On the Computational Role of the Simple Cells in Early Vision

by

Tim R. Pattison, B.E. (Hons.), B.Sc.

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



The University of Adelaide

Faculty of Engineering
Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

October, 1993

American programme

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract				vii		
D	Declaration					
A	Acknowledgments					
Li	ist of	Figure	es ·	xiii		
Li	ist of	Tables	;	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$		
G	lossai	ry		xvii		
1	Intro	oductio	on	1		
	1.1	Backgr	round and Motivation	. 1		
		1.1.1	The Simple Cell Receptive Field Profile	. 1		
		1.1.2	Neural Networks for Sensory Signal Processing			
		1.1.3	Summary			
	1.2	Overvi	iew	. 4		
	1.3	Intend	led Audience	. 5		
2	The	Gabor	Function Model of Simple Cell Receptive Field Profiles	7		
	2.1	.1 Introduction				
	2.2	Simple	e Cell Receptive Field Profiles	. 7		
		2.2.1	Spatial Receptive Field Profile	. 7		
		2.2.2	Spectral RFP	. 11		
		2.2.3	Spatial Linearity	. 12		
		2.2.4	Spatiotemporal RFP	. 15		
		2.2.5	Binocular RFP	. 18		
		2.2.6	Summary			
	2.3	Gabor	Function Models			
		2.3.1	Spatial RFP			
		2.3.2	Spectral RFP			
		2.3.3	Optimal Joint Localisation			
		2.3.4	Spatiotemporal RFP			
		225	Rinocular RED	25		

		2.3.6	Summary $\dots 38$
	2.4	Conclu	sion
3	On t	he Coi	nputational Role of the Simple Cells
	3.1	Introd	action
		3.1.1	Bottom-up vs. Top-down
		3.1.2	Qualified Completeness
		3.1.3	Filtering and Decomposition
		3.1.4	Verification of Bottom-up Theories
		3.1.5	Hierarchical Processing
		3.1.6	Summary
	3.2	Featur	e "Detectors"
		3.2.1	Nonlinear Detectors
		3.2.2	Linear Matched Filters
		3.2.3	Summary
	3.3	Direct	ional Spatial Derivatives
		3.3.1	Retino-Cortical Derivative Operators
		3.3.2	Fractional Derivatives
		3.3.3	Discriminant Functions
		3.3.4	Gaussian Derivatives
		3.3.5	Summary
	3.4	Spatia	l Frequency Analysis
		3.4.1	Introduction
		3.4.2	The Gabor Expansion
		3.4.3	Do Simple Cells Perform a Gabor Decomposition?
		3.4.4	Weyl-Heisenberg Frames
		3.4.5	Discrete Window Fourier Transform 6
		3.4.6	Efficient Coding Through Gabor Expansion 6
		3.4.7	Summary
	3.5	Wavel	et-like Analysis
		3.5.1	Introduction
		3.5.2	Discrete Wavelet Transform
		3.5.3	Do Simple Cells Perform a Discrete Wavelet Transform? 6
		3.5.4	Gabor "Wavelet" Expansion
		3.5.5	Efficient Coding Through Wavelet-Like Analysis
	3.6	Appli	cations of Gabor Functions
	3.7	Concl	usion

4	Neu	al Networks for Non-Orthogonal Image Decomposition 7	75
	4.1	Introduction	75
	4.2	LSE Image Decomposition Problem	76
		4.2.1 Matrix Formulation	76
		4.2.2 Squared Reconstruction Error (SRE) Minimisation	77
		4.2.3 Practical SRE Minimisation	78
	4.3	Neural Networks for SRE Minimisation	81
		4.3.1 Daugman (1988a)	82
		4.3.2 Wang & Yan (1992)	85
		4.3.3 Cohen & Shawe-Taylor (1990)	87
		4.3.4 Cichocki & Unbehauen (1992)	88
	4.4	Neural Networks for Minimum Norm SRE Minimisation	90
		4.4.1 Culhane et al. (1989)	90
		4.4.2 Yan (1991b)	91
		4.4.3 Pece (1992)	93
	4.5	Conclusion	93
5	Sing	e-Layered Neural Networks for Decomposition	95
	5.1	Introduction	95
	5.2	Pattison (1992)	95
	5.3	Additional Leakage Term	98
	5.4	Preconditioning	99
		5.4.1 Sensitivity to Weight and Derivative Round-Off Errors	99
		5.4.2 Diagonal Preconditioning	01
		5.4.3 Accelerating Convergence	05
	5.5	Examples	08
	5.6	Conclusion	09
6	Neu	ral Network for Bound-Constrained Quadratic Optimisation	11
	6.1	$Introduction \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ $	11
	6.2	Unconstrained Quadratic Optimisation	12
	6.3	Bound-Constrained Quadratic Optimisation	13
	6.4	Equilibrium Point Analysis	17
		6.4.1 Multiple Constrained Minima	19
	6.5	Convergence Analysis	20
	6.6	Related Neural Networks	22
		6.6.1 The Hopfield Network	22
		6.6.2 Generalised Brain-State-In-A-Box (GBSB) Network	23
		6.6.3 Continuous-time GBSB Network	24
	6.7		25
		iii	

		6.7.1	Description & Comparisons	125
		6.7.2	Examples	127
		6.7.3	Constraint Generalisation	133
	6.8	Expon	ential Stability	134
		6.8.1	Theoretical Results	134
		6.8.2	Practical Considerations	135
	6.9	Precon	ditioning	137
	6.10	Bound	Constrained SRE Minimisation	140
	6.11	Conclu	sion	142
- -	n n	n1 . c:		
7			mple Cells Perform Image Decomposition?	145
	7.1		uction	145
	7.2		ical Implementation of Decomposition Networks	146
		7.2.1	Plausibility Considerations	146
		7.2.2	Corticofugal Feedback	151
		7.2.3	Intracortical Feedback	153
	<i>-</i> 0	7.2.4	Summary	161
	7.3		ted and Identified Spatiotemporal RFPs	162
		7.3.1	Introduction	162
		7.3.2	Theoretical RFPs	163
		7.3.3	Practical Identification	168
	 .	7.3.4	Summary and Discussion	172
	7.4		ssion	173
	7.5	Concli	usion	174
8	Con	clusion	ıs	177
	8.1	Overv	iew	177
	8.2	Summ	nary and Conclusions	177
	8.3	Discus	ssion	179
	8.4	Contr	ibutions	180
٨	Snat	ial an	d Spectral RF Investigations	181
А	-		d RF	
			ral RF	
		Specia		.01
В	RFF	P Ident	tification Using Impulses	185
\mathbf{C}	Gab	or Fur	action Applications in Image Coding and Analysis	189

D Stability in Nonlinear Networks	193					
D.1 Boundedness of Solutions of the BCP Network	193					
D.2 Convergence Proof for CGBSB Network	193					
D.3 Uniform Delay on Lateral Connections of BCP Network	195					
E Hebbian Weight Development						
Bibliography						

ABSTRACT

The simple cells in feline and primate primary visual cortex are involved in the coding and early processing of spatiotemporal information acquired binocularly from the visual field. Each simple cell can be viewed as an approximately linear device characterised by its receptive field profile (RFP), a spatially reversed version of its spatiotemporal impulse response function.

The Gabor function model of the simple cell RFP is evaluated, and the recent controversy concerning the relevance to early vision of its achievement of the lower bound on joint spatial and spectral spread dictated by the Weyl-Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle is illuminated. In an investigation of the multi-dimensional signal processing performed by the simple cells, image processing and coding schemes which might explain the observed variety of simple cell spatial RFPs are reviewed. These schemes are classified into the categories of *filtering* and *decomposition*, according to whether the RFP is used as the kernel of a spatial filter, or as an expansion function whose coefficent is to be calculated for the visual image.

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) which find the least-squares solution to the set of linear equations posed by the image decomposition problem are critically reviewed, and a single-layered, linear recurrent ANN is proposed for this task. The linear neural activation function used by this network is then replaced by a more biologically plausible, piece-wise linear, saturating nonlinearity, and the resultant globally stable network is shown to effect the optimisation of more general (semi)definite quadratic forms subject to bound constraints on the optimisation variables. Although biologically plausible, these networks, when used as models of simple cell processing, are found to predict simple cell spatiotemporal RFPs whose spatial component differs in general from the chosen expansion functions. It is concluded that the simple cell spatial RFPs are *not* used as visual expansion functions, but rather as the kernels of (possibly position-dependent) spatial filters, as is suggested by their definition.