³⁶, G.G. Hesketh ⁹³, N.P. Hessey ^{167a}, A. Higashida ¹⁶²,
 S. Higashino ⁸⁰, E. Higón-Rodriguez ¹⁷³, K. Hildebrand ³⁷, E. Hill ¹⁷⁵, J.C. Hill ³², K.K. Hill ²⁹, K.H. Hiller ⁴⁵,
 S.J. Hillier ²¹, M. Hils ⁴⁷, I. Hinchliffe ¹⁸, M. Hirose ¹³², D. Hirschbuehl ¹⁸¹, B. Hiti ⁹⁰, O. Hladík ¹⁴⁰,
 D.R. Hlaluku ^{33c}, X. Hoad ⁴⁹, J. Hobbs ¹⁵⁴, N. Hod ^{167a}, M.C. Hodgkinson ¹⁴⁸, A. Hoecker ³⁶,
 M.R. Hoeferkamp ¹¹⁷, F. Hoenig ¹¹³, D. Hohn ²⁴, D. Hohov ¹³¹, T.R. Holmes ³⁷, M. Holzbock ¹¹³,
 M. Homann ⁴⁶, S. Honda ¹⁶⁸, T. Honda ⁸⁰, T.M. Hong ¹³⁸, A. Hönele ¹¹⁴, B.H. Hooperman ¹⁷²,
 W.H. Hopkins ¹³⁰, Y. Horii ¹¹⁶, P. Horn ⁴⁷, A.J. Horton ¹⁵¹, L.A. Horyn ³⁷, J.-Y. Hostachy ⁵⁷, A. Hostiuc ¹⁴⁷,
 S. Hou ¹⁵⁷, A. Hoummada ^{35a}, J. Howarth ⁹⁹, J. Hoya ⁸⁷, M. Hrabovsky ¹²⁹, J. Hrdinka ³⁶, I. Hristova ¹⁹,

- J. Hrivnac ¹³¹, A. Hrynevich ¹⁰⁷, T. Hrynn'ova ⁵, P.J. Hsu ⁶³, S.-C. Hsu ¹⁴⁷, Q. Hu ²⁹, S. Hu ^{59c}, Y. Huang ^{15a}, Z. Hubacek ¹⁴¹, F. Hubaut ¹⁰⁰, M. Huebner ²⁴, F. Huegging ²⁴, T.B. Huffman ¹³⁴, M. Huhtinen ³⁶, R.F.H. Hunter ³⁴, P. Huo ¹⁵⁴, A.M. Hupe ³⁴, N. Huseynov ^{78,ac}, J. Huston ¹⁰⁵, J. Huth ⁵⁸, R. Hyneman ¹⁰⁴, G. Iacobucci ⁵³, G. Iakovidis ²⁹, I. Ibragimov ¹⁵⁰, L. Iconomidou-Fayard ¹³¹, Z. Idrissi ^{35e}, P.I. Iengo ³⁶, R. Ignazzi ⁴⁰, O. Igonkina ^{119,y}, R. Iguchi ¹⁶², T. Iizawa ⁵³, Y. Ikegami ⁸⁰, M. Ikeno ⁸⁰, D. Iliadis ¹⁶¹, N. Ilic ¹¹⁸, F. Iltzsche ⁴⁷, G. Introzzi ^{69a,69b}, M. Iodice ^{73a}, K. Iordanidou ³⁹, V. Ippolito ^{71a,71b}, M.F. Isacson ¹⁷¹, N. Ishijima ¹³², M. Ishino ¹⁶², M. Ishitsuka ¹⁶⁴, W. Islam ¹²⁸, C. Issever ¹³⁴, S. Istiin ¹⁵⁹, F. Ito ¹⁶⁸, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ^{62a}, R. Iuppa ^{74a,74b}, A. Ivina ¹⁷⁹, H. Iwasaki ⁸⁰, J.M. Izen ⁴³, V. Izzo ^{68a}, P. Jacka ¹⁴⁰, P. Jackson ¹, R.M. Jacobs ²⁴, V. Jain ², G. Jäkel ¹⁸¹, K.B. Jakobi ⁹⁸, K. Jakobs ⁵¹, S. Jakobsen ⁷⁵, T. Jakoubek ¹⁴⁰, D.O. Jamin ¹²⁸, R. Jansky ⁵³, J. Janssen ²⁴, M. Janus ⁵², P.A. Janus ^{82a}, G. Jarlskog ⁹⁵, N. Javadov ^{78,ac}, T. Javůrek ³⁶, M. Javurkova ⁵¹, F. Jeanneau ¹⁴⁴, L. Jeanty ¹⁸, J. Jejelava ^{158a,ad}, A. Jelinskas ¹⁷⁷, P. Jenni ^{51,c}, J. Jeong ⁴⁵, N. Jeong ⁴⁵, S. Jézéquel ⁵, H. Ji ¹⁸⁰, J. Jia ¹⁵⁴, H. Jiang ⁷⁷, Y. Jiang ^{59a}, Z. Jiang ¹⁵², S. Jiggins ⁵¹, F.A. Jimenez Morales ³⁸, J. Jimenez Pena ¹⁷³, S. Jin ^{15c}, A. Jinaru ^{27b}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁶⁴, H. Jivan ^{33c}, P. Johansson ¹⁴⁸, K.A. Johns ⁷, C.A. Johnson ⁶⁴, W.J. Johnson ¹⁴⁷, K. Jon-And ^{44a,44b}, R.W.L. Jones ⁸⁸, S.D. Jones ¹⁵⁵, S. Jones ⁷, T.J. Jones ⁸⁹, J. Jongmanns ^{60a}, P.M. Jorge ^{139a,139b}, J. Jovicevic ^{167a}, X. Ju ¹⁸, J.J. Junggeburth ¹¹⁴, A. Juste Rozas ^{14,x}, A. Kaczmarska ⁸³, M. Kado ¹³¹, H. Kagan ¹²⁵, M. Kagan ¹⁵², T. Kaji ¹⁷⁸, E. Kajomovitz ¹⁵⁹, C.W. Kalderon ⁹⁵, A. Kaluza ⁹⁸, S. Kama ⁴², A. Kamenshchikov ¹²², L. Kanjur ⁹⁰, Y. Kano ¹⁶², V.A. Kantserov ¹¹¹, J. Kanzaki ⁸⁰, B. Kaplan ¹²³, L.S. Kaplan ¹⁸⁰, D. Kar ^{33c}, M.J. Kareem ^{167b}, E. Karentzos ¹⁰, S.N. Karpov ⁷⁸, Z.M. Karpova ⁷⁸, V. Kartvelishvili ⁸⁸, A.N. Karyukhin ¹²², L. Kashif ¹⁸⁰, R.D. Kass ¹²⁵, A. Kastanas ^{44a,44b}, Y. Kataoka ¹⁶², C. Kato ^{59d,59c}, J. Katzy ⁴⁵, K. Kawade ⁸¹, K. Kawagoe ⁸⁶, T. Kawamoto ¹⁶², G. Kawamura ⁵², E.F. Kay ⁸⁹, V.F. Kazanin ^{121b,121a}, R. Keeler ¹⁷⁵, R. Kehoe ⁴², J.S. Keller ³⁴, E. Kellermann ⁹⁵, J.J. Kempster ²¹, J. Kendrick ²¹, O. Kepka ¹⁴⁰, S. Kersten ¹⁸¹, B.P. Kerševan ⁹⁰, S. Ketabchi Haghighat ¹⁶⁶, R.A. Keyes ¹⁰², M. Khader ¹⁷², F. Khalil-Zada ¹³, A. Khanov ¹²⁸, A.G. Kharlamov ^{121b,121a}, T. Kharlamova ^{121b,121a}, E.E. Khoda ¹⁷⁴, A. Khodinov ¹⁶⁵, T.J. Khoo ⁵³, E. Khramov ⁷⁸, J. Khubua ^{158b}, S. Kido ⁸¹, M. Kiehn ⁵³, C.R. Kilby ⁹², Y.K. Kim ³⁷, N. Kimura ^{65a,65c}, O.M. Kind ¹⁹, B.T. King ^{89,*}, D. Kirchmeier ⁴⁷, J. Kirk ¹⁴³, A.E. Kiryunin ¹¹⁴, T. Kishimoto ¹⁶², D. Kisielewska ^{82a}, V. Kitali ⁴⁵, O. Kivernyk ⁵, E. Kladiva ^{28b,*}, T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus ⁵¹, M.H. Klein ¹⁰⁴, M. Klein ⁸⁹, U. Klein ⁸⁹, K. Kleinknecht ⁹⁸, P. Klimek ¹²⁰, A. Klimentov ²⁹, T. Klingl ²⁴, T. Klioutchnikova ³⁶, F.F. Klitzner ¹¹³, P. Kluit ¹¹⁹, S. Kluth ¹¹⁴, E. Kneringer ⁷⁵, E.B.F.G. Knoops ¹⁰⁰, A. Knue ⁵¹, A. Kobayashi ¹⁶², D. Kobayashi ⁸⁶, T. Kobayashi ¹⁶², M. Kobel ⁴⁷, M. Kocian ¹⁵², P. Kodys ¹⁴², P.T. Koenig ²⁴, T. Koffas ³⁴, E. Koffeman ¹¹⁹, N.M. Köhler ¹¹⁴, T. Koi ¹⁵², M. Kolb ^{60b}, I. Koletsou ⁵, T. Kondo ⁸⁰, N. Kondrashova ^{59c}, K. Köneke ⁵¹, A.C. König ¹¹⁸, T. Kono ¹²⁴, R. Konoplich ^{123,ah}, V. Konstantinides ⁹³, N. Konstantinidis ⁹³, B. Konya ⁹⁵, R. Kopeliansky ⁶⁴, S. Koperny ^{82a}, K. Korcyl ⁸³, K. Kordas ¹⁶¹, G. Koren ¹⁶⁰, A. Korn ⁹³, I. Korolkov ¹⁴, E.V. Korolkova ¹⁴⁸, N. Korotkova ¹¹², O. Kortner ¹¹⁴, S. Kortner ¹¹⁴, T. Kosek ¹⁴², V.V. Kostyukhin ²⁴, A. Kotwal ⁴⁸, A. Koulouris ¹⁰, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi ^{69a,69b}, C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, E. Kourlitis ¹⁴⁸, V. Kouskoura ²⁹, A.B. Kowalewska ⁸³, R. Kowalewski ¹⁷⁵, T.Z. Kowalski ^{82a}, C. Kozakai ¹⁶², W. Kozanecki ¹⁴⁴, A.S. Kozhin ¹²², V.A. Kramarenko ¹¹², G. Kramberger ⁹⁰, D. Krasnopevtsev ^{59a}, M.W. Krasny ¹³⁵, A. Krasznahorkay ³⁶, D. Krauss ¹¹⁴, J.A. Kremer ^{82a}, J. Kretzschmar ⁸⁹, P. Krieger ¹⁶⁶, K. Krizka ¹⁸, K. Kroeninger ⁴⁶, H. Kroha ¹¹⁴, J. Kroll ¹⁴⁰, J. Kroll ¹³⁶, J. Krstic ¹⁶, U. Kruchonak ⁷⁸, H. Krüger ²⁴, N. Krumnack ⁷⁷, M.C. Kruse ⁴⁸, T. Kubota ¹⁰³, S. Kuday ^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler ¹⁸¹, S. Kuehn ³⁶, A. Kugel ^{60a}, F. Kuger ¹⁷⁶, T. Kuhl ⁴⁵, V. Kukhtin ⁷⁸, R. Kukla ¹⁰⁰, Y. Kulchitsky ¹⁰⁶, S. Kuleshov ^{146b}, Y.P. Kulinich ¹⁷², M. Kuna ⁵⁷, T. Kunigo ⁸⁴, A. Kupco ¹⁴⁰, T. Kupfer ⁴⁶, O. Kuprash ¹⁶⁰, H. Kurashige ⁸¹, L.L. Kurchaninov ^{167a}, Y.A. Kurochkin ¹⁰⁶, A. Kurova ¹¹¹, M.G. Kurth ^{15a,15d}, E.S. Kuwertz ³⁶, M. Kuze ¹⁶⁴, J. Kvita ¹²⁹, T. Kwan ¹⁰², A. La Rosa ¹¹⁴, J.L. La Rosa Navarro ^{79d}, L. La Rotonda ^{41b,41a}, F. La Ruffa ^{41b,41a}, C. Lacasta ¹⁷³, F. Lacava ^{71a,71b}, J. Lacey ⁴⁵, D.P.J. Lack ⁹⁹, H. Lacker ¹⁹, D. Lacour ¹³⁵, E. Ladygin ⁷⁸, R. Lafaye ⁵, B. Laforge ¹³⁵, T. Lagouri ^{33c}, S. Lai ⁵², S. Lammers ⁶⁴, W. Lampl ⁷, E. Lançon ²⁹, U. Landgraf ⁵¹, M.P.J. Landon ⁹¹, M.C. Lanfermann ⁵³, V.S. Lang ⁴⁵, J.C. Lange ⁵², R.J. Langenberg ³⁶, A.J. Lankford ¹⁷⁰, F. Lanni ²⁹, K. Lantzsch ²⁴, A. Lanza ^{69a}, A. Lapertosa ^{54b,54a}, S. Laplace ¹³⁵, J.F. Laporte ¹⁴⁴, T. Lari ^{67a}, F. Lasagni Manghi ^{23b,23a}, M. Lassnig ³⁶, T.S. Lau ^{62a}, A. Laudrain ¹³¹, M. Lavorgna ^{68a,68b}, M. Lazzaroni ^{67a,67b}, B. Le ¹⁰³, O. Le Dortz ¹³⁵, E. Le Guirriec ¹⁰⁰, E.P. Le Quilleuc ¹⁴⁴, M. LeBlanc ⁷, T. LeCompte ⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁵⁷, C.A. Lee ²⁹, G.R. Lee ^{146a}, L. Lee ⁵⁸, S.C. Lee ¹⁵⁷, B. Lefebvre ¹⁰², M. Lefebvre ¹⁷⁵, F. Legger ¹¹³, C. Leggett ¹⁸, K. Lehmann ¹⁵¹, N. Lehmann ¹⁸¹, G. Lehmann Miotto ³⁶,

- W.A. Leight 45, A. Leisos 161,u, M.A.L. Leite 79d, R. Leitner 142, D. Lellouch 179,* K.J.C. Leney 93, T. Lenz 24,
 B. Lenzi 36, R. Leone 7, S. Leone 70a, C. Leonidopoulos 49, G. Lerner 155, C. Leroy 108, R. Les 166,
 A.A.J. Lessage 144, C.G. Lester 32, M. Levchenko 137, J. Levêque 5, D. Levin 104, L.J. Levinson 179, D. Lewis 91,
 B. Li 15b, B. Li 104, C-Q. Li 59a,ag, H. Li 59b, L. Li 59c, M. Li 15a, Q. Li 15a,15d, Q.Y. Li 59a, S. Li 59d,59c, X. Li 59c,
 Y. Li 150, Z. Liang 15a, B. Liberti 72a, A. Liblong 166, K. Lie 62c, S. Liem 119, A. Limosani 156, C.Y. Lin 32,
 K. Lin 105, T.H. Lin 98, R.A. Linck 64, J.H. Lindon 21, B.E. Lindquist 154, A.L. Lioni 53, E. Lipeles 136,
 A. Lipniacka 17, M. Lisovyi 60b, T.M. Liss 172,an, A. Lister 174, A.M. Litke 145, J.D. Little 8, B. Liu 77, B.L. Liu 6,
 H.B. Liu 29, H. Liu 104, J.B. Liu 59a, J.K.K. Liu 134, K. Liu 135, M. Liu 59a, P. Liu 18, Y. Liu 15a,15d, Y.L. Liu 59a,
 Y.W. Liu 59a, M. Livan 69a,69b, A. Lleres 57, J. Llorente Merino 15a, S.L. Lloyd 91, C.Y. Lo 62b, F. Lo Sterzo 42,
 E.M. Lobodzinska 45, P. Loch 7, T. Lohse 19, K. Lohwasser 148, M. Lokajicek 140, J.D. Long 172, R.E. Long 88,
 L. Longo 66a,66b, K.A.Looper 125, J.A. Lopez 146b, I. Lopez Paz 99, A. Lopez Solis 148, J. Lorenz 113,
 N. Lorenzo Martinez 5, M. Losada 22, P.J. Lösel 113, A. Lösle 51, X. Lou 45, X. Lou 15a, A. Lounis 131, J. Love 6,
 P.A. Love 88, J.J. Lozano Bahilo 173, H. Lu 62a, M. Lu 59a, N. Lu 104, Y.J. Lu 63, H.J. Lubatti 147, C. Luci 71a,71b,
 A. Lucotte 57, C. Luedtke 51, F. Luehring 64, I. Luise 135, L. Luminari 71a, B. Lund-Jensen 153, M.S. Lutz 101,
 P.M. Luzi 135, D. Lynn 29, R. Lysak 140, E. Lytken 95, F. Lyu 15a, V. Lyubushkin 78, T. Lyubushkina 78, H. Ma 29,
 L.L. Ma 59b, Y. Ma 59b, G. Maccarrone 50, A. Macchiolo 114, C.M. Macdonald 148,
 J. Machado Miguens 136,139b, D. Madaffari 173, R. Madar 38, W.F. Mader 47, A. Madsen 45, N. Madysa 47,
 J. Maeda 81, K. Maekawa 162, S. Maeland 17, T. Maeno 29, M. Maerker 47, A.S. Maevskiy 112, V. Magerl 51,
 D.J. Mahon 39, C. Maidantchik 79b, T. Maier 113, A. Maio 139a,139b,139d, O. Majersky 28a, S. Majewski 130,
 Y. Makida 80, N. Makovec 131, B. Malaescu 135, Pa. Malecki 83, V.P. Maleev 137, F. Malek 57, U. Mallik 76,
 D. Malon 6, C. Malone 32, S. Maltezos 10, S. Malyukov 36, J. Mamuzic 173, G. Mancini 50, I. Mandić 90,
 J. Maneira 139a, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho 79a, J. Manjarres Ramos 47, K.H. Mankinen 95, A. Mann 113,
 A. Manousos 75, B. Mansoulie 144, J.D. Mansour 15a, M. Mantoani 52, S. Manzoni 67a,67b, A. Marantis 161,
 G. Marceca 30, L. March 53, L. Marchese 134, G. Marchiori 135, M. Marcisovsky 140, C.A. Marin Tobon 36,
 M. Marjanovic 38, D.E. Marley 104, F. Marroquim 79b, Z. Marshall 18, M.U.F. Martensson 171,
 S. Marti-Garcia 173, C.B. Martin 125, T.A. Martin 177, V.J. Martin 49, B. Martin dit Latour 17, M. Martinez 14,x,
 V.I. Martinez Outschoorn 101, S. Martin-Haugh 143, V.S. Martoiu 27b, A.C. Martyniuk 93, A. Marzin 36,
 L. Masetti 98, T. Mashimo 162, R. Mashinistov 109, J. Masik 99, A.L. Maslennikov 121b,121a, L.H. Mason 103,
 L. Massa 72a,72b, P. Massarotti 68a,68b, P. Mastrandrea 5, A. Mastroberardino 41b,41a, T. Masubuchi 162,
 P. Mättig 181, J. Maurer 27b, B. Maček 90, S.J. Maxfield 89, D.A. Maximov 121b,121a, R. Mazini 157,
 I. Maznas 161, S.M. Mazza 145, G. Mc Goldrick 166, S.P. Mc Kee 104, T.G. McCarthy 114, L.I. McClymont 93,
 E.F. McDonald 103, J.A. McFayden 36, M.A. McKay 42, K.D. McLean 175, S.J. McMahon 143, P.C. McNamara 103,
 C.J. McNicol 177, R.A. McPherson 175,aa, J.E. Mdhluli 33c, Z.A. Meadows 101, S. Meehan 147, T. Megy 51,
 S. Mehlhase 113, A. Mehta 89, T. Meideck 57, B. Meirose 43, D. Melini 173,ar, B.R. Mellado Garcia 33c,
 J.D. Mellenthin 52, M. Melo 28a, F. Meloni 45, A. Melzer 24, S.B. Menary 99, E.D. Mendes Gouveia 139a,
 L. Meng 89, X.T. Meng 104, A. Mengarelli 23b,23a, S. Menke 114, E. Meoni 41b,41a, S. Mergelmeyer 19,
 S.A.M. Merkt 138, C. Merlassino 20, P. Mermod 53, L. Merola 68a,68b, C. Meroni 67a, F.S. Merritt 37,
 A. Messina 71a,71b, J. Metcalfe 6, A.S. Mete 170, C. Meyer 136, J. Meyer 159, J-P. Meyer 144,
 H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen 60a, F. Miano 155, R.P. Middleton 143, L. Mijović 49, G. Mikenberg 179,
 M. Mikestikova 140, M. Mikuž 90, M. Milesi 103, A. Milic 166, D.A. Millar 91, D.W. Miller 37, A. Milov 179,
 D.A. Milstead 44a,44b, A.A. Minaenko 122, M. Miñano Moya 173, I.A. Minashvili 158b, A.I. Mincer 123,
 B. Mindur 82a, M. Mineev 78, Y. Minegishi 162, Y. Ming 180, L.M. Mir 14, A. Mirta 66a,66b, K.P. Mistry 136,
 T. Mitani 178, J. Mitrevski 113, V.A. Mitsou 173, M. Mittal 59c, A. Miucci 20, P.S. Miyagawa 148,
 A. Mizukami 80, J.U. Mjörnmark 95, T. Mkrtchyan 183, M. Mlynárikova 142, T. Moa 44a,44b, K. Mochizuki 108,
 P. Mogg 51, S. Mohapatra 39, S. Molander 44a,44b, R. Moles-Valls 24, M.C. Mondragon 105, K. Mönig 45,
 J. Monk 40, E. Monnier 100, A. Montalbano 151, J. Montejo Berlingen 36, F. Monticelli 87, S. Monzani 67a,
 N. Morange 131, D. Moreno 22, M. Moreno Llácer 36, P. Morettini 54b, M. Morgenstern 119,
 S. Morgenstern 47, D. Mori 151, M. Morii 58, M. Morinaga 178, V. Morisbak 133, A.K. Morley 36,
 G. Mornacchi 36, A.P. Morris 93, J.D. Morris 91, L. Morvaj 154, P. Moschovakos 10, M. Mosidze 158b,
 H.J. Moss 148, J. Moss 31,m, K. Motohashi 164, R. Mount 152, E. Mounticha 36, E.J.W. Moyse 101,
 S. Muanza 100, F. Mueller 114, J. Mueller 138, R.S.P. Mueller 113, D. Muenstermann 88, G.A. Mullier 95,
 F.J. Munoz Sanchez 99, P. Murin 28b, W.J. Murray 177,143, A. Murrone 67a,67b, M. Muškinja 90, C. Mwewa 33a,

- A.G. Myagkov ^{122,ai}, J. Myers ¹³⁰, M. Myska ¹⁴¹, B.P. Nachman ¹⁸, O. Nackenhorst ⁴⁶, K. Nagai ¹³⁴, K. Nagano ⁸⁰, Y. Nagasaka ⁶¹, M. Nagel ⁵¹, E. Nagy ¹⁰⁰, A.M. Nairz ³⁶, Y. Nakahama ¹¹⁶, K. Nakamura ⁸⁰, T. Nakamura ¹⁶², I. Nakano ¹²⁶, H. Nanjo ¹³², F. Napolitano ^{60a}, R.F. Naranjo Garcia ⁴⁵, R. Narayan ¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar ^{60a}, I. Naryshkin ¹³⁷, T. Naumann ⁴⁵, G. Navarro ²², R. Nayyar ⁷, H.A. Neal ^{104,*}, P.Y. Nechaeva ¹⁰⁹, T.J. Neep ¹⁴⁴, A. Negri ^{69a,69b}, M. Negrini ^{23b}, S. Nektarijevic ¹¹⁸, C. Nellist ⁵², M.E. Nelson ¹³⁴, S. Nemecek ¹⁴⁰, P. Nemethy ¹²³, M. Nessi ^{36,e}, M.S. Neubauer ¹⁷², M. Neumann ¹⁸¹, P.R. Newman ²¹, T.Y. Ng ^{62c}, Y.S. Ng ¹⁹, H.D.N. Nguyen ¹⁰⁰, T. Nguyen Manh ¹⁰⁸, E. Nibigira ³⁸, R.B. Nickerson ¹³⁴, R. Nicolaïdou ¹⁴⁴, D.S. Nielsen ⁴⁰, J. Nielsen ¹⁴⁵, N. Nikiforou ¹¹, V. Nikolaenko ^{122,ai}, I. Nikolic-Audit ¹³⁵, K. Nikolopoulos ²¹, P. Nilsson ²⁹, Y. Ninomiya ⁸⁰, A. Nisati ^{71a}, N. Nishu ^{59c}, R. Nisius ¹¹⁴, I. Nitsche ⁴⁶, T. Nitta ¹⁷⁸, T. Nobe ¹⁶², Y. Noguchi ⁸⁴, M. Nomachi ¹³², I. Nomidis ¹³⁵, M.A. Nomura ²⁹, T. Nooney ⁹¹, M. Nordberg ³⁶, N. Norjoharuddeen ¹³⁴, T. Novak ⁹⁰, O. Novgorodova ⁴⁷, R. Novotny ¹⁴¹, L. Nozka ¹²⁹, K. Ntekas ¹⁷⁰, E. Nurse ⁹³, F. Nuti ¹⁰³, F.G. Oakham ^{34,aq}, H. Oberlack ¹¹⁴, J. Ocariz ¹³⁵, A. Ochi ⁸¹, I. Ochoa ³⁹, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux ^{146a}, K. O'Connor ²⁶, S. Oda ⁸⁶, S. Odaka ⁸⁰, S. Oerdekk ⁵², A. Oh ⁹⁹, S.H. Oh ⁴⁸, C.C. Ohm ¹⁵³, H. Oide ^{54b,54a}, M.L. Ojeda ¹⁶⁶, H. Okawa ¹⁶⁸, Y. Okazaki ⁸⁴, Y. Okumura ¹⁶², T. Okuyama ⁸⁰, A. Olariu ^{27b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra ^{139a}, S.A. Olivares Pino ^{146a}, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁹, J.L. Oliver ¹, M.J.R. Olsson ³⁷, A. Olszewski ⁸³, J. Olszowska ⁸³, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁵¹, A. Onofre ^{139a,139e}, K. Onogi ¹¹⁶, P.U.E. Onyisi ¹¹, H. Oppen ¹³³, M.J. Oreglia ³⁷, G.E. Orellana ⁸⁷, Y. Oren ¹⁶⁰, D. Orestano ^{73a,73b}, N. Orlando ^{62b}, A.A. O'Rourke ⁴⁵, R.S. Orr ¹⁶⁶, B. Osculati ^{54b,54a,*}, V. O'Shea ⁵⁶, R. Ospanov ^{59a}, G. Otero y Garzon ³⁰, H. Otono ⁸⁶, M. Ouchrif ^{35d}, F. Ould-Saada ¹³³, A. Ouraou ¹⁴⁴, Q. Ouyang ^{15a}, M. Owen ⁵⁶, R.E. Owen ²¹, V.E. Ozcan ^{12c}, N. Ozturk ⁸, J. Pacalt ¹²⁹, H.A. Pacey ³², K. Pachal ¹⁵¹, A. Pacheco Pages ¹⁴, L. Pacheco Rodriguez ¹⁴⁴, C. Padilla Aranda ¹⁴, S. Pagan Griso ¹⁸, M. Paganini ¹⁸², G. Palacino ⁶⁴, S. Palazzo ⁴⁹, S. Palestini ³⁶, M. Palka ^{82b}, D. Pallin ³⁸, I. Panagoulias ¹⁰, C.E. Pandini ³⁶, J.G. Panduro Vazquez ⁹², P. Pani ³⁶, G. Panizzo ^{65a,65c}, L. Paolozzi ⁵³, T.D. Papadopoulou ¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou ^{9,h}, A. Paramonov ⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez ^{62b}, S.R. Paredes Saenz ¹³⁴, B. Parida ¹⁶⁵, T.H. Park ³⁴, A.J. Parker ⁸⁸, K.A. Parker ⁴⁵, M.A. Parker ³², F. Parodi ^{54b,54a}, J.A. Parsons ³⁹, U. Parzefall ⁵¹, V.R. Pascuzzi ¹⁶⁶, J.M.P. Pasner ¹⁴⁵, E. Pasqualucci ^{71a}, S. Passaggio ^{54b}, F. Pastore ⁹², P. Pasuwant ^{44a,44b}, S. Pataraia ⁹⁸, J.R. Pater ⁹⁹, A. Pathak ¹⁸⁰, T. Pauly ³⁶, B. Pearson ¹¹⁴, M. Pedersen ¹³³, L. Pedraza Diaz ¹¹⁸, R. Pedro ^{139a,139b}, S.V. Peleganchuk ^{121b,121a}, O. Penc ¹⁴⁰, C. Peng ^{15a}, H. Peng ^{59a}, B.S. Peralva ^{79a}, M.M. Perego ¹³¹, A.P. Pereira Peixoto ^{139a}, D.V. Perepelitsa ²⁹, F. Peri ¹⁹, L. Perini ^{67a,67b}, H. Pernegger ³⁶, S. Perrella ^{68a,68b}, V.D. Peshekhonov ^{78,*}, K. Peters ⁴⁵, R.F.Y. Peters ⁹⁹, B.A. Petersen ³⁶, T.C. Petersen ⁴⁰, E. Petit ⁵⁷, A. Petridis ¹, C. Petridou ¹⁶¹, P. Petroff ¹³¹, M. Petrov ¹³⁴, F. Petrucci ^{73a,73b}, M. Pettee ¹⁸², N.E. Pettersson ¹⁰¹, A. Peyaud ¹⁴⁴, R. Pezoa ^{146b}, T. Pham ¹⁰³, F.H. Phillips ¹⁰⁵, P.W. Phillips ¹⁴³, M.W. Phipps ¹⁷², G. Piacquadio ¹⁵⁴, E. Pianori ¹⁸, A. Picazio ¹⁰¹, M.A. Pickering ¹³⁴, R.H. Pickles ⁹⁹, R. Piegala ³⁰, J.E. Pilcher ³⁷, A.D. Pilkington ⁹⁹, M. Pinamonti ^{72a,72b}, J.L. Pinfold ³, M. Pitt ¹⁷⁹, L. Pizzimento ^{72a,72b}, M.-A. Pleier ²⁹, V. Pleskot ¹⁴², E. Plotnikova ⁷⁸, D. Pluth ⁷⁷, P. Podberezk ^{121b,121a}, R. Poettgen ⁹⁵, R. Poggi ⁵³, L. Poggiali ¹³¹, I. Pogrebnyak ¹⁰⁵, D. Pohl ²⁴, I. Pokharel ⁵², G. Polesello ^{69a}, A. Poley ¹⁸, A. Policicchio ^{71a,71b}, R. Polifka ³⁶, A. Polini ^{23b}, C.S. Pollard ⁴⁵, V. Polychronakos ²⁹, D. Ponomarenko ¹¹¹, L. Pontecorvo ³⁶, G.A. Popeneciu ^{27d}, L. Portales ⁵, D.M. Portillo Quintero ¹³⁵, S. Pospisil ¹⁴¹, K. Potamianos ⁴⁵, I.N. Potrap ⁷⁸, C.J. Potter ³², H. Potti ¹¹, T. Poulsen ⁹⁵, J. Poveda ³⁶, T.D. Powell ¹⁴⁸, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga ³⁶, P. Pralavorio ¹⁰⁰, S. Prell ⁷⁷, D. Price ⁹⁹, M. Primavera ^{66a}, S. Prince ¹⁰², N. Proklova ¹¹¹, K. Prokofiev ^{62c}, F. Prokoshin ^{146b}, S. Protopopescu ²⁹, J. Proudfoot ⁶, M. Przybycien ^{82a}, A. Puri ¹⁷², P. Puzo ¹³¹, J. Qian ¹⁰⁴, Y. Qin ⁹⁹, A. Quadt ⁵², M. Queitsch-Maitland ⁴⁵, A. Qureshi ¹, P. Rados ¹⁰³, F. Ragusa ^{67a,67b}, G. Rahal ⁹⁶, J.A. Raine ⁵³, S. Rajagopalan ²⁹, A. Ramirez Morales ⁹¹, T. Rashid ¹³¹, S. Raspopov ⁵, M.G. Ratti ^{67a,67b}, D.M. Rauch ⁴⁵, F. Rauscher ¹¹³, S. Rave ⁹⁸, B. Ravina ¹⁴⁸, I. Ravinovich ¹⁷⁹, J.H. Rawling ⁹⁹, M. Raymond ³⁶, A.L. Read ¹³³, N.P. Readioff ⁵⁷, M. Reale ^{66a,66b}, D.M. Rebuzzi ^{69a,69b}, A. Redelbach ¹⁷⁶, G. Redlinger ²⁹, R. Reece ¹⁴⁵, R.G. Reed ^{33c}, K. Reeves ⁴³, L. Rehnisch ¹⁹, J. Reichert ¹³⁶, D. Reikher ¹⁶⁰, A. Reiss ⁹⁸, C. Rembser ³⁶, H. Ren ^{15a}, M. Rescigno ^{71a}, S. Resconi ^{67a}, E.D. Resseguei ¹³⁶, S. Rettie ¹⁷⁴, E. Reynolds ²¹, O.L. Rezanova ^{121b,121a}, P. Reznicek ¹⁴², E. Ricci ^{74a,74b}, R. Richter ¹¹⁴, S. Richter ⁴⁵, E. Richter-Was ^{82b}, O. Ricken ²⁴, M. Ridel ¹³⁵, P. Rieck ¹¹⁴, C.J. Riegel ¹⁸¹, O. Rifki ⁴⁵, M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁵⁴, A. Rimoldi ^{69a,69b}, M. Rimoldi ²⁰, L. Rinaldi ^{23b}, G. Ripellino ¹⁵³, B. Ristić ⁸⁸, E. Ritsch ³⁶, I. Riu ¹⁴, J.C. Rivera Vergara ^{146a}, F. Rizatdinova ¹²⁸, E. Rizvi ⁹¹, C. Rizzi ¹⁴, R.T. Roberts ⁹⁹, S.H. Robertson ^{102,aa}, D. Robinson ³², J.E.M. Robinson ⁴⁵, A. Robson ⁵⁶,

- E. Rocco 98, C. Roda 70a,70b, Y. Rodina 100, S. Rodriguez Bosca 173, A. Rodriguez Perez 14,
 D. Rodriguez Rodriguez 173, A.M. Rodríguez Vera 167b, S. Roe 36, C.S. Rogan 58, O. Røhne 133, R. Röhrg 114,
 C.P.A. Roland 64, J. Roloff 58, A. Romaniouk 111, M. Romano 23b,23a, N. Rompotis 89, M. Ronzani 123,
 L. Roos 135, S. Rosati 71a, K. Rosbach 51, N-A. Rosien 52, B.J. Rosser 136, E. Rossi 45, E. Rossi 73a,73b,
 E. Rossi 68a,68b, L.P. Rossi 54b, L. Rossini 67a,67b, J.H.N. Rosten 32, R. Rosten 14, M. Rotaru 27b, J. Rothberg 147,
 D. Rousseau 131, D. Roy 33c, A. Rozanov 100, Y. Rozen 159, X. Ruan 33c, F. Rubbo 152, F. Rühr 51,
 A. Ruiz-Martinez 173, Z. Rurikova 51, N.A. Rusakovich 78, H.L. Russell 102, J.P. Rutherford 7,
 E.M. Rüttinger 45,j, Y.F. Ryabov 137, M. Rybar 172, G. Rybkin 131, S. Ryu 6, A. Ryzhov 122, G.F. Rzechorz 52,
 P. Sabatini 52, G. Sabato 119, S. Sacerdoti 131, H.F-W. Sadrozinski 145, R. Sadykov 78, F. Safai Tehrani 71a,
 P. Saha 120, M. Sahinsoy 60a, A. Sahu 181, M. Saimpert 45, M. Saito 162, T. Saito 162, H. Sakamoto 162,
 A. Sakharov 123,ah, D. Salamani 53, G. Salamanna 73a,73b, J.E. Salazar Loyola 146b, P.H. Sales De Bruin 171,
 D. Salihagic 114,* , A. Salnikov 152, J. Salt 173, D. Salvatore 41b,41a, F. Salvatore 155, A. Salvucci 62a,62b,62c,
 A. Salzburger 36, J. Samarati 36, D. Sammel 51, D. Sampsonidis 161, D. Sampsonidou 161, J. Sánchez 173,
 A. Sanchez Pineda 65a,65c, H. Sandaker 133, C.O. Sander 45, M. Sandhoff 181, C. Sandoval 22,
 D.P.C. Sankey 143, M. Sannino 54b,54a, Y. Sano 116, A. Sansoni 50, C. Santoni 38, H. Santos 139a,
 I. Santoyo Castillo 155, A. Santra 173, A. Sapronov 78, J.G. Saraiva 139a,139d, O. Sasaki 80, K. Sato 168,
 E. Sauvan 5, P. Savard 166,af, N. Savic 114, R. Sawada 162, C. Sawyer 143, L. Sawyer 94,af, C. Sbarra 23b,
 A. Sbrizzi 23a, T. Scanlon 93, J. Schaarschmidt 147, P. Schacht 114, B.M. Schachtner 113, D. Schaefer 37,
 L. Schaefer 136, J. Schaeffer 98, S. Schaepe 36, U. Schäfer 98, A.C. Schaffer 131, D. Schaile 113,
 R.D. Schamberger 154, N. Scharmberg 99, V.A. Schegelsky 137, D. Scheirich 142, F. Schenck 19,
 M. Schernau 170, C. Schiavi 54b,54a, S. Schier 145, L.K. Schildgen 24, Z.M. Schillaci 26, E.J. Schioppa 36,
 M. Schioppa 41b,41a, K.E. Schleicher 51, S. Schlenker 36, K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld 114, K. Schmieden 36,
 C. Schmitt 98, S. Schmitt 45, S. Schmitz 98, J.C. Schmoekel 45, U. Schnoor 51, L. Schoeffel 144,
 A. Schoening 60b, E. Schopf 134, M. Schott 98, J.F.P. Schouwenberg 118, J. Schovancova 36, S. Schramm 53,
 A. Schulte 98, H-C. Schultz-Coulon 60a, M. Schumacher 51, B.A. Schumm 145, Ph. Schune 144,
 A. Schwartzman 152, T.A. Schwarz 104, Ph. Schwemling 144, R. Schwienhorst 105, A. Sciandra 24,
 G. Sciolla 26, M. Scornajenghi 41b,41a, F. Scuri 70a, F. Scutti 103, L.M. Scyboz 114, C.D. Sebastiani 71a,71b,
 P. Seema 19, S.C. Seidel 117, A. Seiden 145, T. Seiss 37, J.M. Seixas 79b, G. Sekhniaidze 68a, K. Sekhon 104,
 S.J. Sekula 42, N. Semprini-Cesari 23b,23a, S. Sen 48, S. Senkin 38, C. Serfon 133, L. Serin 131, L. Serkin 65a,65b,
 M. Sessa 59a, H. Severini 127, F. Sforza 169, A. Sfyrla 53, E. Shabalina 52, J.D. Shahinian 145,
 N.W. Shaikh 44a,44b, L.Y. Shan 15a, R. Shang 172, J.T. Shank 25, M. Shapiro 18, A.S. Sharma 1, A. Sharma 134,
 P.B. Shatalov 110, K. Shaw 155, S.M. Shaw 99, A. Shcherbakova 137, Y. Shen 127, N. Sherafati 34,
 A.D. Sherman 25, P. Sherwood 93, L. Shi 157,am, S. Shimizu 80, C.O. Shimmin 182, Y. Shimogama 178,
 M. Shimojima 115, I.P.J. Shipsey 134, S. Shirabe 86, M. Shiyakova 78, J. Shlomi 179, A. Shmeleva 109,
 D. Shoaleh Saadi 108, M.J. Shochet 37, S. Shojaii 103, D.R. Shope 127, S. Shrestha 125, E. Shulga 111,
 P. Sicho 140, A.M. Sickles 172, P.E. Sidebo 153, E. Sideras Haddad 33c, O. Sidiropoulou 36, A. Sidoti 23b,23a,
 F. Siegert 47, Dj. Sijacki 16, J. Silva 139a, M. Silva Jr. 180, M.V. Silva Oliveira 79a, S.B. Silverstein 44a,
 S. Simion 131, E. Simioni 98, M. Simon 98, R. Simoniello 98, P. Sinervo 166, N.B. Sinev 130, M. Sioli 23b,23a,
 G. Siragusa 176, I. Siral 104, S.Yu. Sivoklokov 112, J. Sjölin 44a,44b, P. Skubic 127, M. Slater 21, T. Slavicek 141,
 M. Slawinska 83, K. Sliwa 169, R. Slovak 142, V. Smakhtin 179, B.H. Smart 5, J. Smiesko 28a, N. Smirnov 111,
 S.Yu. Smirnov 111, Y. Smirnov 111, L.N. Smirnova 112,r, O. Smirnova 95, J.W. Smith 52, M. Smizanska 88,
 K. Smolek 141, A. Smykiewicz 83, A.A. Snesarev 109, I.M. Snyder 130, S. Snyder 29, R. Sobie 175,aa,
 A.M. Soffa 170, A. Soffer 160, A. Søgaard 49, D.A. Soh 157, G. Sokhrannyi 90, C.A. Solans Sanchez 36,
 M. Solar 141, E.Yu. Soldatov 111, U. Soldevila 173, A.A. Solodkov 122, A. Soloshenko 78, O.V. Solovyev 122,
 V. Solovyev 137, P. Sommer 148, H. Son 169, W. Song 143, W.Y. Song 167b, A. Sopczak 141, F. Sopkova 28b,
 C.L. Sotropoulou 70a,70b, S. Sottocornola 69a,69b, R. Soualah 65a,65c,g, A.M. Soukharev 121b,121a, D. South 45,
 B.C. Sowden 92, S. Spagnolo 66a,66b, M. Spalla 114, M. Spangenberg 177, F. Spanò 92, D. Sperlich 19,
 T.M. Spieker 60a, R. Spighi 23b, G. Spigo 36, L.A. Spiller 103, D.P. Spiteri 56, M. Spousta 142, A. Stabile 67a,67b,
 R. Stamen 60a, S. Stamm 19, E. Stancka 83, R.W. Stanek 6, C. Stanescu 73a, B. Stanislus 134,
 M.M. Stanitzki 45, B. Stapf 119, S. Stapnes 133, E.A. Starchenko 122, G.H. Stark 37, J. Stark 57, S.H. Stark 40,
 P. Staroba 140, P. Starovoitov 60a, S. Stärz 36, R. Staszewski 83, M. Stegler 45, P. Steinberg 29, B. Stelzer 151,
 H.J. Stelzer 36, O. Stelzer-Chilton 167a, H. Stenzel 55, T.J. Stevenson 155, G.A. Stewart 36, M.C. Stockton 36,

- G. Stoica ^{27b}, P. Stolte ⁵², S. Stonjek ¹¹⁴, A. Straessner ⁴⁷, J. Strandberg ¹⁵³, S. Strandberg ^{44a,44b}, M. Strauss ¹²⁷, P. Strizenec ^{28b}, R. Ströhmer ¹⁷⁶, D.M. Strom ¹³⁰, R. Stroynowski ⁴², A. Strubig ⁴⁹, S.A. Stucci ²⁹, B. Stugu ¹⁷, J. Stupak ¹²⁷, N.A. Styles ⁴⁵, D. Su ¹⁵², J. Su ¹³⁸, S. Suchek ^{60a}, Y. Sugaya ¹³², M. Suk ¹⁴¹, V.V. Sulin ¹⁰⁹, M.J. Sullivan ⁸⁹, D.M.S. Sultan ⁵³, S. Sultansoy ^{4c}, T. Sumida ⁸⁴, S. Sun ¹⁰⁴, X. Sun ³, K. Suruliz ¹⁵⁵, C.J.E. Suster ¹⁵⁶, M.R. Sutton ¹⁵⁵, S. Suzuki ⁸⁰, M. Svatos ¹⁴⁰, M. Swiatlowski ³⁷, S.P. Swift ², A. Sydorenko ⁹⁸, I. Sykora ^{28a}, T. Sykora ¹⁴², D. Ta ⁹⁸, K. Tackmann ⁴⁵, J. Taenzer ¹⁶⁰, A. Taffard ¹⁷⁰, R. Tafirout ^{167a}, E. Tahirovic ⁹¹, N. Taiblum ¹⁶⁰, H. Takai ²⁹, R. Takashima ⁸⁵, E.H. Takasugi ¹¹⁴, K. Takeda ⁸¹, T. Takeshita ¹⁴⁹, Y. Takubo ⁸⁰, M. Talby ¹⁰⁰, A.A. Talyshov ^{121b,121a}, J. Tanaka ¹⁶², M. Tanaka ¹⁶⁴, R. Tanaka ¹³¹, B.B. Tannenwald ¹²⁵, S. Tapia Araya ^{146b}, S. Tapprogge ⁹⁸, A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ¹³⁵, S. Tarem ¹⁵⁹, G. Tarna ^{27b,d}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{67a}, P. Tas ¹⁴², M. Tasevsky ¹⁴⁰, T. Tashiro ⁸⁴, E. Tassi ^{41b,41a}, A. Tavares Delgado ^{139a,139b}, Y. Tayalati ^{35e}, A.C. Taylor ¹¹⁷, A.J. Taylor ⁴⁹, G.N. Taylor ¹⁰³, P.T.E. Taylor ¹⁰³, W. Taylor ^{167b}, A.S. Tee ⁸⁸, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁹², H. Ten Kate ³⁶, J.J. Teoh ¹¹⁹, S. Terada ⁸⁰, K. Terashi ¹⁶², J. Terron ⁹⁷, S. Terzo ¹⁴, M. Testa ⁵⁰, R.J. Teuscher ^{166,aa}, S.J. Thais ¹⁸², T. Thevenaux-Pelzer ⁴⁵, F. Thiele ⁴⁰, D.W. Thomas ⁹², J.P. Thomas ²¹, A.S. Thompson ⁵⁶, P.D. Thompson ²¹, L.A. Thomesen ¹⁸², E. Thomson ¹³⁶, Y. Tian ³⁹, R.E. Ticse Torres ⁵², V.O. Tikhomirov ^{109,aj}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{121b,121a}, S. Timoshenko ¹¹¹, P. Tipton ¹⁸², S. Tisserant ¹⁰⁰, K. Todome ¹⁶⁴, S. Todorova-Nova ⁵, S. Todt ⁴⁷, J. Tojo ⁸⁶, S. Tokár ^{28a}, K. Tokushuku ⁸⁰, E. Tolley ¹²⁵, K.G. Tomiwa ^{33c}, M. Tomoto ¹¹⁶, L. Tompkins ¹⁵², K. Toms ¹¹⁷, B. Tong ⁵⁸, P. Tornambe ⁵¹, E. Torrence ¹³⁰, H. Torres ⁴⁷, E. Torró Pastor ¹⁴⁷, C. Tosciri ¹³⁴, J. Toth ^{100,z}, F. Touchard ¹⁰⁰, D.R. Tovey ¹⁴⁸, C.J. Treado ¹²³, T. Trefzger ¹⁷⁶, F. Tresoldi ¹⁵⁵, A. Tricoli ²⁹, I.M. Trigger ^{167a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoud ¹³⁵, M.F. Tripiana ¹⁴, W. Trischuk ¹⁶⁶, B. Trocmé ⁵⁷, A. Trofymov ¹³¹, C. Troncon ^{67a}, M. Trovatelli ¹⁷⁵, F. Trovato ¹⁵⁵, L. Truong ^{33b}, M. Trzebinski ⁸³, A. Trzupek ⁸³, F. Tsai ⁴⁵, J.C.-L. Tseng ¹³⁴, P.V. Tsiareshka ¹⁰⁶, A. Tsirigotis ¹⁶¹, N. Tsirintanis ⁹, V. Tsiskaridze ¹⁵⁴, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{158a}, I.I. Tsukerman ¹¹⁰, V. Tsulaia ¹⁸, S. Tsuno ⁸⁰, D. Tsybychev ¹⁵⁴, Y. Tu ^{62b}, A. Tudorache ^{27b}, V. Tudorache ^{27b}, T.T. Tulbure ^{27a}, A.N. Tuna ⁵⁸, S. Turchikhin ⁷⁸, D. Turgeman ¹⁷⁹, I. Turk Cakir ^{4b,s}, R.T. Turra ^{67a}, P.M. Tuts ³⁹, E. Tzovara ⁹⁸, G. Ucchielli ^{23b,23a}, I. Ueda ⁸⁰, M. Ughetto ^{44a,44b}, F. Ukegawa ¹⁶⁸, G. Unal ³⁶, A. Undrus ²⁹, G. Unel ¹⁷⁰, F.C. Ungaro ¹⁰³, Y. Unno ⁸⁰, K. Uno ¹⁶², J. Urban ^{28b}, P. Urquijo ¹⁰³, P. Urrejola ⁹⁸, G. Usai ⁸, J. Usui ⁸⁰, L. Vacavant ¹⁰⁰, V. Vacek ¹⁴¹, B. Vachon ¹⁰², K.O.H. Vadla ¹³³, A. Vaidya ⁹³, C. Valderanis ¹¹³, E. Valdes Santurio ^{44a,44b}, M. Valente ⁵³, S. Valentinetto ^{23b,23a}, A. Valero ¹⁷³, L. Valéry ⁴⁵, R.A. Vallance ²¹, A. Vallier ⁵, J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁷³, T.R. Van Daalen ¹⁴, H. Van der Graaf ¹¹⁹, P. Van Gemmeren ⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop ¹⁵¹, I. Van Vulpen ¹¹⁹, M. Vanadia ^{72a,72b}, W. Vandelli ³⁶, A. Vaniachine ¹⁶⁵, P. Vankov ¹¹⁹, R. Vari ^{71a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, C. Varni ^{54b,54a}, T. Varol ⁴², D. Varouchas ¹³¹, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵⁶, G.A. Vasquez ^{146b}, J.G. Vasquez ¹⁸², F. Vazeille ³⁸, D. Vazquez Furelos ¹⁴, T. Vazquez Schroeder ³⁶, J. Veatch ⁵², V. Vecchio ^{73a,73b}, L.M. Veloce ¹⁶⁶, F. Veloso ^{139a,139c}, S. Veneziano ^{71a}, A. Ventura ^{66a,66b}, M. Venturi ¹⁷⁵, N. Venturi ³⁶, V. Vercesi ^{69a}, M. Verducci ^{73a,73b}, C.M. Vergel Infante ⁷⁷, C. Vergis ²⁴, W. Verkerke ¹¹⁹, A.T. Vermeulen ¹¹⁹, J.C. Vermeulen ¹¹⁹, M.C. Vetterli ^{151,ag}, N. Viaux Maira ^{146b}, M. Vicente Barreto Pinto ⁵³, I. Vichou ^{172,*}, T. Vickey ¹⁴⁸, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹⁴⁸, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹³⁴, S. Viel ¹⁸, L. Vigani ¹³⁴, M. Villa ^{23b,23a}, M. Villaplana Perez ^{67a,67b}, E. Vilucchi ⁵⁰, M.G. Vincter ³⁴, V.B. Vinogradov ⁷⁸, A. Vishwakarma ⁴⁵, C. Vittori ^{23b,23a}, I. Vivarelli ¹⁵⁵, S. Vlachos ¹⁰, M. Vogel ¹⁸¹, P. Vokac ¹⁴¹, G. Volpi ¹⁴, S.E. von Buddenbrock ^{33c}, E. Von Toerne ²⁴, V. Vorobel ¹⁴², K. Vorobev ¹¹¹, M. Vos ¹⁷³, J.H. Vossebeld ⁸⁹, N. Vranjes ¹⁶, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁶, V. Vrba ¹⁴¹, M. Vreeswijk ¹¹⁹, T. Šfiligoj ⁹⁰, R. Vuillermet ³⁶, I. Vukotic ³⁷, T. Ženiš ^{28a}, L. Živković ¹⁶, P. Wagner ²⁴, W. Wagner ¹⁸¹, J. Wagner-Kuhr ¹¹³, H. Wahlberg ⁸⁷, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁷, K. Wakamiya ⁸¹, V.M. Walbrecht ¹¹⁴, J. Walder ⁸⁸, R. Walker ¹¹³, S.D. Walker ⁹², W. Walkowiak ¹⁵⁰, V. Wallangen ^{44a,44b}, A.M. Wang ⁵⁸, C. Wang ^{59b,d}, F. Wang ¹⁸⁰, H. Wang ¹⁸, H. Wang ³, J. Wang ¹⁵⁶, J. Wang ^{60b}, P. Wang ⁴², Q. Wang ¹²⁷, R.-J. Wang ¹³⁵, R. Wang ^{59a}, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁵⁷, W.T. Wang ^{59a}, W. Wang ^{15c,ab}, W.X. Wang ^{59a,ab}, Y. Wang ^{59a,ag}, Z. Wang ^{59c}, C. Wanotayaroj ⁴⁵, A. Warburton ¹⁰², C.P. Ward ³², D.R. Wardrop ⁹³, A. Washbrook ⁴⁹, P.M. Watkins ²¹, A.T. Watson ²¹, M.F. Watson ²¹, G. Watts ¹⁴⁷, S. Watts ⁹⁹, B.M. Waugh ⁹³, A.F. Webb ¹¹, S. Webb ⁹⁸, C. Weber ¹⁸², M.S. Weber ²⁰, S.A. Weber ³⁴, S.M. Weber ^{60a}, A.R. Weidberg ¹³⁴, B. Weinert ⁶⁴, J. Weingarten ⁴⁶, M. Weirich ⁹⁸, C. Weiser ⁵¹, P.S. Wells ³⁶, T. Wenaus ²⁹, T. Wengler ³⁶, S. Wenig ³⁶, N. Wermes ²⁴, M.D. Werner ⁷⁷, P. Werner ³⁶, M. Wessels ^{60a}, T.D. Weston ²⁰, K. Whalen ¹³⁰, N.L. Whallon ¹⁴⁷, A.M. Wharton ⁸⁸, A.S. White ¹⁰⁴, A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, R. White ^{146b}, D. Whiteson ¹⁷⁰,

B.W. Whitmore 88, F.J. Wickens 143, W. Wiedenmann 180, M. Wielers 143, C. Wiglesworth 40,
 L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs 51, F. Wilk 99, H.G. Wilkens 36, L.J. Wilkins 92, H.H. Williams 136, S. Williams 32,
 C. Willis 105, S. Willocq 101, J.A. Wilson 21, I. Wingerter-Seez 5, E. Winkels 155, F. Winklmeier 130,
 O.J. Winston 155, B.T. Winter 51, M. Wittgen 152, M. Wobisch 94, A. Wolf 98, T.M.H. Wolf 119, R. Wolff 100,
 M.W. Wolter 83, H. Wolters 139a, 139c, V.W.S. Wong 174, N.L. Woods 145, S.D. Worm 21, B.K. Wosiek 83,
 K.W. Woźniak 83, K. Wraight 56, M. Wu 37, S.L. Wu 180, X. Wu 53, Y. Wu 59a, T.R. Wyatt 99, B.M. Wynne 49,
 S. Xella 40, Z. Xi 104, L. Xia 177, D. Xu 15a, H. Xu 59a, d, L. Xu 29, T. Xu 144, W. Xu 104, B. Yabsley 156,
 S. Yacoob 33a, K. Yajima 132, D.P. Yallup 93, D. Yamaguchi 164, Y. Yamaguchi 164, A. Yamamoto 80,
 T. Yamanaka 162, F. Yamane 81, M. Yamatani 162, T. Yamazaki 162, Y. Yamazaki 81, Z. Yan 25, H.J. Yang 59c, 59d,
 H.T. Yang 18, S. Yang 76, Y. Yang 162, Z. Yang 17, W-M. Yao 18, Y.C. Yap 45, Y. Yasu 80, E. Yatsenko 59c, 59d,
 J. Ye 42, S. Ye 29, I. Yeletskikh 78, E. Yigitbasi 25, E. Yildirim 98, K. Yorita 178, K. Yoshihara 136, C.J.S. Young 36,
 C. Young 152, J. Yu 8, J. Yu 77, X. Yue 60a, S.P.Y. Yuen 24, B. Zabinski 83, G. Zacharis 10, E. Zaffaroni 53,
 R. Zaidan 14, A.M. Zaitsev 122, ai, T. Zakareishvili 158b, N. Zakharchuk 34, J. Zalieckas 17, S. Zambito 58,
 D. Zanzi 36, D.R. Zaripovas 56, S.V. Zeißner 46, C. Zeitnitz 181, G. Zemaitytė 134, J.C. Zeng 172, Q. Zeng 152,
 O. Zenin 122, D. Zerwas 131, M. Zgubić 134, D.F. Zhang 59b, D. Zhang 104, F. Zhang 180, G. Zhang 59a,
 G. Zhang 15b, H. Zhang 15c, J. Zhang 6, L. Zhang 15c, L. Zhang 59a, M. Zhang 172, P. Zhang 15c, R. Zhang 59a,
 R. Zhang 24, X. Zhang 59b, Y. Zhang 15a, 15d, Z. Zhang 131, P. Zhao 48, Y. Zhao 59b, 131, ae, Z. Zhao 59a,
 A. Zhemchugov 78, Z. Zheng 104, D. Zhong 172, B. Zhou 104, C. Zhou 180, L. Zhou 42, M.S. Zhou 15a, 15d,
 M. Zhou 154, N. Zhou 59c, Y. Zhou 7, C.G. Zhu 59b, H.L. Zhu 59a, H. Zhu 15a, J. Zhu 104, Y. Zhu 59a,
 X. Zhuang 15a, K. Zhukov 109, V. Zhulanov 121b, 121a, A. Zibell 176, D. Ziemińska 64, N.I. Zimine 78,
 S. Zimmermann 51, Z. Zinonos 114, M. Zinser 98, M. Ziolkowski 150, G. Zobernig 180, A. Zoccoli 23b, 23a,
 K. Zoch 52, T.G. Zorbas 148, R. Zou 37, M. Zur Nedden 19, L. Zwalinski 36

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany, NY, United States of America³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada⁴ (a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; (b) İstanbul Aydin University, İstanbul; (c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey⁵ LAPP, Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy, France⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, United States of America⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States of America⁸ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, United States of America⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece¹¹ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States of America¹² (a) Bahçeşehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; (b) İstanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; (c) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (d) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey¹³ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan¹⁴ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain¹⁵ (a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; (b) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing; (c) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing;¹⁶ University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China¹⁷ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia¹⁸ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway¹⁹ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States of America²⁰ Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany²¹ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland²² School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom²³ Facultad de Ciencias y Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogota, Colombia²⁴ (a) INFN Bologna and Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Fisica; (b) INFN Sezione di Bologna, Italy²⁵ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany²⁶ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States of America²⁷ (a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; (b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (c) Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza²⁸ University of Iasi, Iasi; (d) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj-Napoca; (e) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; (f) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania²⁹ (a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; (b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic³⁰ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, United States of America³¹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina³² California State University, CA, United States of America³³ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom³⁴ (a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; (b) Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; (c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa³⁵ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada³⁶ (a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies – Université Hassan II, Casablanca; (b) Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn-Tofail, Kénitra;³⁷ (c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA, Marrakech; (d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; (e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco³⁸ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland³⁹ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States of America

- ³⁸ *LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- ³⁹ *Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, United States of America*
- ⁴⁰ *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*
- ⁴¹ ^(a) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende;* ^(b) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy*
- ⁴² *Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, United States of America*
- ⁴³ *Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States of America*
- ⁴⁴ ^(a) *Department of Physics, Stockholm University;* ^(b) *Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden*
- ⁴⁵ *Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany*
- ⁴⁶ *Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*
- ⁴⁷ *Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany*
- ⁴⁸ *Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, United States of America*
- ⁴⁹ *SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁰ *INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ⁵¹ *Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany*
- ⁵² ^{II.} *Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany*
- ⁵³ *Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève, Switzerland*
- ⁵⁴ ^(a) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova;* ^(b) *INFN Sezione di Genova, Italy*
- ⁵⁵ ^{II.} *Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany*
- ⁵⁶ *SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁷ *LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble, France*
- ⁵⁸ *Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States of America*
- ⁵⁹ ^(a) *Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei;* ^(b) *Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao;* ^(c) *School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, KLPPAC-MoE, SKLPPC, Shanghai;* ^(d) *Tsing-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai, China*
- ⁶⁰ ^(a) *Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg;* ^(b) *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- ⁶¹ *Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan*
- ⁶² ^(a) *Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong;* ^(b) *Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong;* ^(c) *Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China*
- ⁶³ *Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan*
- ⁶⁴ *Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, United States of America*
- ⁶⁵ ^(a) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine;* ^(b) *ICTP, Trieste;* ^(c) *Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy*
- ⁶⁶ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Lecce;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy*
- ⁶⁷ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Milano;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy*
- ⁶⁸ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Napoli;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
- ⁶⁹ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Pavia;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- ⁷⁰ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Pisa;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ⁷¹ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Roma;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy*
- ⁷² ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
- ⁷³ ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Roma Tre;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy*
- ⁷⁴ ^(a) *INFN-TIFPA;* ^(b) *Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento, Italy*
- ⁷⁵ *Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria*
- ⁷⁶ *University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States of America*
- ⁷⁷ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States of America*
- ⁷⁸ *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*
- ⁷⁹ ^(a) *Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora;* ^(b) *Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro;*
^(c) *Universidade Federal de São João del Rei (UFSJ), São João del Rei;* ^(d) *Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil*
- ⁸⁰ *KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan*
- ⁸¹ *Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan*
- ⁸² ^(a) *AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow;* ^(b) *Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland*
- ⁸³ *Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland*
- ⁸⁴ *Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*
- ⁸⁵ *Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan*
- ⁸⁶ *Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan*
- ⁸⁷ *Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina*
- ⁸⁸ *Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom*
- ⁸⁹ *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- ⁹⁰ *Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia*
- ⁹¹ *School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom*
- ⁹² *Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, United Kingdom*
- ⁹³ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ⁹⁴ *Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, United States of America*
- ⁹⁵ *Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden*
- ⁹⁶ *Centre de Calcul de l’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France*
- ⁹⁷ *Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
- ⁹⁸ *Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany*
- ⁹⁹ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
- ¹⁰⁰ *CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France*
- ¹⁰¹ *Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, United States of America*
- ¹⁰² *Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada*
- ¹⁰³ *School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia*
- ¹⁰⁴ *Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America*
- ¹⁰⁵ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States of America*
- ¹⁰⁶ *B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus*
- ¹⁰⁷ *Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus*
- ¹⁰⁸ *Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada*
- ¹⁰⁹ *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹¹⁰ *Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics of the National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹¹¹ *National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia*

- ¹¹² D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
¹¹³ Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
¹¹⁴ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
¹¹⁵ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
¹¹⁶ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
¹¹⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, United States of America
¹¹⁸ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
¹¹⁹ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
¹²⁰ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, United States of America
¹²¹ ^(a) Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics and NSU, SB RAS, Novosibirsk; ^(b) Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia
¹²² Institute for High Energy Physics of the National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Protvino, Russia
¹²³ Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY, United States of America
¹²⁴ Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan
¹²⁵ Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States of America
¹²⁶ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
¹²⁷ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, United States of America
¹²⁸ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States of America
¹²⁹ Palacky University, RCPTM, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc, Czech Republic
¹³⁰ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, United States of America
¹³¹ LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
¹³² Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
¹³³ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
¹³⁴ Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
¹³⁵ LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
¹³⁶ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States of America
¹³⁷ Konstantinov Nuclear Physics Institute of National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", PNPI, St. Petersburg, Russia
¹³⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America
¹³⁹ ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas – LIP; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
¹⁴⁰ Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
¹⁴¹ Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
¹⁴² Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
¹⁴³ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
¹⁴⁴ IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
¹⁴⁵ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, United States of America
¹⁴⁶ ^(a) Departamento de Física, Pontifícia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
¹⁴⁷ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America
¹⁴⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
¹⁴⁹ Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
¹⁵⁰ Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
¹⁵¹ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
¹⁵² SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, United States of America
¹⁵³ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁵⁴ Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, United States of America
¹⁵⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
¹⁵⁶ School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
¹⁵⁷ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
¹⁵⁸ ^(a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
¹⁶⁰ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
¹⁶¹ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
¹⁶² International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶³ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶⁴ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶⁵ Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
¹⁶⁷ ^(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC; ^(b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
¹⁶⁸ Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA, United States of America
¹⁷⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States of America
¹⁷¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
¹⁷² Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States of America
¹⁷³ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia – CSIC, Valencia, Spain
¹⁷⁴ Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
¹⁷⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
¹⁷⁶ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
¹⁷⁷ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
¹⁷⁸ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁷⁹ Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
¹⁸⁰ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States of America
¹⁸¹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
¹⁸² Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States of America
¹⁸³ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

^a Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York NY; United States of America.^b Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town; South Africa.^c Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.

- ^d Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France.
- ^e Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.
- ^f Also at Departament de Fisica de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^g Also at Department of Applied Physics and Astronomy, University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates.
- ^h Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece.
- ⁱ Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; United States of America.
- ^j Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom.
- ^k Also at Department of Physics, California State University, East Bay; United States of America.
- ^l Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno; United States of America.
- ^m Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento; United States of America.
- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom.
- ^o Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg; Russia.
- ^p Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland.
- ^q Also at Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America.
- ^r Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow; Russia.
- ^s Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Giresun; Turkey.
- ^t Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan.
- ^u Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece.
- ^v Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; Romania.
- ^w Also at II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany.
- ^x Also at Institut Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain.
- ^y Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands.
- ^z Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest; Hungary.
- ^{aa} Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada.
- ^{ab} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan.
- ^{ac} Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.
- ^{ad} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia.
- ^{ae} Also at LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay; France.
- ^{af} Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America.
- ^{ag} Also at LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.
- ^{ah} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY; United States of America.
- ^{ai} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny; Russia.
- ^{aj} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow; Russia.
- ^{ak} Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus; Palestine.
- ^{al} Also at Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany.
- ^{am} Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou; China.
- ^{an} Also at The City College of New York, New York NY; United States of America.
- ^{ao} Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China.
- ^{ap} Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny; Russia.
- ^{aq} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada.
- ^{ar} Also at Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); Spain.
- ^{as} Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy.
- * Deceased.