

Advertiser 1/12/20

THE MENTAL HOSPITAL TO REMAIN AT PARKSIDE. PROTESTS IN PARLIAMENT.

A casual remark by the Commissioner of Public Works (Hon. W. Hague) in the House of Assembly on Wednesday that he understood the Mental Hospital was to be kept at Parkside, and that the University would not be removed from North-terrace, caused many members to express surprise. The debate occurred in connection with a motion by the Commissioner of Public Works that a new kitchen and stores block, and additions to the morgue at the Mental Hospital be erected. He said the estimated cost was £18,800. The chairman of the board had said the present staff messrooms were erected many years ago, when the institution was small. The existing facilities were inadequate, unsuitable, and obsolete.

Mr. Gunn—How long will the Mental Hospital be at Parkside? The Commissioner of Public Works said it would be there altogether, and he did not think there was now any intention to remove it. It was at one time thought that the University would occupy that site, but it was now practically settled that that institution would remain at North-terrace. Additional grants of land had been made at the North-terrace site which would give the University sufficient space for a great number of years. The conveniences at the Enfield Receiving Home would be up-to-date, but seeing that Parkside was to be a permanent institution it was necessary that this work should be done.

Mr. Reidy—Is that policy definitely settled? The Commissioner of Public Works—So far as I know there will be no removal. Mr. Reidy—Then you do not want the land you have at Enfield? The Commissioner of Public Works—We are using that for other purposes. The Infectious Diseases Hospital, a motion for the establishment of which was carried a few days ago, will be put out there. We have an application from the Commonwealth for the use of some of this land for a specific purpose. That matter is now under consideration.

Mr. Gunn said those who had had an opportunity of visiting Parkside and seeing the accommodation would agree that the proposed alterations were very desirable, otherwise members would be justified in voting against the motion, in view of the fact that the whole of the buildings at Parkside were most unsuitable for the purposes for which they were used. Mr. Crosby—There can be no question about that. The Commissioner of Crown Lands—The place was built for the purpose for which it is being used.

Mr. Gunn said the place was unsuitable both from the point of view of environment and structure. The problem had exercised the minds of many Governments, and he thought they had arrived at a policy for the removal of the institution to a more suitable site. The ideal site would be a very large area, on which the inmates could be engaged in agriculture or gardening of some kind. That was not possible at the present institution. Some time ago the Inspector-General of Hospitals (Dr. Morris) went carefully into the whole matter, and made recommendations which it was understood the Government had accepted, that the institution should be established outside of the city, and the present area used for some other purpose. The North-terrace Reserves Commission went into the matter thoroughly, and came to the conclusion that the Parkside site would be a splendid one for the University. That suggestion was put forward in the first place by Sir Langdon Bonython, who had held that opinion for many years.

Mr. Hamilton—This House carried a resolution to that effect. Mr. Gunn said that, in a statement Sir Langdon Bonython made some time ago with respect to the gift of a large sum of money, he referred to the fact that the University might have to be removed from its present position. The only way they could have a University in keeping with the importance of the State on the present site would be to build a skyscraper. The North-terrace Reserves Commission mapped out a scheme for the utilisation of the whole of North-terrace. They submitted that the University should be removed to a place where it could have 50 or 100 acres of land, as the universities in other States had, and that only the School of Anatomy should remain where it was, in order that it could be in proximity to the Adelaide Hospital. Now it was proposed to spend nearly £20,000 at Parkside, to provide new kitchens, stores, and additions to the morgue. That would suggest that the House intended the Mental Hospital to remain there.

Mr. Hamilton recollected that three years ago the House carried a motion recommending that the Parkside Mental Hospital should be abandoned and the grounds used for University purposes. The area embraced, he thought, 80 acres. There was no other site of a similar character or extent in any part of Adelaide or the suburbs. He had heard innumerable complaints about the accommodation at the Parkside Hospital, and had been told that the attendants were not able to do their work properly. It was not a suitable place to deal with mental diseases. Under those conditions, was it wise to spend nearly £20,000 there unless they knew that the building to be erected could be used for some other purpose if the institution was removed?

Mr. Gunn—The Minister says the hospital is to remain. Mr. Hamilton said that might be so until there was a change of Government or a change of Ministry, and so a change of policy.

Mr. Harper was surprised to learn that the Mental Hospital was not to be shifted. Its presence at Parkside retarded the development of that part of the metropolitan area. He was sorry the Government proposed to spend nearly £20,000 there, because he believed, notwithstanding the opinions of Ministers, that at no distant date the hospital would have to be removed to another part. He hoped the Ministry would reconsider the decision to leave it at Parkside. If it were removed, and the whole of the buildings pulled down and a garden suburb laid out, the price realised in selling the land would more than pay for building another mental hospital.

Mr. Price said he was of the opinion that the best facilities should be afforded at the Mental Hospital, but he contended they should have a standing committee on public works and finance to advise Parliament on all proposed big works.

Mr. Hill said he was surprised at the change in the policy of the Government in regard to the hospital. The accommodation at the hospital was not suitable for the necessary grading of the patients. The Government should follow the policy of previous Governments and take steps to remove the whole institution and erect an up-to-date hospital.

Mr. Robinson—If the House votes the £18,800 to-day we shall never get a chance of having the hospital removed.

Mr. Hill said a new building elsewhere was urgently necessary.

The Commissioner of Public Works—The proposed expenditure is a mere bagatelle compared with what a new place would cost.

Mr. Hill said the hospital at Parkside was out of date in regard to appearance and appliances.

The Commissioner of Public Works—We are trying to remedy that. Mr. Crosby said he regretted it was not now the intention of the Government to remove the hospital from Parkside and to place the University there. He was surprised to hear there was sufficient land for University purposes on North-terrace Parkside was a most unsuitable locality for the hospital, and he asked the Commissioner of Public Works to go into the matter again and ask his officers if they could not revise the estimate and provide, at less cost, sufficient temporary accommodation to cover the next five or ten years.

Mr. Blackwell said, notwithstanding improvements made during the past few years, the outlook from the hospital was dismal. The whole trouble was that the hospital had been erected piecemeal. The place was uninviting, and more like a gaol.

The Commissioner of Public Works said when he mentioned it was the policy of the Government to allow the Mental Hospital to remain where it was, he did not say that was to be for all time, but there was no possibility of the University being taken to the Parkside site. Personally he thought it would be a good thing if the mental home was removed when money was available. They could not build a new Mental Hospital for less than £300,000 or £400,000, and the land at Parkside for building sites would not be worth more than about £65,000. He would have the question of cost reviewed to see if the amount could not be reduced.

Mr. Reidy said the amount was too large to spend on a building that all experts considered to be unsuitable. The place was more like a prison, and quite different from up-to-date institutions of the kind. He could not support the motion.

The motion was declared negatived on the voices, and the Commissioner of Public Works called for a division, which resulted in the motion being carried by 29 votes to 7.

Advertiser 2/12/20

RHODES SCHOLARSHIP FOR 1922.

A preliminary meeting of the Rhodes Scholarship committee was held at Government House on Thursday to receive entries for the Rhodes Scholarship of 1922. The committee comprises his Excellency the Governor (chairman), his Honor the Chief Justice (Sir George Murray), who is Chancellor of the University of Adelaide, Sir Joseph Verco, Professor Mitchell (Vice-Chancellor), Professor Henderson, Mr. J. R. Fowler, and Mr. C. R. Hodge (hon. secretary). A selection will be made in the course of the next two or three weeks.

Critic 3/12/20

MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE UNIVERSITY.

At a meeting of the Council of the University on Friday, the following appointments were made:—Medical School.—Lecturers—Dr. R. S. Rogers (forensic medicine), Dr. M. H. Downey (psychological medicine), Dr. R. H. Pultine (otology), Dr. A. M. Morgan (ophthalmology), and Dr. H. S. Newland (operative surgery). Tutors—Dr. W. Ray (medicine), Dr. B. Smeaton (surgery), and Dr. T. G. Wilson (obstetrics). Assistant tutors—Drs. D. R. W. Cowan and J. W. Browne (medicine), Drs. I. Corbin and M. L. Scott (surgery). Dental School.—Dr. L. W. Trott was appointed lecturer in dental materia medica and therapeutics to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Dr. Shanasy.

Advertiser 5/12/20

THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE.

EXAMINATION RESULTS.

ORDINARY EXAMINATION FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF DENTAL SURGERY.
November, 1921.

Pass list (in order of merit).
First Year.—First Class—None. Second Class—Thomson, Linda Lovibond. Third Class—Morris, John William; Fischer, Frederick Harry; Justus, Cecil; Alec Douglas. Not Classified—Welden, Leslie Carrington.
Second Year.—First Class—None. Second Class—Coombe, Harold Robert; Mallan, James Michael. Third Class—George, Rowland Francis.
Third Year.—First Class—None. Second Class—Clark, John Francis. Third Class—None.
Fourth Year.—First Class—Moore, Arthur Paris Reading; Edwards, Hurtle Thomas Jack. Second Class—Enstace, John Leonard. Third Class—Smith, Robert James Brazil; O'Donnell, John Andrew; Campbell, Thomas Draper.

Register 6/12/20

UNIVERSITY PROCESSION.

From "XERXES"—"Veritas" puts down a list of his virtues and vices, even mentioning the fact that he is the proud owner of a Ford, in the hope of disarming me. He may have spoilt my first arrow for further use, but not until after it had reached its mark, and this is of no consequence as I still have my quiver full of them. O "Veritas," "Veritas," destroy the bow that shoots the arrows, not the spent missiles. "Pro Bono Publico" says that we have no more solid ground to base our arguments on than the "exigencies of rebellious youth," thereby implying that the foundation of his arguments is as stable as (say) the Glenelg Breakwater. This is not so. Can "Pro Bono Publico" and his followers tell us of even one case of a person who had hitherto lived a life of righteousness being led from the straight and narrow way, or whose young innocence has been blasted, by the sight of one of these processions? Standing among the spectators, one sees only happy and smiling faces; and surely that is a tangible good as opposed to the vague and illusory evils—hovering, where doubtless there is ample room to hover, in the minds of "Veritas" & Co. Surely, if these worthies give the matter a little thought, they will come to the obvious conclusion that our procession is not so injurious to public morals as they would fain have it painted.

Elementary (Compulsory) Biology (86).
Division I. (in order of merit).—Gunning, Norman Stannus; Clark, John Francis; Green, Lorna Mary Alexandra.
Division II. (in order of merit).—Gaul, Maizie Isabel Odgily; Sinclair, Walter Gordon Clyde; Gwynne, Richard Gordon; Summers, Frank Henry; Peters, Geoffrey Ernest; and Reeves, Rupert Kirk, equal. Simpson, Mary Kell; Schaefer, David Paul Hamatford.

Elementary (Compulsory) Biology (66), Part II. only (Animals).
Division I.—Coxwell, Geoffrey Reginald.
Division II.—Mackay, Samuel Angus.

FOR B.Sc. DEGREE.

Physiology (68).—First Year.
Division I. (in order of merit).—Dawbarn, Mary Campbell; Mitchell, Mark Ledingham.
Division II.—None.
Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Aldersey, Richard Baker; Anderson, Alan Bruce; Cheadie, Margaret Frances.
Physiology.—Second Year.
No candidates.

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE.

FOR THE DEGREE OF B.E. AND DIPLOMA IN APPLIED SCIENCE.

Strength of Materials (74).
Division I. (in order of merit).—Burgess, Norman Cecil; Kleeman, Reginald Theodore; and Shepley, Arthur Raymond (equal); Dridan, Julia Randal.

Division II. (in order of merit).—Furrt, Thomas Albert; Wells, Frank Leonard; Jenner, Ralph Lindsay; Smythe, Elford Renner; Anderson, Adrian Akhurst; Winwood, William Weston; Stockbridge, Edgar Lambert; Chapman, James Douglas; and Lang, Eric (equal); Barker, Alwyn Bowman; Goldick, Max Roland; Evans, Wilfred Robert.

Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Ford, Raymond William; Morton, Christopher Gordon.
Drawing II. (82).

Division I. (in order of merit).—McKechnie, Alan Francis Clark; Farrent, Thomas Albert; Short, Kerwin; Albert Robert; Cross, Klem Robert; and Shepley, Arthur Raymond (equal).

Division II. (in order of merit).—Main, Arthur Charles; Pombroy, Richard Osborne; Butler, George Ralph; van Sinden, Raymond Hedgers; Johnson, Lance Galbreath; Smith, James Wearring.

Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Barker, Alwyn Bowman; Coombs, Nowell Roche de Bohun; Love, Berry Oswald; Read, Alexander Charles.

Electrical Engineering I. (86a).
Division I. (in order of merit).—Burgess, Norman Cecil; Smith, James Wearring; Emery, Arthur Whitman; Shepley, Arthur Raymond; and Wauchope, Andrew Gilbert (equal); Farrent, Thomas Albert; Robertson, Sydney Clifford; Barker, Alwyn Bowman.

Division II. (in order of merit).—Preston, Tom; Jackman, Frank Downer; Dridan, Julian Randal; and Stockbridge, Edgar Lambert (equal).

Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Kleeman, Reginald Theodore; Koerner, John Francis; Pombroy, Richard Osborne; Smythe, Elford Renner.
Electrical Engineering II. (85).

Division I.—None.
Division II.—Bonady, Rex, and Crosswell, Edwin Fletcher (equal).

Division III.—Emmer, George Herman.
Design of Structures (89).

Division I. (in order of merit).—Poole, Gilbert Graham; Wauchope, Andrew Gilbert.
Division II. (in order of merit).—Appley, Arthur George; Bert, Ernest; Julius; and Koerner, Carl Frederick, equal; Morton, Christopher Gordon; Smith, James Wearring.

Division III.—Padman, Marjelen Waterhouse.
Mining (In) (107).

Division I.—None.
Division II.—Goldick, Max Roland.
Division III.—None.

Mining (Ia) (102).
Division I.—Collman, William Russell Goodwin.

Civil Engineering I. (104).
Division I. (in order of merit).—Burgess, Norman Cecil; Wigg, Ronald M'Cross; Kleeman, Reginald Theodore.

Division II. (in order of merit).—Jenner, Ralph Lindsay; Cox, Rudolph William Arthur; Smythe, Elford Renner; Ford, Raymond William; Lewis, Reginald William Frederick; and Schneider, Wilfred, equal; Jackman, Frank Downer; and Morton, Christopher Gordon, equal; Chapman, James Douglas; Barker, Alwyn Bowman; and Lang, Eric, equal.

Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Bert, Ernest Julius; Spring, Franz Maurice; Dridan, Julian Randal; Phaketti, Norman Ambrose; Preston, Tom.

Railway Engineering (104a).
Division I.—None.

Division II. (in order of merit).—McKechnie, Alan Francis Clark; Evans, Wilfred Robert; Collman, William Russell Goodwin.

Division III.—Emmer, George Herman.
Civil Engineering II. (105).

Division I. (in order of merit).—McKechnie, Alan Francis Clark; Koerner, John Francis; Pombroy, Richard Osborne; and Wauchope, Andrew Gilbert (equal); Bert, Ernest Julius; Appley, Arthur George.

Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Morton, Christopher Gordon; Padman, Marjelen Waterhouse.

Surveying II. (107).
Division I.—Poole, Gilbert Graham.
Division II.—Appley, Arthur George.
Division III. (in alphabetical order).—Bert, Ernest Julius; Koerner, Carl Frederick; Smith, James Wearring.

Advertiser 2/12/20

The last of the 1921 series of free public demonstrations in physics will be given in the Prince of Wales Theatre, University of Adelaide, at 4 p.m. to-day. The subject will be "Wireless telephony."