

27th April, 1946.

Dear Whately Carrington,

I see the sense of your remarks on the first problem, and I agree with what you say. It seems to depend very much on what you want to test, and for my part, I should want to examine the straight regression first, and see if it explains the whole effect before examining deviations from the regression. The 2x2x2 business which you mention first is one way of testing deviation from a simple regression. Actually, if A B C D are successes and E F G H corresponding failures arranged sensibly, then  $A+\lambda$ ,  $B-\lambda$ , etc are the expectations, where the odds for  $\lambda \approx (A+\lambda)(D+\lambda)(F+\lambda)(G+\lambda)$

$$= (A-\lambda)(C-\lambda)(E-\lambda)(H-\lambda)$$

so knowing the expectation and the frequencies observed one has a test.

However, On the second point I am sure you are right. It is logically vital that the experience was sub-divided on a basis completely independent of the control variable.

The third question is more doubtful, for the condition of  
continuity is not obvious a priori, and was presumably suggested by  
the results.

Yours sincerely,