

Reedy, Leslie C., 2, 5, 8, 9, 10, Christian Brothers' College, Adelaide; Reid, David B., 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, St. Peter's Collegiate School; Reid, Stanley M., 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, University College, North Adelaide; Reid, Winifred M., 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, Advanced School for Girls, Adelaide; Richards, Archibald C., 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, Prince Alfred College; Richardson, Jean L., 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 10, Parkside High School; Richardson, Mary L., 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, Para Para School, Gawler; Riley, Charles L., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, Perth High School; Rischbieth, Oswald, 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, Prince Alfred College; Robertson, Sydney B., 1, 5, 6, 8, 12, Prince Alfred College; Rogers, William R., 1, 5, 8, 9, 10, Prince Alfred College; Rosengarten, Wilfred D., 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, Prince Alfred College; Rosman, Frank E., 1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, St. Peter's Collegiate School; Rowe, Edgar P., 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, Central Agricultural School; Rutter, Claude M., 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, Perth Boys' School.

McCull, Archibald D., 2, 3, 9; McCull, Elizabeth, 2, 8, 9; McGovern, Mary M., 5, 7; McKelvie, Janet, 5, 7; Mackenzie, Charles N., 1, 2, 5, 12; McMullen, Edwin H., 1, 2, 10; Main, Daisy I., 1, 2, 6, 8; Martin, Rhoda C., 2, 6, 14; Maughan, Harold M., 8, 9, 11; May, Jessie E., 1, 2, 3, 14; Meyrat, Emile L., 2, 6, 9; Milne, Clive G., 1, 2; Moncrieff, Myrtle H., 2, 14; Moffat, May J., 1, 6, 14; Muirhead, Henry M., 1, 5, 6, 12. Nancarrow, Leonard, 2, 9; Napier, Disney L., 1, 2, 6; Newman, Violet L., 2, 3, 8, 9; Nilan, Jane M., 1, 2, 6; Norman, Robert H. H., 2. Oborn, John S. R., 12; O'Brien, Augusta M., 2, 6, 13; Ockenden, Clifford H., 2, 8, 9; O'Connor, Bridget Y., 1, 2, 6; O'Connor, Gertrude M. E., 2; O'Dea, Justin L., 1, 2, 8; Ogden, Olive I., 5; O'Sullivan, Kathleen M., 6, 8, 9; Oswald, Ada, 8, 9; Oswald, Harry G., 2, 4, 5, 8. Palmer, Cecil G., 3, 8; Parry, Reginald E., 2, 9; Pearse, Frederick L., 1, 2, 9; Pearson, Florence G., 2, 3, 13; Petherick, Arthur G., 1, 2, 8, 9; Prockter, Gladys E., 2, 14; Puxton, Bessie E., 8. Quin, Hazel M., 1, 6, 14. Raws, Robert G., 1, 5, 9, 12; Rayner, William H., 1, 5, 7, 12; Richards, William T., 3, 8; Ronald, Stuart D., 1; Rundle, Aubrey U., 7, 8, 9; Russell, Ernest A. H., 1, 12. Sanders, Grace A., 2, 3, 10, 13; Scammell, Kathleen A., 1, 3, 6, 13; Schulz, John F., 2, 7; Schulze, Leslie A., 1, 2, 5, 9; Segerlind, Richard O., 9; Shand, Morrison M., 10, 11, 14; Shanks, Jessie C., 8; Shaw, Evelyn C., 1, 2, 14; Smith, Cathbert V., 1, 5, 12; Smith, Mary V., 6, 10; Stainbank, John T., 2; Stevens, Bernard E., 9; Stokes, Ernest W., 9; Sullivan, E. Wilfred, 1, 5, 8, 9; Sutherland, Kathleen C., 1, 2, 13, 14; Sutherland, M. Isabel E., 14; Symmons, George, 1, 2, 10; Symon, Charles J. B., 2, 5, 9, 12; Symon, Sealey K., 7; Skipper, Merwyn G., 1, 2, 9, 10. Taylor, Gladys L., 1, 3, 6, 8; Thomas, Jessie L., 1, 6, 9, 14; Torr, Florence L., 2, 8; Tregenza, Albert G., 9, 10, 14; Tucker, May G., 1, 8, 9. Waltke, Margaret M., 2; Warburton, Helen C. E., 1, 2, 6, 13; White, Myra, 3; Whiting, Edith E., 2, 3, 8, 9; Wright, Harry C., 1, 5, 8, 9; Willmott, Josiah P., 5, 7; Wood, Frederick H., 1, 2, 8; Woollard, Alice M. S., 3, 8, 13, 14; Worsnop, Elsie M., 2, 6, 7, 10. Yeatman, Charleton, 1, 5, 9; Young, Aretas H., 5; Young, Horace G., 4, 9; Yuill, Mary J., 2, 8, 14.

PRIZE AND HONOR LIST.

The following is the order of merit of candidates who have distinguished themselves in the whole examination.

The first three candidates on the list receive the three prizes.

Names marked † are of candidates over age for distinctions.

- Fahy, James F., Christian Brothers' College, Perth.
Cantor, Morris E., Christian Brothers' College, Perth.
Bennett, Frank N., Prince Alfred College.
Reid, David B., St. Peter's Collegiate School.
Jona, Judah L., Way College.
Tassie, Robert W., Prince Alfred College.
Corry, Samuel L., Prince Alfred College.
Angwin, William B., Prince Alfred College.
Riley, Charles L., Perth High School.
Reedy, Leslie C., Christian Brothers' College, Adelaide.
Webber, Cecil V., Prince Alfred College.
†Stolz, Sabina B., Pupil Teachers' School.
Harper, Prescott H., Guildford Grammar School.
Bell, Arthur H., Prince Alfred College.
Murphy, Mary E., Advanced School for Girls.
Richards, Archibald C., Prince Alfred College.
Rosengarten, Wilfred W., Prince Alfred College.
†Blundell, Dorothy L., Wicklyffe Ladies' College, Perth.
Preece, William E., Prince Alfred College.
†Finch, Emily O., Pupil Teachers' School.
†Minihan, John J., Christian Brothers' College, Perth.
†Melbourne, Dugald A., private study.
†Erichsen, Matthias, Prince Alfred College.
†Rosman, Frank E., St. Peter's Collegiate School.
†Forwood, Reginald E., St. Peter's Collegiate School.
†Saltmarsh, Elsie F., Pupil Teachers' School.
Davies, Juliet A., Narracoorte Agricultural School.
Telford, John N. P., Christian Brothers' College, Perth.
†Hasschen, Oscar L., Prince Alfred College.
Dumas, Russell J., Prince Alfred College.
†Coles, Mignonette, Pupil Teachers' School.

The list of distinguished candidates was unveiled last night, but we are informed by the University authorities that it will be ready for publication to-day.

THE MUSIC EXAMINATIONS.

PROFESSOR IVES IN REPLY.

The following further statement has been made by Professor Ives:—University, December 20.—The registrar's report evades the point at issue. Was it right to submit the candidates' papers to an arbitrator, accompanied by the report and comments of one examiner, and without report or comments from the other examiner. The minutes of the education committee show that it was resolved to send the papers only to Professor Peterson. After their meeting the registrar and I agreed about the details—that the pencil marks on the papers were to be erased, and that no reports from either Mr. Wale or myself should be sent, so that Professor Peterson should be able to value the papers without bias or prejudice. This, I repeat, was the decision of the education committee—the committee to which the council had referred the matter for consideration. How, then, did the chancellor presume to dictate instruction contrary to this fair decision? He 'happened to come in the office' (the office has a telephone—so has Montefiore), and instruct the registrar to send Mr. Wale's adverse report, and commanded that his annotations should not be erased from the papers. I, the examiner, was not informed of this; no report from me was sent, and only when I asked about it two days later was I informed what had been done. Then I wrote as follows:—'Dear Mr. Registrar—I am astonished to hear that you have sent Mr. Wale's report along with the exam. papers and without a report from me. It was understood that the papers were to be sent for a fair, unbiased opinion thereon, and in justice to the candidates this could be obtained in the way agreed upon between you and myself. I therefore withdraw my consent to Professor Peterson seeing the papers, and I have telegraphed to him accordingly. Yours truly, J. Ives.' Mr. Wale's telegram of December 5, which had not been shown to me, indicates that he supposed this exam. to be the final one for the degree. This is not the case. After passing the third year exam., the most severe ordeal has to be gone through—that of writing an 'exercise.' This constitutes the final exam. for the degree. It is strange that neither Mr. Wale's marks for the various questions nor Professor Peterson's marks have been shown to me—one of the examiners—nor was I allowed to be present when the council considered Professor Peterson's report. No doubt, the chancellor, who presides at the council meetings, will know why the professor of music was not asked to be present at a meeting at which the council virtually assumed the functions of examiners for the degree of Mus. Bac.'

THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND PROFESSOR IVES.

To the Editor.
Sir—I am not surprised to find that Sir Samuel Way denies the accuracy of one of my statements. It will be patent to most observers that a gentleman holding the position of Chief Justice in this state, and that of chancellor of this university, must answer the grave charge of seeking to tamper with an examiner. That answer must either be a denial or an admission of its justification. To admit an error is manly; to seek to cloak it by a falsehood is ignoble. The statement I made at the university on Wednesday with reference to the degree examination at which the chancellor's relative sat is true in every detail. Even the chancellor's letter of denial to-day confirms one portion of my statement. He admits that an interview between himself and myself, the examiner, did take place. The pass list I had previously issued will show that it was subsequently altered. Why was it altered? Let me tell you, in the interests of truth and justice.
On receipt of the opinion of my co-examiner, Dr. Bridge, which confirmed my own, I wrote out a pass list and handed it to the registrar of the university to be posted. This was in the forenoon. In the afternoon the registrar informed me that the chancellor wished to see me. I went into the registrar's office, and found the chancellor waiting. He said he wished to speak to me privately, and we went to another room. He then told me that the registrar had shown him the pass list which I had issued, but which had not yet been posted; and, looking at me significantly, asked did I not think I should be justified in placing Miss Campbell's name in the first class. I replied I was sorry I could not, as her work did not merit it. I further added that I thought my co-examiner had taken a liberal view of the work done. He then asked to see Dr. Bridge's letter, and I showed it to him. The chancellor then said that at each of the three previous examinations Miss Campbell had obtained a first class, and suggested that I might take that into consideration, and regard the whole of the results as cumulative, and thus justify my giving her a first class. I replied that I regretted that I could not do so—that the results of each examination were dependent upon the work done at each, and pointed out that if I were to regard the question in such an illogical light a candidate who had passed three examinations could expect to pass the fourth as a matter of course, and not trouble about doing any work. Then he hazarded the opinion that this course was taken in some of the other faculties, and might be, he thought, in that of music. The regulations were referred to, and he read through them. Then he called attention to regulation ix., wherein it is said that:—"After the exercise has been approved by the examiners the candidate must deposit a fair and complete copy of such exercise in the university library," and expressed the opinion that on that I should be justified in at least merely announcing that the exercises of the candidates had been "approved," thus avoiding any necessity to distinguish them by "class." I pointed to regulation xi., which says:—"The names of successful candidates at each examination shall be arranged in three classes in alphabetical order in each," and said, as the author of these regulations, I had had the idea of classification in my mind when draw-

Register 21st Dec. 1901

THE MUSIC EXAMINATIONS.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL (Hon. J. Gordon) informed the Hon. J. Vardon that the attention of the Government had been called to the unfortunate position of University third-year students for the degree of Mus. Bac., consequent upon the dispute between Professor Ives and the University authorities. A report from the University was laid on the table of the House of Assembly on December 18.