10th. January, 1943.

My dear Mather,

copy of your new book, and I am just beginning to realise what a lot of useful stuff you have been able to put into it. I should hope and think thatit might be a great success. "omething of that will depend I think on how far the mere sight of Algebraic expressions will intimidate your readers; for of course the very process of breaking an argument up into very small and easy stepsd does, if each step is represented symbollically, lead to a great proliferation of printed symbols. However, it may well be that Biologists are really more ready to read symbolism if intelligently arranged, than would appear from the cries of plarm they will emit on seeing it.

Tell La Cour I am bearing his need in mind, but what with Wrs. Holt's being still ill, and a Lab. boy just left, I am not well placed for wrestling with the mere details of sorting the stuff out and sending it off. He could of course pick it up here any time if he dared to come over.

For my part I should think reference to Lythrum cytology very

on Darlington's current view. I understand, the haploid number is thought to be ten, rather than five, which raises the question of why Moude gives five as this number. I do hope that Darlington's confidence is justified that the cytology can be cleared up in prealled with the genetics, as it certainly as a most interesting case.

Yours sincerely,