

ACCEPTED VERSION

Christopher K. Rayner, Jing Ma, Karen L. Jones, and Michael Horowitz

Comment on: Chen et al. Utilizing the second-meal effect in Type 2 diabetes: practical use of a soya-yogurt snack. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2552-2554

Diabetes Care, 2011; 34(5):55

© 2011 by the American Diabetes Association

“This is an author-created, uncopyedited electronic version of an article accepted for publication in *Diabetes Care*. The American Diabetes Association (ADA), publisher of *Diabetes Care*, is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it by third parties. The definitive publisher-authenticated version will be available in a future issue of *Diabetes Care* in print and online at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/34/5/e55>.”

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>

Public Access

Authors are permitted to submit the final, accepted version of their *manuscript* to their funding body or institution for inclusion in their funding body or institution's database, archive, or repository, or to post the final, accepted version on their personal website. These manuscripts may be made freely accessible to the public upon acceptance, provided that the following two conditions are observed:

First, post-prints must include the following statement of provenance and, once the final version has been published in the journal, a link to the final published version of the paper on the journal's website:

“This is an author-created, uncopyedited electronic version of an article accepted for publication in [insert ADA journal title]. The American Diabetes Association (ADA), publisher of [insert ADA journal title], is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it by third parties. The definitive publisher-authenticated version will be available in a future issue of [insert ADA journal title] in print and online at [insert journal URL: <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>, <http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org>, <http://clinical.diabetesjournals.org>, or <http://spectrum.diabetesjournals.org>].”

Second, the version of the manuscript deposited or posted must be identical to the final accepted version, with the exception of the addition of the above statement and any changes necessary to correct errors. Authors may make changes to the posted version to correct mistakes or may issue an erratum at any time. However, the final published version of the manuscript may not be deposited, posted, or later substituted for the post-print.

11 October 2016

<http://hdl.handle.net/2440/72080>

Utilizing the second-meal effect in type 2 diabetes: practical use of a soya-yogurt snack

Comment on Chen et al.

Christopher K Rayner (MBBS, PhD)

Jing Ma (MD, PhD)

Karen L Jones (PhD)

Michael Horowitz (MBBS, PhD)

Affiliations of all authors:

Discipline of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia

and

Centre of Clinical Research Excellence in Nutritional Physiology, Interventions and Outcomes, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

Corresponding author:

Associate Professor CK Rayner

Discipline of Medicine

Royal Adelaide Hospital

North Terrace

Adelaide SA 5000

Australia

Telephone: +61 8 8222 2916

Fax: +61 8 8223 3870

Email: chris.rayner@adelaide.edu.au

Word count: 474

Chen et al. recently reported that a high protein, low carbohydrate “snack” taken two hours before breakfast leads to a reduction in the post-breakfast glycemic excursion of some 40% in patients with well controlled type 2 diabetes (1). The suggested mechanism was suppression of plasma free fatty acids, with a concomitant increase in the storage of glycogen in muscle. We agree that the dramatic improvement in postprandial glycemia following a protein “preload” has substantial implications for the dietary management of type 2 diabetes, but take issue with the authors’ assertion that this is the first time that such an effect has been demonstrated, and wish to draw attention to other mechanisms that are likely to contribute to this phenomenon.

We have reported in this journal in 2009 that 55g whey protein, when consumed in soup 30 minutes before a high carbohydrate mashed potato meal, markedly reduced the glycemic response in type 2 patients (2). This was associated with the stimulation of gut hormones, including glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and cholecystokinin as well as insulin, in advance of the meal, and slowing of gastric emptying. We attributed the increase in insulin secretion after whey, at least in part, to reflect direct pancreatic stimulation by absorbed amino acids.

The central role of the gastrointestinal tract, particularly gastric emptying and the secretion of the incretin hormones, GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP), in determining postprandial glycemia has often been neglected (3), albeit having recently achieved increasing prominence with the availability of pharmacological therapies for type 2 diabetes that appear to act predominantly by modifying gut function, including GLP-1 analogs (4) and the amylin analog,

pramlintide. The relative contribution of the various factors in determining postprandial glycemia is likely to vary with the composition of the “preload” and the timing of its administration. For example, the effects of a fat “preload” on postprandial glycemia in type 2 patients differ substantially from those of protein (5). Gastric emptying is now well recognized as a major determinant of postprandial glycemia, particularly the initial rise in glucose, and usually occurs at a closely regulated overall rate of about 1 – 4 kcal/minute in health, but is often abnormally delayed in longstanding diabetes (3). Moreover, acute changes in the blood glucose per se influence the rate of gastric emptying (3). While the energy content of the “snack” used in the study by Chen et al (1) was not specified, given that it comprised 30g soya beans and 75g yoghurt, we assume that emptying would not have been complete at the time of ingestion of breakfast and, accordingly, phenomena that result from nutrient-gut interactions, including peptide secretion, would still have been active. Only by evaluating the potentially relevant mechanisms simultaneously, including gastrointestinal peptide responses and gastric emptying, will it be possible to understand and then refine the “preload” concept on a rational basis.

References

1. Chen MJ, Jovanovic A, Taylor R. Utilizing the second-meal effect in type 2 diabetes: practical use of a soya-yogurt snack. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2552-4.
2. Ma J, Stevens JE, Cukier K, Maddox AF, Wishart JM, Jones KL, Clifton PM, Horowitz M, Rayner CK. Effects of a protein preload on gastric emptying, glycemia, and gut hormones after a carbohydrate meal in diet-controlled type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:1600-2.
3. Rayner CK, Samsom M, Jones KL, Horowitz M. Relationships of upper gastrointestinal motor and sensory function with glycemic control. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:371-81.
4. Linnebjerg H, Park S, Kothare PA, Trautmann ME, Mace K, Fineman M, Wilding I, Nauck M, Horowitz M. Effect of exenatide on gastric emptying and relationship to postprandial glycemia in type 2 diabetes. *Regul Pept* 2008;151:123-9.
5. Gentilcore D, Chaikomin R, Jones KL, Russo A, Feinle-Bisset C, Wishart JM, Rayner CK, Horowitz M. Effects of fat on gastric emptying of, and the glycemic, insulin and incretin responses to, a carbohydrate meal in type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006;91:2062-7.